

## **MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

### **REGULAR MEETING**

**October 11, 2000**

The Regular Meeting of the Board of the County Commissioners of Sedgwick County, Kansas, was called to order at 9:00 A.M., on Wednesday, October 11, 2000 in the County Commission Meeting Room in the Courthouse in Wichita, Kansas, by Chairman Thomas G. Winters; with the following present: Chair Pro Tem Carolyn McGinn; Commissioner Betsy Gwin; Commissioner Bill Hancock; Commissioner Ben Sciortino; Mr. William P. Buchanan, County Manager; Mr. Rich Euson, County Counselor; Ms. LaWanda DeShazer, Administrative Officer, Fire District #1; Mr. Robert W. Parnacott, Assistant County Counselor; Mr. Marvin Krout, Director, Metropolitan Area Planning Department; Mr. Jarold D. Harrison, Assistant County Manager; Ms. Jeanette Partridge, Contract Manager, Comprehensive Community Care; Mr. Jim Osterlund, Project Manager, Facility Project Services; Mr. Mark R. Borst, P.E., Division of Public Works; Mr. Tom Pollan, Director, Emergency Medical Services; Mr. David Spears, Director, Bureau of Public Works; Ms. Iris Baker, Director, Purchasing Department; Ms. Kristi Zukovich, Director, Communications; and Lisa Davis, Deputy County Clerk.

#### **GUESTS**

Ms. Barbara Pinnaire, Representative, Total Document Systems.  
Mr. Doug Moshier, City of Wichita Attorney's Office.

#### **INVOCATION**

The Invocation was led by Mr. Mark Brown, of Native American Spirituality.

#### **FLAG SALUTE**

#### **ROLL CALL**

The Clerk reported, after calling roll, that all Commissioners were present.

**Chairman Winters** said, "Next item."

#### **CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES:**

Regular Meeting, September 13, 2000  
Regular Meeting, September 20, 2000

The Clerk reported that all Commissioners were present at the Regular Meeting of September 13, 2000 and that Commissioner Sciortino was absent at the Regular Meeting of September 20, 2000.

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Chairman Winters** said, "Commissioners, has everyone reviewed these Minutes or do we need to postpone these?"

**Commissioner McGinn** said, "I would like to defer the Minutes of September 13th, 2000 for one week."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Okay, how about the 20th? Let's just defer them both then. Have we reviewed those?"

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "I think the 20th has been reviewed. The 13th was a lengthy meeting and hasn't gotten around to everybody."

**MOTION**

Commissioner McGinn moved to Approve the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of September 20th, 2000.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Abstain
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**MOTION**

Chairman Winters moved to defer approval of the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of September 13th, 2000 for one week.

Commissioner McGinn seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you. Next item.”

**YOUR COUNTY SERVICES**

**A. FIRE DEPARTMENT.**

**Chairman Winters** said, “Each week, on our agenda, we take a few minutes to visit about one of the departments in Sedgwick County to hear a little bit more about them and this morning we’re going to hear about part of our Fire Department, fire service. Welcome.”

**Ms. LaWanda DeShazer**, Administrative Officer, Fire District #1, greeted the Commissioners and said, “I’m going to give you a brief overview of some of the services that the Fire District provides.

“Sedgwick County Fire District serves a population of 87,000 citizens. The Fire District has eight stations placed throughout Sedgwick County so that we can respond to citizens within an average of 4.5 minutes. To date this year, Sedgwick County Fire District has responded to 4,605 calls. We have saved over \$35,000,000 in property value this year.

“The Fire District provides citizens with fire suppression, basic life support fire responder, technical rescue response, hazardous materials response, fire prevention programs such as ‘Adopt a School’, fire cause determination, roadside assistance programs, plant examinations and commercial property inspections.

“We also have mutual aid agreements with other fire districts in the surrounding areas and that means that if a fire station needs our help, they can call us and we will respond to them. We also have fire responder agreements with the City of Wichita and Butler County and that means that the nearest station to a call responds.

## **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

“This week happens to be Fire Prevention Week and the Fire District has a very busy schedule. This past Saturday we started Fire Prevention Week with an open house at Fire Station #37 and that’s at 43rd and Woodlawn. The program . . . we had over 400 adults and kids attend. They got to tour the station. They learned about fire prevention measures and they had a good time interacting with the fire station. On Tuesday we had our 4th Annual Fire Prevention Week program for 5th graders at the Zoo. Over 1,700 students and teachers from Wichita and Sedgwick County attended. This is a fantastic program that has numerous demonstrations, displays and lots of hands-on activities for the kids. Along with Sedgwick County Fire District, Wichita Fire, Boeing and McConnell Fire also participated. Other agencies that were there were EMS, Red Cross, Salvation Army, the Sheriff’s Department, Emergency Communications, Disaster Management, Via Christi Burn Center, Wesley’s Lifewatch, and Sedgwick County’s Forensic Science Center.

“Our crews are scheduled all week to visit each school in the Fire District to conduct fire drills, safety inspections and demonstrations. As a close to Fire Prevention Week, we would like to invite everyone to come out this Sunday to the Exploration Place for the ‘Great Escape’, a community event. This program is a project of Wichita Area Safe Kids Coalition. There will be 14 area fire departments participating and we encourage everyone to come out and see the displays, the antique fire equipment and participate in all the activities that are planned.

“All the activities for Fire Prevention Week are design to make people aware of the importance of fire safety so that they can make their homes and places of work safer from the threat of fire. I thank you for your time and the opportunity to spotlight the Fire District.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you very much. Got a question or two. Commissioner McGinn.”

**Commissioner McGinn** said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. LaWanda, you guys have done a great job, I think, going out to the schools in the community and teaching them about fire safety and how to get out of homes and we just talked about a family from, was it Goddard, the Rasmussen family, how the kids put together a plan and ended up saving the whole family. And so, your work is important.

“I have a question. Something that is kind of current right now and going on, and that’s the dry weather. Who makes the decision to put a ban on?”

## **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Ms. DeShazer** said, "That would be our Fire Marshall, Greg Thompson, and he considers factors as high temperatures, lack of rain, the humidity, and we have a lot of these factors present. Those are conditions that a fire could burn out of control, so when those conditions are present, he puts the burn ban in effect."

**Commissioner McGinn** said, "And I know we just recently lifted the ban, I believe, and I know up in my area we've received less than 40/100th of rain and it's still pretty dry out there and I was talking to some of the firemen over at the Colwich station and talking about extending our permit, you know, to do certain fires and that type of thing and talking about how dry it is and they said, 'You know, people still have to use common sense, whether there's a ban out there or not' and look at their own environment and see what they think, whether they could or not. I would caution folks, with the high winds in Kansas, to be very careful burning right now."

**Ms. DeShazer** said, "Yes, and I believe that you're supposed to still call and make sure your permit is still effective. If not, you need to get another burn permit issued."

**Commissioner McGinn** said, "Thank you."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, thank you, LaWanda. Would those from the Fire District please stand and LaWanda, would you tell us who else is here from the Fire District. Would you all please stand. Jeff, that includes you."

**Ms. DeShazer** said, "Jeff Cowley, one of our firefighters. Next to him is Chuck Thomas, Fire Marshall Greg Thompson, our Chief Gary Curmode and Rick Brazill."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, thank you very much, and LaWanda and to the rest of you, we do appreciate very much the work you do and especially during this Fire Prevention Week. Last Sunday we did have a memorial at Resthaven Cemetery recognizing and remembering all the firefighters who have lost their lives in fighting fires and it's been 10 years since Todd Colton was the last firefighter to lose his life and we certainly had a special remembrance of his work here at Sedgwick County. It was a very good memorial service. A number of you participated in that and I think it is good to certainly remember on a regular basis those who have given their lives and put their lives at risk every day and we're very unfortunate."

"Thank you all. We appreciate the work that every one of you do for Sedgwick County. LaWanda, thank you. It was a great, great report. Do I have a Motion to receive and file?"

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to Receive and file.

Commissioner McGinn seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, "Next item please."

**NEW BUSINESS**

**B. RESOLUTION APPROVING THE ANNEXATION OF LAND NOT ADJOINING THE CITY OF WICHITA, KANSAS.**

**Mr. Robert W. Parnacott**, Assistant County Counselor, greeted the Commissioners and said, "I'm here to present the item."

**POWERPOINT PRESENTATION**

"This is an island annexation that has been requested by the City of Wichita under KSA 12-520C. That statute covers annexations where the land being annexed is not adjoining the City of Wichita, but is within the County of Sedgwick. The statute requires them to file a certified resolution with the Board of County Commissioners, which they have done. It's a situation where the owners of the land have either petitioned for the annexation, or they have consented to it. The Board's role in this matter is to determine whether the annexation will hinder or prevent proper growth in the area or for any other incorporated cities in the County."

## **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

“Once annexation occurs, the land annexed will not be considered as adjoining the City for the purposes of other annexations until the City of Wichita has annexed the intervening territory between its boundary and the new property.

“We have a report prepared by MAPD (Metropolitan Area Planning Department) and they have indicated that the property owner plans to develop it with mostly single-family homes and some Limited Commercial Use. Marvin Krout, from the MAPD, is here to answer any questions, as are Terry Cassidy and Doug Moshier from the City of Wichita.

“Probably the most pertinent fact out of the MAPD report is that the City of Bel Aire and the City of Wichita have a service agreement under which Bel Aire cannot extend services west of Oliver, unless the City of Wichita consents to that. The City of Bel Aire has requested to be able to provide services to that area, but the City of Wichita denied that request.

“I don’t have any other comments to make. This isn’t a matter that is required to be set for a public hearing, but you certainly can open it up for public comments and if you do that, once that period is closed, I would recommend that you find that this particular annexation will not hinder or prevent the proper growth to the area or of any other incorporated city.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you. I think we will, probably, open it up for public comment. I would like to also hear comments from Marvin Krout and have Marvin’s perspective on this from our angle a little bit. There is a question and, Marvin, you may need to help with the questions, so maybe you need to head over towards the bench. Commissioner McGinn.”

**Commissioner McGinn** said, “Okay. I’m trying to understand this map a little bit. It looks like . . . what is this down here? That looks like that says 37th Street North.”

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “It does. The yellow street is Oliver. It’s mis-labeled. That’s Oliver.”

**Commissioner McGinn** said, “Okay. When they annex that, will they take care of half the road, to the mid-line, or who’s going to take care of the road.”

**Mr. Parnacott** said, “I think that needs to be worked out.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Marvin, can you answer that one?”

## Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000

**Mr. Marvin Krout**, Director, MAPD, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Let me point out, I think the map is in error in a couple of ways. We didn't prepare this map, but the yellow line should be the right-of-way of Oliver, between 37th and 45th Streets. Really, the area to the east of that right-of-way is annexed by the City of Bel Aire. The right-of-way itself is unincorporated County, and in fact, that section of Oliver is in the Capital Improvement Program for widening, I think it's in construction 2004. The City's intention, at this time, is to only annex to the west line of the right-of-way. That would leave the right-of-way still in the responsibility of the County.

"The City's general policy is not to annex streets unless their boundaries are on both sides of those streets. Obviously, that means that . . . I mean, there are certain situations where you're going to have two municipalities on either side of the street and recently, . . . in fact, you had the situation with 37th Street, from Oliver to Woodlawn, that we talked about a couple of months ago, where the County maintaining that street. Bel Aire is on one side and Wichita is on the other and that's why, in our report, we indicated to you that it is important for Wichita and Bel Aire to get together with regard to both of those streets and work out what the future responsibilities are going to be for maintaining and policing those right-of-ways.

"I think that it's reasonable to expect that someone other than the County is eventually going to be responsibly for those two street segments. I don't think that Bel Aire and Wichita have had those discussions yet, but I think that since the debate about 37th Street and Woodlawn that we had a few months ago, I think that there is a more cooperative attitude between the two cities and I think that that issue can be resolved in the future."

**Commissioner McGinn** said, "Well, can we make that a condition of annexation? I know we've had . . . you know, we talk about Valley Center and Park City. They're having a little struggle defining their line and they're having to work together to decide the police and who's going to maintain that road and we find, as these cases come up, we been finding out that either one city has only to the middle, or the County still has it all or that type of thing and I just . . . to try to finally get on that track of who's supposed to take care of what road, as communities grow, can we . . . I guess it would probably need to be . . . I know this is in Betsy's district, but, I mean, can we make that a condition of annexation, that road maintenance agreements are made prior to?"

**Mr. Krout** said, "That's a legal question. I think Rich will have to answer that."



## Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000

**Mr. Rich Euson**, County Counselor, greeted the Commissioners and said, "I don't know of any reason why you couldn't make that a condition. This is not a unilateral annexation and the question before you is whether, by approving it, you would prevent or hinder the proper growth of the area or that of any other incorporated city and the road maintenance and road responsibility is part of that and it's very clear that if you don't do anything, that probably neither city is going to step up to the plate and relieve us of the obligation of maintaining the road. So, I would think you could make it a condition."

**Chairman Winters** said, "I've got three lights blinking. So, lets go ahead and we'll be back. Commissioner Hancock."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "I was wondering what the little jog-out is there and who would . . . what municipality has that? Is that still going to be unincorporated?"

**Mr. Krout** said, "It's an out-parcel. It's an unplatted lot. I think it has a house on it. It would be eligible to be annexed, if this property was annexed, but right now it's a separate ownership and because it's an island today, that owner hasn't requested annexation. It's not part of this request."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Thank you, Marvin. Commissioner Gwin."

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I've talked with the Mayor of Bel Aire and I've talked with the fellow who wants to develop this parcel and one of the things that complicates this is, I think the laws says that we're supposed to decide whether or not this annexation would impede the growth of any other city and what is interesting about this one is that if I allow the City of Wichita to annex it, it will in fact impede the growth of Bel Aire, because they can't come west of Oliver. If I don't allow the City of Wichita to annex it, it very well may impede their growth. So, that seem to be a rather moot question. I mean, really on both sides of the issue, one city's growth would be affected.

"I think what is more compelling to me to support this, and certainly considering Commissioner McGinn concern, is the fact that City of Bel Aire cannot provide services because of the water agreement with the City of Wichita. And since they can't, and that doesn't seem that that's going to happen any time soon, then I think we're probably compelled to consider Wichita's request.

### **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

“On the road issue, I don’t know who decided it or who determined it, but long before any of us were here, when it comes to where townships meet, or where county line roads meet, someone determined that a specific township is responsible for a certain section of that adjoining roadway, or a specific township is responsible for a section in my district, for instance, of 159th Street, which is the line between Butler and Sedgwick. That north of Central it’s the responsibility of Bruno township which is a Butler County township. South of Central, the roadway, same road, is the responsibility of Minneha township, a Sedgwick County township. So, someone determined that where these entities meet, and will forever meet, one entity needs to be responsible for the roadway, the entire roadway. And so I think it would not be unreasonable for us to assign the maintenance of this mile to the City of Wichita, as a basis of their request to annex. Other adjoining roadways have been so assigned by some predecessor and it works very well, because that way you don’t have to fight over who’s maintaining the road, nor does one have to expect that one entity maintains to the middle line of the road and the other entity maintains the other half of the road. That’s silly, and since there are going to be cities on either side of this roadway, it certainly doesn’t appear to me that it’s going to continue to be a County responsibility. So, I’d be real interested in considering Commissioner McGinn’s suggestion.”

**Mr. Parnacott** said, “If I can make just a quick comment on Commissioner Gwin’s observations. The Kansas Supreme Court has indicated the finding that you need to make under this statute as to whether it will impede. The statute says, of course, hinder or prevent the proper growth to the area. They said that’s analogous to a finding of whether or not manifest injury would occur either to the property owner who’s being annexed or to the surrounding area. So, that’s one way to look at it and then that dovetails into the other issue, which is the annexation, technically speaking, isn’t what’s going to be preventing or impeding the growth of Bel Aire to the west. I mean, it’s really the service agreement that they entered into that’s doing that. I’m not sure, but I don’t believe that the land owner can obtain these kinds of services from anybody other than Bel Aire or Wichita. So, you’re right, there’s kind of a rock and a hard place situation here.”

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “Right. Thank you, Bob.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you. Commissioner Sciortino.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think I have a question of Marvin. Marvin, where is the City of Bel Aire’s line? Did they annex to the center line or did they annex to the west side of the road?”

### Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000

**Mr. Krout** said, "My recollection is that they've annexed just to the east side of the road. On the 37th Street example, if you remember that, they did annex to the center line of 37th Street but the County was maintaining the whole right-of-way still, up until now. But I think in this case, the entire right-of-way is still unincorporated."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Okay, thank you. One other question to Mr. Euson, just as a follow up on what Commissioner Gwin has been saying. Since neither city has annexed the road, but then it's going to be pretty obvious that there's going to be a city on each side of the road. As part of this annexation request, could we do what she suggested, about the City of Bel Aire maintain the southern half and the City of Wichita maintain the northern half, something like what you were talking about the townships?"

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "Yeah, but this is a mile. I mean, I wouldn't split up a mile. When the townships do it, they take at least a full mile segment."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Okay. I guess I withdraw my question. That's all I have. Thank you."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right. Well, I'm going to . . . and I have been supportive, generally, of this proposed annexation and want to move forward with that. I'm, I guess, a little uncomfortable if . . . just from our first reaction this morning, we start putting on conditions on the annexation without clearly thinking through with all the other partners, who's going to do what because there may be an obvious trade-off with some other portion of road that we could do that would help all three entities, Bel Aire, City of Wichita and Sedgwick County. And maybe this is a time when we need to sit down and work through some of those to make sure that we're doing something that will work with everybody. I don't know if this . . . if there is some urgency rush that this needs to be done today or if it could be deferred for one week. There are others here in the meeting room. I guess I would open it up, if anybody else has a comment that they would like to make concerning this annexation, we'd take that comment now. Is there anyone here who would like to address the Board of County Commissioners on this annexation?"

**Mr. Parnacott** said, "I need to make one more point real quick on whether there's any sort of a time table and the statute does state that the Board of County Commissioners shall, within 30 days following the receipt of the certified resolution, make findings and notify the governing body of the City. We got the certified resolution on September 15th, so the statute does have a 30 day clock for making . . ."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "We have four days to do this, is that what you're saying?"

## Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000

**Mr. Parnacott** said, "The statute says you have to make findings within 30 days, yes."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "So, today's the day."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Commissioner McGinn."

**Commissioner McGinn** said, "So, Rich, what if we didn't do it? What if we deferred it a week? What does that mean? Do they have to refile or what's the legal procedure?"

**Mr. Euson** said, "There's two kinds of time limitations in statutes. There are directory time limitations that tell you you ought to do something in a particular time period and there's mandatory ones that say if you don't do it within a certain period of time you're going to suffer the consequences. It's been my opinion that this statute is the one that's directory, that you should do this within 30 days. The fact of the matter is in most island annexations they can't be done within 30 days because we need to get a report from Planning Commission and so forth. So, I'm not sure. . . I don't think anything bad is going to happen if you defer this for a week or two, or whatever period of time you think is necessary, because I think it's a directory provision in the statute."

**Commissioner McGinn** said, "I guess I'll listen to what my colleagues want to do, but I think that this is something that we need to take a look at. We've run into these problems in the past on other road problems or annexation or right-of-way and that type of thing and here we're going to do a special island annexation and I think that maybe it wouldn't hurt for us to study how we're going to do future growth and who's going to be responsible for the roads."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Okay. Commissioner Sciortino."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I agree with you, Mr. Chairman. I fully support this concept, primarily because the landowner has requested it. I don't know that he has a particular love affair with Wichita or Bel Aire but he needs the services and it's quite apparent that the only way that he can get those services is through Wichita. I also am a little uncomfortable, at this point in time, without the other individuals knowing that we were going to discuss this, talk about putting on who's supposed to take care of the road, without getting some other input from them as to whether or not this would be totally injurious to them or what have you."

### **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

“If we’re forced to vote on it today, because of this 30 day requirement, I’d be uncomfortable tacking on the maintenance of the road onto the request but if we would have the luxury of deferring it, so that we could discuss this with Wichita, with Bel Aire, amongst ourselves just to find out what maybe in the future we might want to do, I would have no problem at all in deferring it, if it looks like we have the option to do that, so that we could discuss it because I think the points that are being made here by both Commissioner McGinn and Commissioner Gwin are very valid and I think it would behoove the County to have a policy going forward about who’s going to maintain these roads because without it, I think cities would be foolish to voluntarily annex the road so they would maintain it. They would prefer that the County maintain all the roads and they have all the city and all the revenue for it. So, that’s all I have to say about that.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Okay, thank you. Commissioner Hancock.”

**Commissioner Hancock** said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, today I think the only vote that I would put forward on this as an affirmative vote would be one that would approve the annexation subject to an agreement brought to us, an acceptable agreement, between the City of Bel Aire and the City of Wichita on maintenance of that mile of road or, in lieu of that, an inclusion of that mile of road into the annexation process, and the reason I say that is because we’ve had a number of properties that have been annexed throughout the City of Wichita that are at the edges of the unincorporated area that have not included the streets that go with those annexations. Unfortunately, it’s been a unilateral annexation.

“We’ve never had the opportunity to comment on those and this is one of those opportunities where we have . . . this is one of those times when we have an opportunity to send notice to those who are annexing property adjacent to their city limits that they need to consider taking those roads that serve those properties, and this is one of those cases. Oliver serves this property and it’s a mile and indeed we’re all standing here looking at this. We know full well that there will come a time, very soon, that the rest of this property will be annexed between this quarter section and the city limits of Wichita. I think it should be a matter where the City of Wichita should either enter into some kind of agreement with Bel Aire or take this street, Oliver, and include it in the city limits. And that should be subject to approval. That’s the only way that I would vote on this.”

## **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, thank you. Well, it appears to me then, our options are, and Mr. Euson maybe we need some assistance from you, is to defer this for one week or to approve it with the kinds of conditions to come back later that Commissioner Hancock has suggested, which may get a little dicey in figuring what's acceptable at a later date. And the only other option I would have is there's a lot of lawyers here in the room today and a lot of engineers, if we wanted to defer this till later in the meeting and the folks from the City and David and the attorneys and Marvin go talk about options and come back before our meeting is over or we could defer it for a week. Now, the thing that we want to make sure is if we defer it for a week that we don't really damage the process of going forward to coming to a conclusion because I'm sure there is an answer and I'm sure there's a workable solution and I would just hate to get us tied up in that 30 day time line that would somehow make this annexation impossible if we deferred it. So, do you have a recommendation on the deferral?"

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "I guess the question is, is there an opportunity to work out some of these details before the end of the meeting or that leaves us two options instead of three?"

**Chairman Winters** said, "Marvin, you have a comment?"

**Mr. Krout** said, "You may want to ask the City representatives for their comments but I think that it's going to be difficult to try to work something out without Bel Aire here at the table and also the implications probably are implications that the Wichita City Council wants to think about before they would decide whether to proceed with the annexation."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Well, could we approve it and have it subject to their approval also? In other words, get it off of our list, Marvin. It seems to me like logically that could be done, I mean, go ahead and make our motion, approve what we want to do and send it back to them. If they approve it, it's a done deal."

**Mr. Krout** said, "Okay, and the condition is then subject to an agreement between Bel Aire and Wichita or Bel Aire, Wichita and Sedgwick County?"

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Subject to an agreement between Bel Aire and the City of Wichita concerning maintenance of that mile of road, or just a unilateral annexation of that road by the City of Wichita. We don't care which, we just want it off our list. There are too many of these, and I think this is an opportunity for us to have an understanding here about what goes on."

**Mr. Krout** said, "You may want to ask the City representative to comment on that. I think, if it is legal to make that a condition of an annexation, then I suppose that that's an option."

## Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000

**Chairman Winters** said, "Commissioner Sciortino."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Well, the only question I have is that I don't think it's realistic for us to think that the City of Bel Aire is going to be willing to discuss with the City of Wichita joint sharing of the maintaining that road. I think the City of Bel Aire, at this particular time, on this particular issue, is rather upset with the City of Wichita and they have no incentive to assuming any of the cost, so they're going to tell the City of Wichita politely 'You maintain the whole thing if you want to, I'm not going to do anything to help you or why'. I just don't know how fruitful those discussions would be because I don't see any incentive on the City of Bel Aire's side of the street, so to speak, to willingly negotiate to do anything. I would think it would have to be almost something we decide on an arbitrary basis, 'Okay, the City of Wichita, you have to maintain the road'."

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "But here's a bargaining . . . another area to bargain about. Thirty-seventh Street is a road that's been . . . continues to be a two-lane because of multiple jurisdictional ownership or lack thereof. One might see that as an opportunity for the City of Bel Aire and the City of Wichita to resolve two of these sections of roadways if you please and maybe one city would be willing to maintain this mile, if the other city would be willing to maintain 37th Street."

"I don't know, but this is not the only roadway where the City of Bel Aire and the City of Wichita meet. And I understand, on this particular case, that Bel Aire isn't thrilled that they can't provide the service and expand their boundary but you have Oliver, that's going to be contested and 37th Street, which is going to be . . . continues to be kind of a problem. There are a couple of roadways up there that need some work. I'm not saying I'm willing to throw 37th in the mix, but I'm saying that might be something that those two cities would be able to work out during this process. If the City of Wichita didn't want this section and they'd rather have 37th, so be it, maybe Bel Aire will take this section. I'm not particular who does it, I just think with the two cities boundaries meeting the roadway, Sedgwick County needs to be out of responsibility of maintaining that road in the future."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "When I think of that, I agree. There's representatives from the City. Is there any input you could give us that would share a little light on this?"

**Chairman Winters** said, "Doug and Terry, we don't mean to put you on the spot."

## **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Mr. Doug Moshier**, City Attorney, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Let me respond to the suggestion that you do this with a condition. Let me first respond, I don't think there's any way to resolve this before the end of the meeting. The issues that you're talking about are clearly issues that the City Council would need to consider. Neither Ms. Cassady or I have any authority to commit what the City would do about the maintenance of Oliver, between 37th and 45th Street.

"I think that . . . I can't predict what will happen with a motion to approve this with condition. I assume the addition would be that if there is not some agreement, that this Commission would be finding that there was some hindrance of growth in the area and therefore your finding of no hindrance of growth would be conditioned upon Bel Aire and the City of Wichita reaching some agreement. I think it is a possibility that if that were the finding of this Commission today, that the City Council might just say that this annexation then wouldn't go forward. This is a request from a property owner. This is not something generated by the City Council, so I don't know how to tell you how to gauge the commitment of the City of Wichita's governing body to go forward with the annexation. I think the person that really isn't here, not Bel Aire, is Mr. Lee who wishes to develop this area and we don't know what his input is but I think that there is the possibility that if you went forward with a conditional approval that the result might be that the City Council would then just not go forward with the annexation and Sedgwick County would remain responsible for maintaining that segment of roadway."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Doug, what would you think, in light of the 30 day time period, of our Commission deferring this for one week? Do you think that would jeopardize the whole process?"

**Mr. Moshier** said, "I don't. I generally agree with what Rich told you. I think that the real important thing here is that this is all consensual. There really is nobody, I don't mean to speak for Bel Aire, but at this point Mr. Lee has asked for annexation. There is no landowner that I could see that would be coming forward saying, 'Well, you should have done this in 30 days'. So, I agree generally with Rich, that I think a deferral would not upset the apple cart and would give us some time to discuss the matter."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Okay, thank you very much, Doug. Commissioner Hancock."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Well, it just seems to me that there are some limited choices here in this instance that Bel Aire isn't allowed, by agreement, to go west of Oliver, am I correct?"

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "That's correct, because of the water extension."



### **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "It's because of the water. They could probably annex it but they can't get the services, the water."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Because of the agreement with the City of Wichita. Okay, so that only leaves, by default, the City of Wichita. So, there are few choices in here, as far as we're concerned. That next quarter section south, Marvin, what's there? Do you have any idea? Is there any private property adjacent to this?"

**Mr. Krout** said, "The property to the south is owned by Koch. There are no immediate plans for that property but we'd expect that it would be developed. In fact, the City has indicated to the property owner that they would extend water and sewer services out of the Capital Improvement budget to serve the residential tract and so that would make the services immediately available to that quarter section, which would make it very ripe for development, I would think."

**Chairman Winters** said, "More questions, Commissioner Hancock?"

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Well, I'm just wondering about the property here. It just seems to me we've limited the rights of the property owner here to such an extent that I'm just wondering if he has a case . . . you know to bring a case against either Park City (sic Bel Aire) or the City of Wichita. It seems to me, if I owned that property and by agreement, the City of Wichita, limited the growth of Bel Aire west of Oliver and so I had to petition the City of Wichita for annexation and one of the conditions is require the City of Wichita to maintain a mile of road, it just seems to me they'd be obligated to do it. I mean, if there are no choices. I don't know.

"But I just don't think we can leave unresolved the maintenance of that road. It's a ridiculous notion to put that quarter section in the City of Wichita, leave the east side of Oliver in Bel Aire, and say to Sedgwick County 'this is your road'. That's nuts. So, I would say . . . I would make a motion that we approve this, subject to the inclusion of annexation of that road into the City of Wichita or an agreement between Bel Aire and the City of Wichita of maintenance. End of story. I'm not going to go any other way."

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "Second."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Okay, now that wasn't a motion, that was just a thought process?"

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "That was just a thought process, but I will make that motion at the drop of a hat, because it doesn't make any sense to do it any other way."

## Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000

**Chairman Winters** said, "As opposed to deferring this for a week."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Yeah, because that's the way I'll go next week. You know, if this was two miles and this was some kind of use that was extraordinary, it's unique, or three miles of four miles out and there wasn't any other city around this, then I'd say, "Yeah, okay if it's for the benefit of the citizens of Wichita, let's go for it'. But this is a subdivision where the guy can't petition anybody but the City of Wichita. It's a situation where it does make sense to go any other way other than what I've described to you."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, before you make any motions, Commissioner McGinn."

**Commissioner McGinn** said, "I don't know what to think now, after listening to Bill. I guess I want to go back and just revisit, I guess, what even made me think about this. I don't know, how many . . . was it three or four months ago when we had the discussion about 37th Street and Councilman Pisciotte was here and making the case for the City of Wichita and this discussion came up of why are we just going to the road right-of-way and not to the center line or taking the whole road and I got the feeling from him that that was an issue that they felt like they needed to deal with and I also felt that he was sincere about looking at that on future growth areas. The other thing, I look at . . . this is kind of a special case and you know, everybody wants to talk about leap-frogging and those kind of growth patterns. To me, that's kind of what we're doing here. So, if we are going to make that leap, then I think that to do that, we need to make sure folks are taking care of the roads and the responsibilities that they need to do so."

"So, I heard from two legal counsels that we could defer this a week and I guess, the reason I would like to defer it is because I think we really need to think about how we're going to do these types of things and we need to work with the communities that are having this growth situation, because this isn't the only one we're going to have. We're going to have more. We're going to have Maize and Wichita. We're going to have Valley Center and Wichita, Valley Center and Park City. So, I think we need to probably sit down and think about how we're going to do this in the future."

So, if we could defer one week, that would be my choice."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, thank you. And I would agree with that and again, since there is no property owner who is getting annexed unwantingly, I would think that this would be acceptable for everyone to take a week deferment. So, I would be supportive of that and then, Commissioner, if you next week still want to say the same thing, that's perfectly all right. Commissioner Sciortino, do you have a comment here."

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “You want me to comment before we decide what we want to do?”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Well, I mean, it looks like, I see two options here. One, either to make a motion today that has some conditions on it or to defer it.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “I see two votes on either side.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “That’s right. So, you’re the swing guy.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “As I stated earlier, I would be uncomfortable putting those conditions on right now, today, since this was an idea that we first came up with today from the Bench, this is the first time I’ve heard any discussions on it, without first conferring with Wichita and the City of Bel Aire. So, I would support deferring it for a week.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right. Would someone make a motion?”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Sciortino moved to defer this Item for one week.

Commissioner McGinn seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	No
Commissioner Bill Hancock	No
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, the motion is that we’re going to defer this for one week and I would assume everybody that’s interested will get together and have a meeting between now and next week sometime. Marvin, we’ll kind of look to you and David maybe to lead that from our perspective.

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

“All right, Bob, thank you very much. This was your first presentation at a Commission Meeting and it went real well. All right, Madam Clerk, call the next item.”

**C. AGREEMENT WITH ATHLETICS WICHITA FOR CONTROLLED ACCESS TO PORTIONS OF CERTAIN COUNTY ROADWAYS TO HOLD A MARATHON.**

**Mr. Jarold D. Harrison**, Assistant County Manager, greeted the Commissioners and said, “This agreement is with Athletics Wichita for the purpose of holding the Kake TV Wichita Marathon on Sunday, October 22nd. This is the same general marathon course that they used last year. It begins in Derby, passes through the County through McConnell Air Force Base and on through the City of Wichita to the final conclusion. The agreement calls for the use of 63rd Street South, between Buckner and Oliver Streets, Oliver Street between 47th Street South and 63rd Street South and 47th Street between Oliver and the first McConnell Air Force Base entrance gate east of Oliver. This is the same road system that they used last year for this same event.

“We have received a certificate of insurance. Traffic control will be provided by Sedgwick County Sheriff’s Reserve and we would recommend your approval of this agreement.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you, Jerry. Commissioner McGinn.”

**Commissioner McGinn** said, “How many miles is the marathon?”

**Mr. Harrison** said, “Twenty-six point two.”

**Commissioner McGinn** said, “Twenty-six point two? Obviously I’ve never run one.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, Commissioners, we’ve got this request, what’s the will of the Board?”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to Approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, "Thank you , Jerry. Next item."

**D. DIVISION OF HUMAN SERVICES.**

**1. CONTRACT WITH WICHITA CENTER FOR GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION FOR COMPREHENSIVE COMMUNITY CARE (COMCARE) TO PROVIDE A PSYCHIATRIC RESIDENCY.**

**Ms. Jeanette Partridge**, Contract Manager, Comprehensive Community Care, greeted the Commissioners and said, "The contract with Wichita Center for Graduate Medical Education will provide a two month residency. It a special, elective residency for a resident doctor. It's a total of 40 hours per week for a two month time period. It will provide the resident doctor with experience in working at a community health center, as well as additional coverage for us during a time when we have a couple of physicians that will be testing for the Board and maybe taking some time off for that."

"The total cost of the contract is \$8,400 and that comes from County general fund dollars. The recommended action is to approve the contract and authorize the Chairman to sign."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Thank you, Jeanette. Commissioner Sciortino."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Jeanette, this is just housekeeping. On my backup it says one month residency. It is two months."

**Ms. Partridge** said, "It is two months. I noticed when I was sitting there reading it. I apologize for that."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "So the \$8,400 covers the two months."

**Ms. Partridge** said, "Two month."

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “That’s all I had.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you. Other questions, comments? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Sciortino moved to Approve the Contract and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner McGinn seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Next item.”

**2. AMENDMENT TO LEASE WITH TMP TWIN LAKES, L.L.C. FOR SPACE HOUSING COMCARE COMMUNITY SUPPORT SERVICES AT TWIN LAKES SHOPPING CENTER.**

**Ms. Partridge** said, “Currently our office space at Twin Lakes Shopping Center for our Community Support Services program is being remodeled. The remodel will add a total of 1,164 square feet. The amendment, the lease . . . it’s necessary to amend the lease to accommodate that additional square footage. The additional square footage will add a total of \$9,312 annually to that lease agreement. The remodel will add office spaces, a conference room and mainly group rooms for our program there.

“The recommended action is to approve the contract and authorize the Chairman to sign.”

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to Approve the Amendment to Lease and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Thank you, Jeanette. Next item.”

**E. AMENDMENT TO THE 2000 CAPITAL BUDGET FOR WORK RELATED TO REMODEL OF COMCARE COMMUNITY SUPPORT SERVICES SPACE AT TWIN LAKES SHOPPING CENTER.**

**Mr. Jim Osterlund**, Project Manager, Facility Project Services, greeted the Commissioners and said, “This is a recommendation to approve an amendment to the Capital Budget for work related to the remodel of COMCARE center at Twin Lakes facility as just described by Jeanette. The work will include remodel into the expanded lease area and also remodel of part of the existing area. It includes adding a new conference room, several bathrooms, new office areas. It also includes remodel of the medical records center, employment services, prescription dispensing area and the medical unit reception area.

“The total cost of the remodel project is \$210,477 and I would like to recommend approval of this amendment to the Capital budget. I will answer any questions.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Commissioner Sciortino.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “Thank you. Could you break out construction and contingency?”

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Mr. Osterlund** said, “The total construction and contingency costs is . . .”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “No, no, I meant separate the two. What’s the construction cost and how much money are we allocating for contingency?”

**Mr. Osterlund** said, “The construction cost is \$120,000 and ten percent contingency on that, or approximately \$12,000.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “Thank you.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, other questions or comments? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to Approve the CIP Amendment.

Commissioner McGinn seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Thank you, Jim. Next item.”



**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**F. PUBLIC WORKS.**

**1. RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING SPEED LIMITS FOR ALL COUNTY-MAINTAINED HIGHWAYS AND PARTS OF HIGHWAYS WITHIN SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS, AND PROVIDING FOR THE ENFORCEMENT THEREOF.**

**Mr. Mark R. Borst**, P.E., Division of Public Works, greeted the Commissioners and said, “The resolution before you will establish speed limits on all County maintained highways and parts of highways within the County and we review this resolution annually and, when necessary, we update it and bring it before you for consideration and adoption.

“Most of the changes in the proposed resolution before you this year deal with annexations by the various cities within the County, which means that we remove sections of road from the resolution. The very few that do involve actual speed limit changes include Oliver, between 47th and Buckner, which Buckner starts at 55th Street now and then goes on down. Well, actually Oliver and Buckner, from 47th down to north city limits of Derby, which is north of 63rd a little ways. We’re proposing to increase that from 40 miles an hour to 45 miles and hour, but we’re also proposing the same increase in speed limit on 21st and Zoo Boulevard from the east city limits, which is east of Ridge Road down to the Big Ditch. That’s already been signed but we’re incorporating it into this resolution and also, on 199th Street West, which is also known as Goddard Road, we’re proposing increasing the speed limit between Maple and 21st from 45 to 55. Our observations have shown that the 45 is not in compliance or is not what the typical driver sees as reasonable. The typical speed limit out there is closer to . . . or the traveling speed is closer to 55.

“I’ll answer any questions you have and I recommend that you adopt the resolution.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you. Is this an annual . . . do we do this annually, set speed limits?”

**Mr. Borst** said, “Basically, yes.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “So, it’s like a one year resolution.”

**Mr. Borst** said, “It’s the one resolution that we do annually that includes all of the County maintained roads.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Okay. Commissioner Gwin.”

### **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "Mark, talk to me about Central. It's road number 618 and you have Central beside it, mile number 33. It's on page 35 of our backup, and page 8 of your report. From ECL Wichita, east city limits of Wichita, to west city limits of Wichita. Is that what that means?"

**Mr. Borst** said, "Yes, that's a situation where we have a piece that is . . . both ends of that mile are within the City, but the middle portion of the mile is not, due to annexation of major intersections, what not. When you worry about the specific mile we're in it makes sense. When you start worrying about going from one city limit to another and then, all of the sudden, the next mile your in another one it doesn't, but in that it makes sense, especially when you can see the map."

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "So this section is still County?"

**Mr. Borst** said, "The majority of it is still County. The intersections at Webb and at Greenwich, I believe this is the mile that we're talking about, this is the relocation mile. They're annexing the intersections. They're annexing Webb Road, they're annexing Greenwich and all but they're not annexing the section in between, because they have nothing adjacent to it."

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "Raytheon owns both sides of that then. Okay."

**Mr. Borst** said, "Right. That's kind of a unique one."

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "Yeah, all right thank you, Mark. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, thank you. Are there other questions or comments?"

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "I just wanted to know if it's possible to make my route from my house to the Courthouse unlimited?"

**Mr. Borst** said, "If you move to Montana, I'm sure that can be arranged."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, are there other questions or comments? We're on Item F-1."

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**MOTION**

Commissioner Hancock moved to Adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Thank you, Mark. Next item.”

**2. RESOLUTION DESIGNATING AND CLASSIFYING CERTAIN ROADS TO THE PAYNE TOWNSHIP SYSTEM. DISTRICT #1.**

**Mr. David C. Spears**, P.E., Director/County Engineer, Division of Public Works, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Mr. Chairman, before I start, I want to throw my two cents in on this annexation situation. As you know, on the east side Wichita has annexed rather rapidly several roads. Mr. Lackey and I have met, along with Marvin, and there are several, several miles and parts of those they annexed little pieces and other parts were not. Mr. Lackey and I have come to an agreement as to who’s going to maintain what and what makes sense and I believe I have given you those maps showing that and a description of all those roads out on the east side and we have come to an agreement on that. However, that was placed on the City Council agenda and then was removed from, before they could approve that. So, that situation also needs to be taken care of at some point. Just wanted to bring that up, so everybody knows that this little piece here, Bel Aire and Wichita, is not the only piece that we’re talking about.

## **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

“Item F-2, seven frontage roads located on the north and south sides of K-254, between Rock Road and 143rd Street East, were granted to Sedgwick County by the State of Kansas as part of the K-254 reconstruction project. In this resolution we are asking that they become the responsibility of Payne township. The Payne township board was informed that this resolution would be on the County Commission agenda, by letter, dated September 14th. I recommend that you adopt the resolution.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Commissioner Gwin.”

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. David, in visiting with Bryan Jaax, Trustee of Payne township, he had some concerns about the cul-de-sacs or that kinds of thing, as they meet our Fire District’s requirements for turn-arounds. Did we get that resolved? Did you talk to Mr. Thompson and others?”

**Mr. Spears** said, “Commissioner, the last word, actually, that I had from staff is that they had put in calls for the Fire Department and I don’t think that we got a response back. I do want to tell you that those roads are built to County standard and if they’re built to County standard they’re Fire Department approved, automatically. Now, why this has been going on for so long is when the State built the frontage roads, along K-254, they did not put any cul-de-sacs on the end of it, so that when the motor grader went down there, it couldn’t hardly turn around. So, what we have done in the mean time is constructed hammerhead cul-de-sacs. It’s different than the big round cul-de-sac and the motor grader can turn around. It can’t turn around as easy as in a round one, but to build the big round cul-de-sac we would have had to purchase more right-of-way. So, it works. It’s not the best of things, but it does work and we have been wrestling with this for four or five years now. We believe it’s in the best interests of everyone to have the township take these roads over now and maintain them.”

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “Well, I haven’t heard any . . . I haven’t talked to Bryan lately, but I’m prepared to approve it today, but keep following up with the Fire Department to make sure that we have what they need.”

**Mr. Spears** said, “We will and if there’s any problem, we’ll take care of it but I’m sure there is not. Mr. Jaxx was aware that this was on the agenda today.”

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “Okay, all right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Are all of these in Payne township only? No other township is involved here.”

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Mr. Spears** said, "That's correct."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Okay. Commissioners, questions, comments?"

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to Adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, "Next item."

**3. RESOLUTION DESIGNATING AND CLASSIFYING LAZY DAY LANE TO THE "BLUESTEM ACRES ADDITION," SHERMAN TOWNSHIP SYSTEM. DISTRICT #3.**

**Mr. Spears** said, "It is standard procedure that after a road is constructed within a platted residential subdivision, in accordance with county standards, that road is then assigned to the township road system. In this particular case 'Lazy Day Lane', located in the Bluestem Acres Addition, will become the responsibility of Sherman township. The Sherman township board was informed that this resolution would be on the County Commission agenda, by letter, dated August 24th. I recommend that you adopt the resolution."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Thank you. Commissioners, are there questions or comments?"

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**MOTION**

Commissioner McGinn moved to Adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, "Next item."

**4. RESOLUTION DESIGNATING AND CLASSIFYING 179TH STREET WEST TO THE "ECK 6TH ADDITION," ATTICA TOWNSHIP SYSTEM. DISTRICT #3.**

**Mr. Spears** said, "Similar to the previous item, again, it is standard procedure that after a road is constructed within a platted residential subdivision, in accordance with county standards, that road is then assigned to the township road system. In this particular case, 179th Street West, located in the Eck 6th Addition will become the responsibility of Attica township. The Attica township board was informed that this resolution would be on the County Commission agenda, by letter, dated August 24th. I recommend that you adopt the resolution."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Thank you."

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to Adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, "Next item."

- 5. K.D.O.T. FORM 1303, "RIGHT-OF-WAY CLEARANCE; UTILITY ARRANGEMENTS" FOR SEDGWICK COUNTY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT ON 85TH STREET NORTH BETWEEN RIDGE ROAD AND HOOVER; CIP # B-217. DISTRICT #4.**

**Mr. Spears** said, "Item F-5 is approval of K.D.O.T. form 1303, for the replacement of the bridge on 85th Street North over the Wichita/ Valley Center Floodway, between Ridge Road and Hoover, designated as B-217 in the Capital Improvement Program. This form states that all rights-of-way have been acquired and all utility arrangements have been made. Federal funds will pay for 80% of the project. I recommend that you approve the form 1303 and authorize the Chairman to sign."

**MOTION**

Commissioner McGinn moved to Approve the Form and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, "Next item."

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**6. "CERTIFICATION OF REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION PROCEDURES"  
FOR SEDGWICK COUNTY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT ON  
85TH STREET NORTH BETWEEN RIDGE ROAD AND HOOVER; CIP #B-  
217. DISTRICT #4.**

**Mr. Spears** said, "Item F-6 is approval of the 'certification of real property acquisition procedures' for the same project mentioned in the previous item. This certifies that Sedgwick County did follow federal guidelines when right-of-way were procured for this project. I recommend that you approve the certification and authorize the Chairman to sign."

**MOTION**

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the Certification and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner McGinn seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, "Next item."



**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**7. AGREEMENT WITH THE BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE (BNSF) RAILWAY COMPANY AND SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS REGARDING CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A CROSSING AT THE INTERSECTION OF RED POWELL ROAD, NORTH OF DERBY, AND THE BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY TRACKS. DISTRICT #5.**

**Mr. Spears** said, "Item F-7 is a three party agreement between Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company, Sedgwick County and the Kansas Department of Transportation regarding the construction and maintenance of vertical posts with lights and gates at Red Powell Road, north of Derby, and the BNSF railway tracks. The County will install and maintain the advance warning signs. The total cost of the project will be \$145,098, 100% of which is federally funded.

"I recommend you approve the agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, thank you. Commissioner Hancock."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Is this 71st Street? Red Powell Road."

**Mr. Spears** said, "No, it's north of 71st."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "There's a crossing north of 71st. I didn't know that. I thought it was 71st and then 63rd."

**Mr. Spears** said, "No, there's one in between."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "One in between. Okay, very good. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, thank you. Commissioner Sciortino."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. David, isn't this the one that there was a fatality out there, like January of '97 or something? That started the ball rolling to see if they could do something to improve the safety."

**Mr. Spears** said, "You are correct, Commissioner Sciortino. That's exactly what's happen."

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “Well, I’m glad they were finally able to come to a conclusion on it. It took a little while but I’m very happy that they were able to do that, because I think that will make it much safer for those people having to cross that area.”

**Mr. Spears** said, “For everyone’s information, the railway company does the work and they have told us that they will not be able to start, probably, until next summer on the project, which is a long time from now, but they have schedules and that’s what they’ve told us.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “But they are committed and they’re obligated to do the work now?”

**Mr. Spears** said, “Yes, sir.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “Okay, thank you. That’s all I had.”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Sciortino moved to Approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Next item.”

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**8. MODIFICATION OF PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION, REQUEST NUMBER ONE AND FINAL, WITH APAC-KANSAS, INC. ON SEDGWICK COUNTY PROJECT – SUNCREST ADDITION, PAVING AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS. DISTRICT #2.**

**Mr. Spears** said, “Item F-8 is an approval of a modification of plans and construction, request number one and final with APAC- Kansas, Inc., on Sedgwick County project- Suncrest Addition, paving and drainage improvements. The modification will increase the contract by \$293, due to variations in plan quantities from actual field measurements. All costs of the project are to be paid by the properties in the benefit district through special assessments. I recommend that you approve the modification and authorize the Chairman to sign.”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the Modification of Plans and Construction and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Thank you, David. Next item.”

**G. REPORT OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS' SEPTEMBER 28 AND OCTOBER 5, 2000 REGULAR MEETINGS.**

**Ms. Iris Baker**, Director, Purchasing Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “On behalf of the Board of Bids and Contracts I’ve got two presentations asking for approval on separate meetings. I’d like to present the first, ask for approval, and then present the second, since they’re

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

both lengthy, if that's okay."

**Chairman Winters** said, "That's fine."

**1) SEWER IMPROVEMENTS- SPRINGDALE LAKES ADDITION- PUBLIC WORKS  
FUNDING: SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS**

"The first meeting, results of the September 28th meeting, item one, sewer improvements for the Springdale Lakes Addition for Public Works. The Board recommends to accept the low bid of W.B. Carter for \$72,000.

**2) VENTILATED ROOF AT JUVENILE RESIDENTIAL- CORRECTIONS  
FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND**

"The second item, ventilated roof at Juvenile Residential for Corrections. Board recommends low bid of Baker Construction for \$39,599.

**3) HON 3301 EXECUTIVE CHAIRS- DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
FUNDING: DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

"The third item is a HON 3301 executive chairs for District Attorney's Office. Boards recommends accept low bid of Budget Office for \$11,633.50.

**4) DIGITAL MICROPRINTER SYSTEM- REGISTER OF DEEDS  
FUNDING: REGISTER OF DEEDS**

"The fourth item, digital microprinter systems for the Register of Deeds. Board recommends you accept the low bid received from Imaging Solutions for \$13,780. This was the only bid received for this quote.

**5) CURRICULUM SOFTWARE TRAINING- DIV INFORMATION/ OPERATIONS  
FUNDING: DIVISION OF INFORMATION AND OPERATIONS**

"Item five was the curriculum software training for Division of Information and Operations. The Board recommends accept the low bid, meeting specifications, of Info Source for \$18,580.

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**ITEMS NOT REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL**

- 6) CASE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM- DISTRICT COURT  
FUNDING: DISTRICT COURT**
- 7) COPY MACHINE- DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
FUNDING: DISTRICT ATTORNEY**
- 8) SNOWPLOWS & SAND SPREADERS- FLEET MANAGEMENT  
FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT**

There were three items tabled at this meeting. They are case management information systems for District Court. The seventh item was copy machine for the District Attorney's Office. Last item tabled was snowplows and sand spreaders for Fleet Management.

"Recommendation is that you accept these."

**Chairman Winters** said, "This concludes the Minutes of September 28th. All right, very good. Commissioners, a number of us have received correspondence concerning, I believe, Item four on this, the digital micro printer system. It's not our normal policy to have any kind of public meetings at Bid Board but I would suggest that if there is anybody here in the meeting room would like to address the Commissioners on this issue, we'd be glad to hear that.

"Yes, please come forward. Please identify yourself with your name and your address, please."

**Ms. Barb Pinnaire**, vendor, TDS (Total Document Solutions), greeted the Commissioners and said, "I am a taxpayer as well as a vendor for TDS, Total Document Solutions. I sent information to you concerning the digital microprinter system. We did not submit a bid, because it was specified as Canon equipment and I believe I outlined everything in the information that I sent to you, concerning the digital microprinter system. My concern is that because it was presented as and put out only as being acceptable from one source that that vendor then came back in with, basically, full list price. When, a year and a half ago, they only paid roughly \$4,000 less, the justification that was given at the Board of Bids was that there was a free printer a year and a half ago. I have information, just because I bid against this vendor all the time, that that is . . . in a bid situation, you'd be paying \$4,000, whether I get the bid or not. My request is to table it. To send that specific piece of information or that piece of equipment back out with an open bid specification and then let the Register of Deeds choose from that point."

## Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, ma'am. Thank you very much. Commissioner Sciortino."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "I have no question of the person speaking. I do have a question of our Purchasing Department. It was my understand, on these bids, did we specifically state that it had to be a piece of Canon equipment and no other equipment or did it say, 'or appropriate equipment' or what have you?"

**Ms. Baker** said, "We asked for a Canon piece of equipment with specific criteria and it's our understanding that only Canon meets all of the specifications that were asked for. One of the things in question is it run with a keyboard, for ADA compliance, remote keyboard for ADA compliance. Canon does meet that specification. It is my understanding Minolta does not."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Okay, so if there's only one Canon distributor in town, why do we send it out to people that couldn't bid it? If they're not authorized to sell Canon equipment and there's only one person in town that can sell Canon equipment, what was the reason for letting it out for bid?"

**Ms. Baker** said, "The general reasoning in the Purchasing Department is if we feel that there are other contenders that could get their hands on Canon equipment, that want to competitively bid against the local Canon dealer, this the process by which we do it, by soliciting for bids, for quotations is what this was."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "I had had a conversation with Register of Deeds Meeks on this and that's not the information he had given me. He had led me to believe that they specified Canon or other equipment that would meet the specifications but I'm understanding that isn't the way the bid was let. It had to be Canon and nothing else."

**Ms. Baker** said, "No. In our conversation with the Register of Deeds, they preferred the Canon for several reasons. One, the remote keyboard, secondly, they were very, very concerned about not being able to interchange reels, cartridges. Canon product and parts are interchangeable only with Canon product and parts. Other suppliers, the same holds for them. Their parts are only interchangeable with their equipment. That is the way it was presented to purchasing. That's what we looked at when we evaluated what the need needed to be."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Did we send it to other Canon distributors, outside the city limits of Wichita because if I understand distribution area, somebody has sort of an exclusive area for Canon, did we send the bid out to Kansas City or Lawrence or some other Canon distributor to get a competitive bid?"

### **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Ms. Baker** said, "No, sir. We mailed to a couple of vendors on the list and then it was also posted on the website, the Internet. We did not get any responses from the Internet."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Thank you."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Thank you, Commissioner. Commissioner Hancock."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "I don't know who to direct this to. It's interesting to me that we specified Canon and it's claimed, by the competitor, that the Minolta could meet their specification. Then it goes on to say, even if it couldn't the identical piece of machinery was \$4,000 too high. That we had purchased one of those identical pieces of machinery for \$4,000 less just earlier. If that's the case, either the technology has evolved to such a point that it's extremely higher or, as they say, we're really getting it stuck to us."

**Ms. Baker** said, "We solicited bids last year for this same type of equipment. It was in March of '99. We did get a bid and accepted a bid for \$9,850. What that included, at the time, was a free printer. That also included a trade of old equipment. The current bid that went out did not include any trade-in of equipment and the response that came back included pricing on a printer. In verifying with the local Canon rep, that printer current cost is \$2,925. So, if we compare, it was a \$700 trade-in last year and \$2,925 difference in a printer. The actual bid difference between last year and this year is at \$305 increase for the identical equipment."

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "Sounds to me, I'm not sure I know what to do with this. It just seems to me like this Canon, this particular Canon dealer is the Motorola of photographic equipment. We all know how I feel about Motorola. If you have any doubt, I'll be glad to explain it to you."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Other questions, Mr. Hancock?"

**Commissioner Hancock** said, "None right now."

**Chairman Winters** said, "Commissioner Sciortino."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "I'm a little confused. Last year we paid \$9,800 plus got a free printer."

**Ms. Baker** said, "Correct."

### **Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "This year it's \$13,780 with no free printer."

**Ms. Baker** said, "No. The printer is part of the cost. We're being charged for the printer this year."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Okay. When we do this, where we're pretty well certain that there's no other bidder, does your department negotiate with them or did you bring up the fact that last year it looked like it was a little better deal or do you just send out the bid and whatever they submit, that's what we take."

**Ms. Baker** said, "No. Did not. Did compare it against the State contract. State has a contract with Canon and, at this point in time, it doesn't beat this pricing, but we have not done any negotiating at this point."

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, "Well, I'm like Commissioner Hancock. I don't know what to do with this. I get the distinct impression that the Register of Deeds really would like this equipment and that's his department, so I guess I'll do whatever you all want to do."

**Chairman Winters** said, "All right, thank you. Just a couple of quick comments. I mean, it is important to me that if we have Canon equipment in the department and we are going to keep on, that we keep on with the same style of equipment. The second thing I'd say is I continue to have confidence in the Bid Board process and, Ms. Pinnaire, I appreciate your being here. We're not going to start a debate/ argument about who's system will do what and what kind of procedures have happened in the past. I think we've got to just make sure that we're comfortable with the Bid Board's recommendation. I know that's not exactly what you're asking us to do but I think, Commissioners, that that's the most important thing for us to realize at this time. If somebody wants to defer this for a week, to get further clarification, I'd have no problem pulling this off for a week. I have confidence in the Bid Board process on this system, so I'm ready to go forward, if anybody else is. Commissioner Gwin."

**Commissioner Gwin** said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am, too. I understand the comments here, but I too think the Bid Board process works pretty well. Iris, the only thing I can encourage you to do is to continue to look for other vendors. I know you had it on the Internet. Try to find a list or whatever you can find to make sure that there are, if there is a market area, that we reach beyond that to see if there are others out there, that we can make sure that we're getting as competitive a price as we can get."

**Ms. Baker** said, "Absolutely. Okay."



**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “So, besides that then I’m prepared to . . .”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “Or don’t go through the sham of bidding it, if there’s only going to be one person that can bid on it.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Is there anyone here who would like to defer this for a week, or are we ready to proceed on?”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to Approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts September 28th Meeting.

Chairman Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Next item. Or proceed on with the next Minutes.”

**Ms. Baker** said, “The second meeting was held on October 5th. The following items were presented for consideration.

**1) EXTENSION OF EXTERNAL AUDIT CONTRACT- FINANCE FUNDING: FINANCE**

“Item one, extension of external audit contract for Finance. Recommend you accept the extension of Allen, Gibbs and Houlik not to exceed \$129,800. That’s annually.

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**2) REFLECTIVE ROLL GOODS- PUBLIC WORKS  
FUNDING: PUBLIC WORKS**

“The second item, reflective roll goods for Public Works. Recommend the low bid of Avery Dennison for \$8,716.90.

**3) EMS 12-LEAD SYSTEM- EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES  
FUNDING: EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES**

“Third item, EMS 12-lead system for Emergency Medical Services. Recommend the low bid meeting specifications of Medtronic Physio Control for \$425,251.

**4) COPY MACHINE- DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
FUNDING: DISTRICT ATTORNEY**

“Fourth item, copy machine for the District Attorney’s Office. Recommend low total bid from Hughey for \$48,690.

**5) SNOWPLOWS & SAND SPREADERS- FLEET MANAGEMENT  
FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT**

“Fifth item, snowplows and sand spreaders for Fleet Management. Recommend the low bid meeting specifications from Midwest Truck Equipment with trade-ins for a total amount of \$49,084.

**ITEMS NOT REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL**

**6) PREVENTION/ EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM- COMCARE  
FUNDING: COMCARE**

**7) TAX FORECLOSURE & TITLE SEARCH- FINANCE  
FUNDING: BUREAU OF FINANCE**

**8) GREYHOUND TOWER- EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS  
FUNDING: EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS**

“There were three items that were tabled at the meeting. They were prevention/ early intervention program for COMCARE, tax foreclosure and title search for Finance and the Greyhound tower- Emergency Communications proposal.

## Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000

“Be happy to answer any questions. If not, would recommend that you approve as presented.”

### MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts October 5th meeting.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

**Chairman Winters** said, “I’d like to ask Tom Pollan to come forward. I see Tom’s in the audience. I’m not exactly sure what we’re buying here, but it seemed to cost a lot. Tom, could you just tell me what this purchase is that your department is about to make here.”

**Mr. Tom Pollan**, Director, Emergency Management Services, greeted the Commissioners and said, “These are replacing our current Life Pac tens. They are defibrillator monitors which also have pacing in it. The new piece to this is this will allow us to take a twelve lead EKG. Traditionally, that has been done in the hospital on people with chest pains, difficulty breathing and possibly having a heart attack. What this will allow us to do is to do it at the scene. Give early notification to the hospital and get the patient to the drug or to the surgical therapy necessary quicker. We do trauma and now we’re beginning to do this in cardiac patients, to get them to medications to open up their coronary arteries and not have as much muscle tissue damage in the heart.

“This is a new project. It’s a replacement project, but it also is an extension of a new piece which will allow us to do the 12-lead. We’ve been working on this for about a year. Greg Schuessler, my Division Officer, has been intensely working on this for a year and we think we’ve found the best solution, for not only today, but our preferred future of an integrated reporting system that would have all of this information together in one location. That’s why it’s important that we’re looking at this piece.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Okay, very good. Thank you, Tom.”

**Commissioner Hancock** said, “I just have one comment, Mr. Chairman. It’s required though, that anytime this report is given, that the one giving the report is required to say defibrillator at least one time. We’ve stumbled over that a lot.”

**Mr. Pollan** said, “Defibrillator.”

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you very much. We have a Motion and a second. Are there other questions or comments? Seeing none, call the vote.”

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Thank you, Iris. Thank you, Tom. Next item.”

**CONSENT AGENDA**

**H. CONSENT AGENDA.**

**1. Right-of-Way Agreements.**

- a. One Temporary Construction Easement for Sedgwick County Project No. 833-I, J, N½ K; Webb Road: North Wichita City Limits to K-254; CIP# R-238. District #1.
- b. One Temporary Construction Easement for Sedgwick County Project No. 783-S-1530; 295th Street West between 23rd and 31st Streets South. District #3.

**2. Section 8 Housing Assistance Payment Contracts.**

<u>Contract Number</u>	<u>Rent Subsidy</u>	<u>District Number</u>	<u>Landlord</u>
V2076	\$348.00	2	John Van Winkle
V2090	\$433.00		Walnut River Apts.
V2093	\$550.00	2	Ron Weems
V2094	\$129.00	1	Floyd A. Goerzen
V2096	\$136.00	2	Jack Wallace
V2097	\$255.00	5	William Favreau

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

<u>Contract Number</u>	<u>Rent Subsidy</u>	<u>District Number</u>	<u>Landlord</u>
V2098	\$331.00	5	Helms Rental Properties
V2099	\$197.00		Wendell Edwards

- 3. The following Section 8 Housing Contract is being amended to reflect a revised monthly amount due to a change in the income level of the participating client.**

<u>Contract Number</u>	<u>Old Amount</u>	<u>New Amount</u>
V2052	\$340.00	\$438.00

- 4. Agreements (three) with Chuck Dye Construction, Home Instead Senior Care and Wichita Lifeline, Inc. to provide Developmental Disability Community Service Provider status.**
- 5. Plats.**

Approved by Public Works. The County Treasurer has certified that taxes for the year 1999 and prior years are paid for the following plats:

Cessna Addition  
Alfieri Acres Addition  
Meyers Lake 2nd Addition

- 6. Orders dated September 27 and October 4, 2000 to correct tax roll for change of assessment.**
- 7. General Bills Check Registers of September 29 and October 6, 2000.**
- 8. Payroll Check Registers (two) of September 29, 2000.**
- 9. Budget Adjustment Requests.**

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**Mr. William P. Buchanan**, County Manager, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Commissioners, you have the Consent Agenda before you and I would recommend you approve it.”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the Consent Agenda as presented.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin	Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock	Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn	Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino	Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters	Aye

**Chairman Winters** said, “Is there other business to come before this Board?”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “I just have a question of David Spears. Did we increase that speed limit on Buckner, from 63rd to 47th Street to accomodate the marathon runners?”

**Mr. Spears** said, “Well, if that’s at the beginning of the race, then they might run that fast, but at the end, I doubt.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “Okay.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, we do not need any Executive Session, I believe. Is that correct?”

**Mr. Euson** said, “That’s correct.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right. Is there any other business to come before the Regular Meeting of the Board of County Commissioners October the 11th? Seeing none, this Meeting is adjourned.”

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

**I. OTHER**

**J. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no other business to come before the Board, the Meeting was adjourned at 10:41 a.m.

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF  
SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS**

---

**THOMAS G. WINTERS**, Chairman  
Third District

---

**CAROLYN McGINN**, Chair Pro Tem  
Fourth District

---

**BETSY GWIN**, Commissioner  
First District

**Regular Meeting, October 11, 2000**

---

**BILL HANCOCK**, Commissioner  
Second District

---

**BEN SCIORTINO**, Commissioner  
Fifth District

ATTEST:

---

**James Alford**, County Clerk

APPROVED:

---

, 2000