

April 13, 2023
(4 Items)

1. BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT (B485) – PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING -- B485 REPLACE BRIDGE ON 151ST W OVER NINN / B485 151ST ST.W-17
 (Request sent to 62 vendors)

RFB #23-0018 S/C #8000216034

Engineer's Estimate: \$5,910,589.00	A.M. Cohron & Sons, Inc.	
801-DD-5280; Bridge on 151st Street West over the Ninnescah River (B485)	\$5,787,489.15	
Bid Bond	Yes	
Acknowledged Addenda	Yes	
	Bridges, Inc.	
801-DD-5280; Bridge on 151st Street West over the Ninnescah River (B485)	\$5,528,202.95	
Bid Bond	Yes	
Acknowledged Addenda	Yes	
	Dondlinger & Sons Construction Co., Inc.	
801-DD-5280; Bridge on 151st Street West over the Ninnescah River (B485)	\$4,475,632.50	
Bid Bond	Yes	
Acknowledged Addenda	Yes	
	Reece Construction Company, Inc.	
801-DD-5280; Bridge on 151st Street West over the Ninnescah River (B485)	\$4,885,788.73	
Bid Bond	Yes	
Acknowledged Addenda	Yes	
No Bid	J & J Contractors, Inc.	McCullough Excavation, Inc.
	Nowak Construction Co., Inc.	Pavement Pro's, LLC
	Snodgrass & Sons Construction Co., Inc.	Unruh Excavating
	Vance Brothers	

On the recommendation of Lee Barrier, on behalf of Public Works, Tim Myers moved to **accept the low bid from Dondlinger & Sons Construction Co., Inc. in the amount of \$4,475,632.50**. Anna Meyerhoff-Cole seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Sedgwick County CIP Project B485 will replace a bridge originally constructed in 1955 located on 151st Street West over the Ninnescah River just south of Clearwater. The bridge is structurally deficient with a sufficiency rating of 37.3 out of 100. Load restrictions are currently in place on this bridge. Dondlinger & Sons Construction Co., Inc. is well known to Public Works and has successfully worked on many county projects.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS APRIL 13, 2023

2. ON-CALL CONCRETE AND PAVING -- VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS

FUNDING -- VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS

(Request sent to 91 vendors)

RFB #23-0019 Contract

CONCRETE	UOM	Conspec, Inc. dba Kansas Paving	PP&J Construction, Inc.
1) 4" Thick Concrete Removal			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$9.00	\$4.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$5.00	\$3.50
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$3.00	\$3.00
2) 6" Thick Concrete Removal			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$10.00	\$5.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$6.00	\$3.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$4.00	\$2.50
3) 8" Thick Concrete Removal			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$11.00	\$6.25
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$7.00	\$4.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$5.00	\$3.15
4) 4" Thick Concrete Installation			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$11.50	\$10.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$8.50	\$6.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$7.50	\$5.50
5) 6" Thick Concrete Installation			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$13.00	\$16.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$10.00	\$9.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$9.00	\$8.00
6) 8" Thick Concrete Installation			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$15.00	\$20.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$13.00	\$11.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$11.00	\$10.00
7) Curb/Gutter Removal			
Less than 150 LF	Linear Foot	\$10.50	\$16.00
150 LF or more	Linear Foot	\$9.50	\$9.00
8) Curb/Gutter Installation			
Less than 150 LF	Linear Foot	\$30.00	\$50.00
150 LF or more	Linear Foot	\$20.00	\$28.00
ADA compliant curb ramp with truncate dome pavers		\$900.00	\$1,500.00
Truncated dome pavers 2' x 4' area in existing sidewalk		\$600.00	\$350.00
Truncated dome pavers 2' x 4' area in new sidewalk		\$600.00	\$400.00
Ad hoc rate per employee		\$45.00	\$200.00
Ad hoc material mark up		45%	30%
PAVEMENT	UOM		
1) Crack Cleaning and Repair - 1/4" to 1"			
Less than 150 LF	Linear Foot	\$9.00	\$5.00
150 LF or more	Linear Foot	\$5.00	\$2.50
2) Sealant			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$4.00	\$3.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$2.00	\$1.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$1.50	\$0.40
3) 6" Thick Removal			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$5.00	\$8.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$4.00	\$3.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$3.00	\$2.50
4) 8" Thick Removal			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$6.00	\$10.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$5.00	\$8.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$4.00	\$5.00
5) 6" Thick Installation			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$10.00	\$12.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$9.00	\$10.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$8.00	\$9.50
6) 8" Thick Installation			
Less than 200 SF	Square Foot	\$12.00	\$15.00
200 SF or more but less than 2,000 SF	Square Foot	\$11.00	\$10.00
2,000 SF or more	Square Foot	\$10.00	\$11.00
7) Striping			
Rate per employee	Hour	\$50.00	\$120.00
Material mark up		60%	\$550.00

8) Resealing Joints and Sealing Cracks			
	Hour	\$750.00	\$100.00
Ad hoc rate per employee	Hour	\$50.00	\$60.00
Ad hoc material mark up		42%	\$1.00 per square foot
Concrete parking block, installed	Each	\$100.00	\$100.00
Acknowledge Addendum		Yes	Yes
No Bid		All American Concrete, LLC	Barkley Construction
		Foundation Building Materials LLC	Pavement Pro's LLC
		Wildcat Construction Co., Inc.	

On the recommendation of Lee Barrier, on behalf of various county departments, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to **accept the bids from Conspec Inc. dba Kansas Paving and PP&J Construction Inc. and establish contract rates for three (3) years with two (2) one (1) years options to renew.** Jennifer Blasi seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Services may include, but are not limited to, concrete and asphalt removal and installation, curb ramps, pavers, crack sealing, and striping. Project Services, Public Works, and Facilities Maintenance agree both companies will be able to fulfill the requirements of this contract. Both companies have worked with the county on similar scope projects and the county is satisfied that the work will be done according to specifications.

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: So under the installation services the ad hoc material markup, those are huge percentages. Is that pretty typical to have that huge of a markup? For item #8, Curb/Gutter Installation, the markup is 45% and 30% for PP&J and on Striping the markup is 60% and \$550.00 for PPJ. Are those markups typical?

Joe Thomas: I would say usually we don't get the percentage markup when normally doing business. We get it because we requested it in the RFB. Each business does their own particular markup. So whether or not this is good for the market, I don't know. That's proprietary for them about what their markups are. We know this one because we asked specifically. In case we were to get an invoice or cost and then see if they did price it the right way. Is that right Lynn?

Lynn Packer: Yes. We did look at both proposals that did come in. When you look at the ad hoc markup like Joe said, everyone has their proprietary means how they want to propose on a job as to what they will do. In this particular case this is an attempt to cover other items that aren't covered under the specific item. We looked at both manners, one is a percentage and one is per square foot. They are comparable in the fact that if you have a smaller job that doesn't have a lot of material that you are going to need then it may make more sense to go with the dollar per square foot additional whereas if you have a larger job and you have an idea of what it is you can look at it and go no, I can do the math real quick and figure out the 42% markup is going to be a better job for us in this particular case. It actually is kind of nice in the fact that you can look at these in advance and know which of the two (2) vendors you wish to go with based on the size of the job.

Brandi Baily: So really this markup isn't on the items they are quoting. These are additional items they could use that aren't listed specifically.

Lynn Packer: Yes. This is for additional work that would doesn't specifically have a line item in there.

Tim Myers: Do you know what our spend was for last year?

Lynn Packer: I do not because we are not the only ones who use this one.

Joe Thomas: We could try and get that figure for you. Do we know all the vendors we used previously?

Lynn Packer: The last contract I do know were with both of these particular vendors. They were both on the vendor list I believe. Is that correct Lee?

Lee Barrier: Yes.

Lynn Packer: Going back quite a bit, I believe we had CMC, which is our Cornejo affiliate that has been in there in the past but they have not been recently that I am aware of.

Brandi Baily: Will this make it to where these are the only two (2) vendors that are on?

Lynn Packer: For on-call type service, yes.

Brandi Baily: In the past there's been more than just these two (2)?

Lynn Packer: The very last time we had a three (3) year contract, it was just the two (2).

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS APRIL 13, 2023

**3. POST-MORTEM FORENSIC TOXICOLOGY TESTING SERVICES -- REGIONAL FORENSIC SCIENCE CENTER (RFSC)
FUNDING -- TOXICOLOGY**

(Request sent to 55 vendors)

RFP #23-0011 Contract

	Axis Forensic Toxicology	National Medical Services, Inc. dba NMS Labs
Commonly used panels and tests		
Expanded/comprehensive drug panel	\$225.00	\$235.00
Lidocane and metabolite blood	\$140.00	\$182.00
Carisoprodol and metabolite blood	\$140.00	\$227.00
Amitriptyline and metabolite blood	\$140.00	\$138.00
Gabapentin, blood	\$140.00	\$137.00
Levetiracetam, blood	\$140.00	\$128.00
Pregabalin, blood	\$140.00	\$316.00
Bupirion, blood	\$192.00	\$127.00
Xylazine	N/A	\$795.00
Ketamine and metabolite	\$140.00	\$109.00

On the recommendation of Britt Rosencutter, on behalf of the Regional Forensic Science Center (RFSC), Tim Myers moved to **accept the proposals from Axis Forensic Toxicology and National Medical Services, Inc. dba NMS Labs and establish contract pricing at the rates provided in the respective proposal responses until December 31, 2023 with the option to renew annually.** Ann Meyerhoff-Cole seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A committee comprised of Shelly Steadman, Katie Asbury, Cynthia Burroughs-Ponder, Kimberly Youso, and Anisten Aurand - Regional Forensic Science Center; and Britt Rosencutter - Purchasing, evaluated the proposal responses based on the criteria set forth in the RFP. The committee agreed to accept the proposals from both Axis Forensic Toxicology and National Medical Laboratory Services, Inc. dba NMS Labs.

Awarding both vendors will allow RFSC to choose the most cost-effective provider depending on the testing needed, provide redundancy for the external testing needs, maintain competitive pricing for agreement renewals, and offer the broadest variety of possible test services available for detection of novel and emerging drugs.

RFSC Toxicology Laboratory provides ante- and post-mortem testing of biological samples. RFSC is seeking the assistance of an external post-mortem toxicology testing provider in order to expedite autopsy case results, increase the mean turn-around time, and reduce the existing laboratory backlog. RFSC has secured grant funding for the external testing of approximately 500 cases through August 2025. RFSC will continue to provide on-site testing of cases determined to have a high likelihood of requiring court testimony and validate instrumentation during the grant project period(s).

Notes:

The total annual spend for 2021 & 2022:

	<u>AXIS</u>	<u>NMS</u>
2021	\$25,899.00	\$11,579.00
2022	\$41,187.00	\$15,870.00

Complete price schedules are available to review at:

<https://www.sedgwickcounty.org/finance/purchasing/current-bids-and-proposals/23-0011/>

I would like to start with saying this is a proposal not a bid. Proposals are scored based on criteria set forth in the RFP. There are five (5) components to this RFP.

Component	Points
a. Project Approach – Does the feasibility of the firm’s proposal indicate they will provide all requirements set forth by the scope of work? Has the vendor demonstrated the ability to manage similar projects and that resources are available to meet the needs of this project? Will the testing approach be similar to current practices such that Pathologists are provided all required test information upon receipt of the report(s)?	25
b. Personnel, Staffing, and Accreditation– Does the vendor meet or exceed standards set forth for the Chief Toxicologist? Is the vendor adequately staffed with qualified scientists? Have the scientists completed a documented training program? Does the vendor hold the preferred level of accreditation?	20
c. Quality of work – Does the vendor have proven performance history with the county or other Medical Examiner’s Offices in the region?	20
d. Responsiveness – Was all requested information provided and did follow-up inquiries receive timely response?	10
e. Cost – How does cost compare for fully interrogated cases (e.g. testing of blood for drugs and volatiles, quantification of drugs in blood, volatiles in vitreous, and analyte confirmation of drugs of abuse in urine)?	25
Total Points	100

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: Thank you for giving us the spend amount last year. So we already use these two (2) vendors. This will be paid out of grant funding 100% not with general funding. Is that correct?

Shelly Steadman: The vast majority of this testing is paid for with grant money. However, there can be an occasion where we would send something out specific to court that would not be covered under grant provided services or an out-of-county case if we need specialized testing for which we are reimbursed. We would not use grant money for that because basically we would be double dipping.

Brandi Baily: So we've done this type of outsourcing service for awhile it looks like. How has that helped with the backlog? If we didn't outsource the post-mortem testing, how could that increased our backlog? How has this helped?

Shelly Steadman: Currently I believe we have around 450 cases backlogged in tox. That's a combination of post-mortem and ante-mortem or human performance test, which is drug identification and drivers. We've been using these two (2) laboratories for decades to provide services. There are unique tests that we may not have validated methods for so we've always used these labs for send outs.

Recently with our increased turn around time that we were experiencing and our inability to complete autopsy cases in a timely fashion, I started to pursue grant funding to offset the amount of work that we are carrying out in-house. As an example, I believe in February we would have received somewhere between 80 and 100 cases, we sent over 30 of those out. It really helps to decrease that time. It serves two (2) important purposes. We're being picky about the cases we send out. We prefer to send out drug overdose cases and suspected suicides because the drug overdose cases are generally poly drug in nature and they can take our lab a really long time to complete. We also select those case types because they are unlikely to require court testimony.

We've also been contracting with a pathologist from Johnson County and she gets paid when her cases are completed. So if it takes our tox lab 90 days to complete the analysis on her biological, she wouldn't get paid for four (4) months. Here we are getting results in two (2) weeks. She can get paid timely for her services.

It serves a lot of purposes. The main purpose here is we are bringing in a lot of new instrumentation and we need to have that validated. So our folks are diverted from their regular casework duties to perform those validations. To offset that we are trying to keep things moving through by using these services. For example, the Coverdale grant purchased an instrument and this outsourcing for the purpose of allowing our staff to validate the instrument. It was kind of a package project. Does that answer your question?

Brandi Baily: I appreciate it. It helps to validate this is something that is needed in the county and we're not just sending out services to send out just because. The pricing they've quoted in there, is that comparable to what we've paid in the past?

Shelly Steadman: It's actually much less than what we are currently paying.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS APRIL 13, 2023

4. ORGANIZATIONAL DIVERSITY & INCLUSION CONSULTANT -- MANAGER'S OFFICE

FUNDING -- MANAGER'S OFFICE

(Contract Extension)

RFP #21-0046 Contract #4861-12 / 62-21-000908

	Hicks-Carter-Hicks, LLC	
	Project Status	Price
1. Meet with key stakeholders - Planning, Prep, Meeting(s), and Post-Work	Completed	\$3,500.00
2. Develop communication plan and email templates	Completed	\$2,500.00
3. Conduct up to twelve interviews with stakeholders	Completed	\$6,600.00
4. Create and administer online employee survey (up to 3,000 participants)	Completed	\$12,000.00
5. Conduct up to seven (7) virtual 1.5 hour focus groups (Employee and community focus groups; up to 15 people per focus group; and up to 1.5 hours each)	Completed	\$10,500.00
6. Analysis of collected data and synthesis into themes	Completed	\$6,900.00
7. Create an electronic, written report detailing results of DEI audit along with recommendations	Completed	\$4,000.00
8. Review/audit HR policies/procedures, DEI training programs	Completed	\$12,000.00
9. Aid in establishment and formation of DEI Committee (up to 35 hours allocated for the committee startup - combination of virtual and in-person work) - Planning, Prep, DiSC Workplace Team Assessment, Commission-member Orientation Session, and Meeting Facilitation (inclusive of 15 Everything DiSC Workplace assessments for the Commission's orientation)	Not Completed	\$15,000.00
10. Facilitate the development of the county's DEI statement detailing mission, vision, and values via visioning session with DEI committee	Not Completed	\$7,000.00
11. Develop and execute a DEI training and education strategy to include tailoring H-C-H's DEI program to fit county's needs, provide a three (3) day train-the-trainer session for up to 10 county trainers; execute a one (1) year license agreement that provides the county access to H-C-H's DEI training program:	Not Completed	\$16,000.00 plus travel expenses
a. Three (3) day Train-the-Trainer session for up to ten (10) trainers - inclusive of materials		
b. One (1) year H-C-H DEI training licenses for county employees only	Not Completed	\$18,000.00
Project Total		\$114,000.00 plus travel expenses
Remaining Project Work Total		\$56,000.00 plus travel expenses

On the recommendation of Jaimee Witmer, on behalf of the Manager's Office, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to **extend the original contract term for organizational and inclusion consultant services with Hicks-Carter-Hicks, LLC for an additional period of 10 months beginning February 17, 2023 through December 17, 2023 for items 9-11 in the amount of \$56,000.00 plus travel expenses**. Jennifer Blasi seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Sedgwick County is seeking services of an Organizational Diversity and Inclusion Consultant with expertise in guiding the organization through successful Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion transformation. This consultant will assist the organization to develop a long-term roadmap [a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Plan] that is aligned with the county's strategic plan and will ensure that barriers to inclusion continue to decrease, while internal awareness, knowledge, and skills continue to increase. This roadmap will include methods of long-term monitoring and evaluation that considers several areas including, but not limited to, the internal staffing practices, policies, procedures, community partnership engagement, and ongoing staff education.

The consultant has completed Phase 1, which includes Tasks 1-8. Phase 2 will focus on Tasks 9-11. Phase 2 outcomes will include a public statement regarding Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion that serves how Sedgwick County operates and makes decisions that impact budgeting, policies, procedures, operations, programming, outreach, and management practices. Also, training and education strategies that will increase the awareness, knowledge, and skills of Sedgwick County staff and boards as it pertains to Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. As well as development of an active and engaged Diverse, Equity, and Inclusion committee comprised of key stakeholders with county staff and a sponsor.

Notes:

All work is being performed virtually except item 11a. which is why it is the only item with travel expenses.

Questions and Answers

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: Was Phase 2 outlined in the original RFP?

Jaimee Witmer: Yes.

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: Are these prices the same as what they had in the original RFP?

Jaimee Witmer: Yes.

Brandi Baily: The project total was \$114,000.00 and remaining is \$56,000.00 so what's remaining was that a part of the original \$114,000.00 or is that an additional \$56,000.00?

Jaimee Witmer: That's a part of the original \$114,000.00 if you look at the table, items 9-11 and the travel expense is just on item 11a. It's the only item that is not a virtual item. Everything else is virtual. The \$56,000.00 is just remaining out of the total \$114,000.00 for the whole project.

Brandi Baily: So really it truly is just a contract extension?

Jaimee Witmer: Correct.