

## Minutes – September 10, 2009

---

### Members Present

Deanna Carrithers  
Bruce Kouba  
Terri Moses  
Taunya Rutenbeck

Delores Craig  
Julie McManus-Palmer  
Clark Owens  
Ann Swegle

### Staff Present:

Mark Masterson  
Mary Fulghum  
Kelli Grant  
Jay Holmes  
Chris Morales  
Kerrie Platt

### Members Absent:

Bob Hinshaw  
Kevin Myles  
Mary San Martin

---

## **I. INTRODUCTIONS & ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Mark Masterson introduced Taunya Rutenbeck. Taunya has been appointed to the Advisory Board by the City of Wichita.

Mark Masterson indicated that he has worked with the Legal Department and the County Commission to add a 13<sup>th</sup> position to the Advisory Board. The Advisory Board position is scheduled on the September 23, 2009 Board of County Commission agenda. It is hoped that the BOCC will make appointments to fill vacancies at this meeting as well.

## **II. AUGUST MINUTES**

A motion to approve the August minutes was made by Terri Moses, seconded by Deanna Carrithers and unanimously approved.

## **III. SFY09 YEAR END REPORT – RISK REDUCTION INITIATIVE**

Mark Masterson provided the Board with an at-a-glance summary of the data contained in the detailed SFY09 Year End Report. In 2008, we exceeded the legislative goal of 20% reduction in revocations by reducing revocations by 29%. In 2009 we reduced revocations by 15.6%.

The SFY09 Year End Report included an evaluation by Wichita State. Dr. Craig-Moreland focused the evaluation to determine who current programming is working for, who it is not working for and how we can use this information to serve clients in the most effective way.

## **IV. SFY09 EVALUATION REPORT - WSU**

Dr. Craig provided the Board with a PowerPoint presentation of the WSU SFY09 Evaluation Report.

- A. Clients with lower LSI-R risk scores are far more likely to be successful.
- B. The majority of clients with an LSI-R score above 30 have the most trouble succeeding on probation.
- C. The majority of clients with an LSI-R score of 20 or less have been successful.
- D. Emphasis should be focused on clients with an LSI-R score of 20 – 30 to improve outcomes.

- 
- E. The average length of time to revocation for our high risk clients is approximately 450 days.
  - F. The brief screening tool is effective in identifying the supervision groups.

**V. RECOMMENDED MODIFICATIONS IN SERVICES AND SUPERVISION IN SFY10**

Mark Masterson reported that the target population has been adjusted for the Residential Center. This change gives us a group of individuals that Adult Residential staff and the Re-entry team can work with more effectively. The change in the target population is bringing down the total waiting list by about 20 individuals per month.

The Drug Court Program is working with the addicted population from Community Corrections. Steps will be taken to identify addicted clients earlier for referral to the program.

Jay Holmes presented the Board with proposed changes to the model. The goal is to identify clients we can be most effective with. Based on level system the LSI-R score target range should be between 17 and 32, which consists of the largest number of clients in Community Corrections.

Level 1 – Our highest risk clients. We would serve these clients with a containment model. This would enable us to supervise these individuals and reserve valuable intensive programming slots for other clients.

Level 2 and 3 – Our medium risk clients. These clients would be supervised by two teams and would receive the full range of resources that are available in the RRI model.

Level 4 – Our lowest risk clients. These clients will be supervised at a low level, which would require less officer time and resources.

Those board members present were understanding of the proposed changes and the need to target our resources.

**VI. PROGRAM UPDATES**

- A. AISP & SB123**
- B. Residential**

There were no updates given due to time constraints.

*Meeting Adjourned, Mary Fulghum and Elaine Stull, Recorder*