

ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL

February 1, 2024

(5 Items)

I. ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR COMCARE CRISIS CENTER -- PROJECT SERVICES

FUNDING -- PROJECT SERVICES

(Request sent to 202 vendors)

RFP #23-0067 Contract

| | Alloy Architecture | GLMV Architecture, Inc. | Hanney & Associates Architects |
|--|---|--|--|
| Architectural and Engineering Services for COMCARE Crisis Center | Phase 1 - 16 weeks | <i>New Construction</i> | <i>New Construction Only</i> |
| | Remodel: Hourly rate, not to exceed \$29,000.00 | Phase 1: \$68,861.00 | Phase 1: \$15,000.00 |
| | New: Hourly rate, not to exceed \$21,000.00 | Phase 2: \$1,035,965.00 | Phase 2: \$560,826.00 |
| | Phase 2 - 24 weeks | Project Design Fee: \$1,160,000.00 | A&E Fees for Phases 1 and 2: \$575,826.00 |
| | Remodel: Hourly rate, no to exceed \$755,000.00 | <i>Renovation</i> | Special Inspections (required by building code): \$12,000.00 |
| | New: Hourly rate, not to exceed \$648,000.00 | Phase 1: \$58,861.00 | Phase 2 - Timeline |
| | Phase 2 reimbursable expenses for travel, printing, and postage are additional, and limited to \$800.00 | Phase 2: \$647,129.00 | Design Development - 6 weeks |
| | Project Design Fee: \$892,223.00 | Construction Documents - 16 weeks | |
| | | Bidding/Negotiation - 3 weeks | |
| | | Construction Observation - 14 months | |
| | Helix Architecture & Design, LLC dba Helix Architecture + Design | HFG Architecture dba Health Facilities Group, LLC | Schaefer Architecture, Inc. |
| Architectural and Engineering Services for COMCARE Crisis Center | <i>Renovation</i> | <i>Renovation</i> | <i>Remodel - 45,000 sq ft - 10.2M Budget</i> |
| | Phase One - \$166,200.00* | Phase One: \$125,000.00 | Phase 1: \$99,500.00 |
| | Reimbursables for Phase One: \$5,000.00* | Phase Two: \$513,000.00 | Phase 2: \$565,000.00 |
| | Phase One Design Fee: \$171,200.00* | Project Design Fee: \$637,500.00 | Total: \$664,500.00 |
| | Phase Two: \$714,800.00* | <i>New Construction</i> | <i>New Construction - 29,000 sq ft - \$10.2M Budget</i> |
| | Reimbursables for Phase Two: \$35,000.00* | Phase One: \$125,000.00 | Phase 1: \$100,000.00 |
| | Phase Two Design Fee: \$749,800.00* | Phase Two: \$563,000.00 | Phase 2: \$605,000.00 |
| | <i>New Construction</i> | Project Design Fee: \$687,500.00 | Total: \$705,000.00 |
| | Phase One - \$189,200.00 | Phase One: 75 calendar days | <i>New Construction - 45,000 sq ft - \$16M Budget</i> |
| | Reimbursables for Phase One: \$25,000.00 | Phase Two: 135 calendar days | Phase 1: \$110,000.00 |
| | Phase One Design Fee: \$214,200.00 | Total duration: 210 calendar days | Phase 2: \$812,000.00 |
| | Phase Two: \$782,400.00 | | Total: \$922,000.00 |
| | Reimbursables for Phase Two: \$45,000.00 | | Remodel/Addition: 24 weeks |
| | Phase Two Design Fee: \$827,400.00 | | All New Construction: +6 weeks |
| Phase One - 4 months | | | |
| Phase Two (New Construction) - 25-27 months | | | |
| | Spangenberg Phillips Tice, LLC dba SPT Architecture | | |
| | <i>Renovation Only</i> | | |
| | \$698,482.00* | | |
| | Phase One: 60 calendar days | | |
| | Phase Two: 130 calendar days | | |
| No Submission | Allied Environmental Consultants, Inc. | Central Consolidate, Inc. | Clarkitecture LLC |
| | Cornerstone Data, Inc. | Design & Build Engineering, LLC | Evans Building Co., Inc. |
| | Giant Communications | GyanSys, Inc. | HMN Architects, Inc. |
| | Incite Design Studio | Kirkham, Michael & Associates, Inc. | Krehbiel Architecture |
| | MKEC Engineering, Inc. | Moody Nolan, Inc. | P/Strada, LLC |
| | Schultz Squared Architects LLC | Shelden Architecture, Inc. | Synergetic Consulting |
| | TriCom Technical Services, LLC | Twotrees Technologies, Inc. | Utility Help Net |
| | WDM Architects PS | Wildecat Construction Co., Inc. | Yeager Architecture, Inc. |

On the recommendation of Joe Thomas, on behalf of Project Services, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to **accept the proposal for architectural and engineering services from Helix Architecture & Design, LLC dba Helix Architecture + Design (Helix Architecture + Design)**. Brandi Baily seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A committee comprised of Tania Cole and Tim Kaufman - County Manager's Office; Andrew Dilts - Facilities Project Services; and Joe Thomas - Purchasing evaluated the proposal responses based on the criteria set forth in the RFP. The evaluation committee shortlisted two (2) proposers, Helix Architecture + Design and SPT Architecture. The committee unanimously agreed to accept the proposal from Helix Architecture + Design.

Sedgwick County desires to select an architectural and engineering firm to provide professional design services required to construct a facility for COMCARE Crisis. In 2020, programming and schematic design was completed for COMCARE Crisis. At the conclusion of the pandemic, the COMCARE Crisis operation changed and requires new programming and schematic design. The awarded vendor will complete programming and schematic design, then continue to create construction documents for bidding and provide construction oversight as required.

Notes:

*The figures shown are the Best and Final Offers from the two shortlisted proposers (Helix Architecture + Design and SPT Architecture).

I would like to start with saying this is a proposal, not a bid. Proposals are scored based on criteria set forth in the RFP. There are five (5) components to this RFP.

| Component | Points |
|--|------------|
| a. Ability to meet or exceed all Request for Proposal conditions and instructions as outlined herein. | 20 |
| b. Competence to perform the specified and mandatory services as reflected by technical training and education, experience in providing required services, and the qualifications and competence of persons who would be assigned to perform the services. Prior work experience, job sizes and history of proven performance. | 20 |
| c. Capacity to perform the services in the required time as reflected by workload, availability of adequate personnel, equipment, and facilities. The ability to manage projects simultaneously and expeditiously, approach to problem/task resolution, methodology/data gathering techniques and procedures and teamwork. | 20 |
| d. Past performance with respect to cost control, quality of work, value engineering and ability to meet deadlines. This shall be determined in part by a check of references for similar projects and/or services provided for governmental entities or organizations of similar size and scope. | 20 |
| e. Proposing the services described herein with the most advantageous and prudent methodology and costs to the county. | 20 |
| Total Points | 100 |

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: Looking at this, there are all kinds of numbers. It looks like there were other vendors that were much cheaper and can do it in a shorter period of time. I get this is a proposal versus a bid but can you explain a little bit why they weren't selected?

Tania Cole: As Joe stated, this is a proposal so it is based on the criteria that Joe mentioned below and the points that were given and how that was rated was between the team and the highest number of points went to Helix & CO Architects based on the components below.

Brandi Baily: So even though the dollars are much higher and a longer period of time, those components are outweighing the other vendors?

Tania Cole: So let me speak to that. First of all when we put out the proposal, we asked for new construction and we asked for renovation. We specifically stated it was going to be downtown near the Biomed campus because the Community Crisis Center is going to integrate with the Biomed campus. The overall goal for the Community Crisis Center is that the students of the Biomed campus will collaborate and work with the patients at the Community Crisis Center. Later we were able to put a contract on the building that is on the same lot as the Biomed campus. So we had graded all of the proposals on here and we had two (2) shortlisted as mentioned. We went back to the two (2) shortlisted proposers and stated that we have a building and asked them to consider this was going to be a renovation project and this is the particular building and to please give us your best and final offer.

I understand that when you look at the proposal, you see a higher cost. The architect that we are proposing is a team so it's Helix & CO Architects. They are also the same architectural firm that is doing the Biomed campus and they made that very clear in their RFP. So while you see a higher cost from Helix & CO, I think it's important to understand that they are the same architects doing the Biomed campus and we are literally on the same lot. When I say the same lot, this is the exact same lot Biomed campus is L shaped and we are the other piece in that L shape. We are wanting to collaborate students and clients with Biomed campus and Community Crisis Center.

While you see a higher cost on the front end, I think you are going to see savings on the back end. Part of that is in the aesthetic piece of working with the same architectural firm. I think you are going to see savings on the back end working with those same engineering trades, same mechanical engineering firm, same civil engineering firm, and you are going to see savings because you are on that same lot working together with utilities. We never want to go in, us and the team, with higher costs because we always want to save the taxpayers. I feel very strongly and I have reached out to enough people to say please help me understand if we use the same architectural firm that we're trying to collaborate and coordinate with and maybe have some space we're trying to do some collaboration with the Biomed campus, will we see savings on the back end. I have been told absolutely. I understand you see higher cost there but I can confirm we will see savings on the back end if that makes sense.

Brandi Baily: I appreciate that explanation. That makes sense.

Joni Wilson: Is the Biomed building under Sedgwick County also?

Tania Cole: It is not. That is Wichita State.

Joni Wilson: We're able to share the land with them?

Tania Cole: We will have our own land. It's kind of a square rectangular shape. We are literally on the same lot and the Biomed campus will take up kind of an L shape. We are the other piece or leg of that. If you look at the one (1) block in its entirety, COMCARE Crisis will be part of that. The intention there is the students going to the Biomed campus will collaborate with COMCARE and be working with the clients that come to COMCARE. That's been the intention from day one. I think the hope is that being in such close vicinity that there is some kind of space sharing inbetween Biomed campus and Community Crisis Center. If you ever have a chance to go over there and take a look, you will see just how close we are to the Biomed campus.

Russell Leeds: The evaluation team applied the components and determined this was the best value selection based on how they met the criteria and the overall project within that one (1) block area including the Biomed and COMCARE is how you arrived at that?

Tania Cole: That's correct. As I stated, there were seven (7) total and two (2) of those architectural firms were shortlisted. Based on that shortlist, we went back to those firms and stated we have a building, it's going to be a renovation only project. Based on that can you give us some additional information in what's the best and final offer? Then we went back through that criteria and rated those. Helix and CO Architects was the highest rated between those two (2) architectural firms.

Joni Wilson: Have we used Helix before?

Tania Cole: We have not. Helix is out of Kansas City and CO Architects are out of California. They will have an on-site presence because of their work with the Biomed campus. I know it states some of their fees are reimbursables but they will have on-site presence. I think some of those costs will be reduced because of that.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS FEBRUARY 1, 2024

2. LED DISPLAY MONUMENT SIGNS FOR HEALTH DEPARTMENT FACILITIES -- HEALTH DEPARTMENT FUNDING -- HEALTH DEPARTMENT

(Request sent to 94 vendors)

RFB #23-0088 S/C # pending

| | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Golden Rule Signs LLC dba Golden Rule Signs | Phillips Southern Electric Co., Inc. |
| LED Display Monument Signs for Health Department Facilities | Incomplete | \$257,930.00 |
| Acknowledged Addendum | Yes | Yes |
| | United Signs, LLC | |
| LED Display Monument Signs for Health Department Facilities | \$102,000.00 | |
| Acknowledged Addendum | Yes | |
| No Bid | American Traffic Safety Materials | GHA Technologies |
| | Hall Signs, Inc. | Intermarc |
| | National Sign Company, Inc. | Spectrum Promotional |
| | Spectrums Installations LLC | Welborn Sales, Inc. |
| | Wieland-Wrisco Industries, Inc. | |

On the recommendation of Lee Barrier, on behalf of the Health Department, Tim Myers moved to **accept the bid from United Signs, LLC in the amount of \$102,000.00**. Brandi Baily seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

This project is turnkey and will install new electronic signs at two Health Department locations – 2716 W. Central and 1900 E. 9th. The current sign at W. Central will be removed. E. 9th does not currently have a sign.

This project is being funded as part of the IP19-1901 Immunization and Vaccine for Children supplement, which is funded through the federal Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act of 2021.

The funding is a grant from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) distributed through the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) to increase Sedgwick County residents access to Lifespan and COVID-19 vaccines. The funding aids Kansas local health departments for the purpose of decreasing the spread of COVID-19 and other vaccine preventable diseases.

The electronic signs are a strategy to increase vaccine uptake in under-vaccinated communities and increase confidence among racial and ethnic minority populations. Vaccines are given daily at 2716 W. Central and weekly at 1900 E. 9th. The Health Department building at E. 9th serves as a vaccine clinic site during public health emergencies.

The grant was approved by the BoCC and signed by the Chairman on 12/8/21 (Agenda item 38-21-000873). The funding ends June 30, 2024. Funds have been approved by KDHE for this use.

Notes:

This project has a defined performance period: work must be substantially complete by May 31, 2024 and final completion by June 15, 2024.

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: Just for clarification, the pricing is for both signs not each?

Lee Barrier: That is for both signs.

Russell Leeds: When this grant was approved, was signage in the budget line items?

Christine Steward: Yes, it has just taken a while.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS FEBRUARY 1, 2024

**3. NETWORK SWITCHES, WIRED AND WIRELESS NETWORK INFRASTRUCTURE -- DIVISION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
FUNDING -- DIVISION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

(Joint Governmental Purchase - Mid-America Regional Council/Kansas City Regional Purchasing Cooperative (MARC/KCRPC) Contract #93(2R)

#24-2009 Contract

| | |
|---|--|
| | C&C Sales, Inc. dba C&C Group |
| Network Switches, Wired and Wireless Network Infrastructure | Discounted pricing per contract |

On the recommendation of Lee Barrier, on behalf of Division of Information Technology, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to **utilize Mid-America Regional Council/Kansas City Regional Purchasing Cooperative Contract #93(2R) with C&C Sales, Inc. dba C&C Group (C&C Group) in effect through October 31, 2024 with one (1) additional renewal period.** Joni Wilson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The Division of Information Technology is adding the above listed Value Added Reseller (VAR) as an approved vendor for the county.

For example, C&C Group is a VAR for Extreme Networks, a dedicated firm focused on the creation, advancement, and production of wired and wireless network infrastructure equipment. Their array of products encompasses hardware elements crucial for constructing and sustaining networks, alongside software solutions dedicated to network management, policy implementation, analytics, security, and access controls. By emphasizing both the hardware and software facets of wired and wireless networking, which demonstrates a commitment to delivering all-encompassing solutions. Their dedication underscores their goal of furnishing integrated solutions to fortify and uphold secure communication infrastructures.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS FEBRUARY 1, 2024

**4. BACKUP OFFICE 365 LICENSES -- DIVISION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
FUNDING -- DIVISION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

(Joint Governmental Purchase - The Interlocal Purchasing System (TIPS) Contract #220105

#24-2011 Contract

| | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | ThinkGard, LLC |
| Backup Office 365 Licenses | Discounted pricing per contract |

On the recommendation of Lee Barrier, on behalf of Division of Information Technology (DIT), Tim Myers moved to **utilize The Interlocal Purchasing System (TIPS) Contract #220105 with ThinkGard, LLC effective through May 31, 2027**. Brandi Baily seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The Division of Information Technology is adding the above listed Value Added Reseller (VAR) as an approved vendor for the county.

ThinkGard, LLC is a company that provides an online backup solution designed for Office 365 users. This tool efficiently backs up data from email, OneDrive, SharePoint, and Teams. ThinkGard is unique by offering unlimited backup space and ensuring stable and predictable costs.

Presently, the county holds 250 licenses acquired through a one-year agreement. As the county scales up the number of licenses, the overall cost decreases, providing a cost-effective solution. In anticipation of the county-wide transition to Office 365, DIT would like to move to a multi-year agreement.

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: When do you anticipate that transition to start happening?

James Arnce: We are looking to try and get this approved through Bid Board and BoCC in 2025 towards the end of the year probably August/September time. Right now we've been working through TRB to get funding approval and everything done on that front.

Brandi Baily: I just wanted to see if it fell within the time period.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS FEBRUARY 1, 2024

5. AMBULANCES - EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES (EMS) / FLEET MANAGEMENT

FUNDING -- AMBULANCE

(Joint Governmental Purchase - HGACBuy Contract No. AEV-AM10-23)

#24-2007 S/C #8000228692

| | | American Response Vehicles (ARV) & American Emergency Vehicles (AEV) | |
|---|------|--|---------------|
| | Qty. | Unit Cost | Extended Cost |
| 2024 AEV Traumahawk X-Series LTD Type I Custom Ambulance 172x96x72 Ford F550, LWB, 4x2, Gas | 2 | \$364,176.00 | \$728,352.00 |
| Delivery Date | | Delivery will be prior to 12/31/2024 | |

On the recommendation of Joe Thomas, on behalf of EMS and Fleet Management, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to **utilize HGACBuy Contract No. AEV-AM10-23 with American Response Vehicles (ARV) & American Emergency Vehicles (AEV) in the amount of \$728,352.00.** Joni Wilson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Sedgwick County Fleet Management and EMS have been working with American Emergency Vehicles (AEV) in the construction of all new ambulances since 2012. The EMS ambulance fleet consists of 29 front line ambulances and 27 of those were manufactured by AEV.

AEV is located in Jefferson, North Carolina and produces about 1,400 of the 5,000 new ambulances constructed in the United States every year. AEV's parent company, REV Group, owns five (5) other ambulance manufacturers and along with AEV, constructs 45%-50% of all new ambulances produced in the United States.

Ambulances are made-to-order products with various layouts and requirements. EMS requires standardization in the construction of its ambulances. This is critical in assisting to facilitate timely response and care of patients. This standardization provides a safer working environment for responders when working on-scene with patients and is also endorsed by the Commission on Accreditation of Ambulance Services (CAAS). All EMS ambulances are built to the same specifications.

For an ambulance to qualify under HGACBuy, it must meet 75% of the base standard published options group. The customized portion of the ambulance cannot exceed 25% of the construction. The specifications for Sedgwick County's ambulances meet the 75% base standard and consist of just 5% customizable options.

The two (2) ambulances on this purchase will be additions to EMS, bringing the fleet to 31 front line ambulances. On January 1, 2024, EMS added two (2) twelve-hour medic units/ambulances into the rotation to assist with the growing call demand. To do this, we needed to pull two (2) of the spare ambulances and move them into field use. These two (2) additional ambulances will assist in bolstering the spare ambulance reserve and can also be utilized for additional medic units/ambulances to meet future demands.

Questions and Answers

Russell Leeds: Based on supply delays, when do we expect to get these ambulances if we get them started in the construction mode pretty quickly?

Paul Gibson: We have a unique opportunity to purchase these two (2) ambulances. The normal industry standard right now in constructing new ambulances is a 36 to 48 month time frame from the time the order is placed to delivery. A multitude of reasons contribute to that which include vehicle shortages, microchip issues, staffing shortages, and delays in getting resources and products.

AEV, the company that is producing our ambulances, has given us an opportunity. They are going to build these two (2) ambulance as what they call demonstrator or demo models which we're able to put them into production immediately once a build order is signed. Once this goes through and a build order is signed, we anticipate to take delivery of these in October of this year. They are built as demo model and built to our exact specifications. It is no different than any other truck. AEV will use this truck for about 30 to 45 days as a selling model to be able to take out to potential customers to use as a selling tool. Once they are done with that, we get delivery of the trucks.