MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

REGULAR MEETING

OCTOBER 7, 1998

The Regular Meeting of the Board of County Commissioners of Sedgwick County, Kansas, was called to order at 9:00 A.M., Wednesday, October 7, 1998, in the County Commission Meeting Room in the Courthouse in Wichita, Kansas, by Chairman Mark F. Schroeder; with the following present: Chairman Pro Tem Paul W. Hancock; Commissioner Betsy Gwin; Commissioner Thomas G. Winters; Mr. William P. Buchanan, County Manager; Mr. Mike Pepoon, Assistant County Counselor; Ms. Becky Allen-Bouska, Director, Bureau of Finance; Mr. David C. Spears, Director, Bureau of Public Works; Mr. Marvin Krout, Director, Metropolitan Area Planning Department (MAPD); Ms. Louanna Honeycutt Burress, Administrative Officer, Department of Housing and Economic Development; Mr. Daryl Gardner, Controller, Accounting Department; Mr. Matt Benoit, Management Intern, County Manager’s Office; Mr. Bob Lamkey, Director, Division of Public Safety; Mr. Kenneth A. Keen, Director, Information Services; Ms. Irene Hart, Director, Division of Community Development; Mr. Jim Weber, P.E., Director, Sewer Operations and Maintenance; Mr. Mark R. Borst, P.E., Deputy Director, Bureau of Public Works; Mr. John Nath, Director, Kansas Coliseum; Mr. Darren Muci, Director, Purchasing Department; Mr. Fred Ervin, Director, Public Relations; and Ms. Lisa Davis, Deputy County Clerk.

GUESTS

Ms. Margalee Wright, Neighborhood Initiative Coordinator, Neighborhood Initiative Coordinating Center, Wichita, Kansas.
Ms. Georgene Rush, 4051 N. Ridge Road, Wichita, Kansas.

INVOCATION

The Invocation was given by Mr. Pete Morris of the Christian Businessmen's Committee.

FLAG SALUTE

ROLL CALL

The Clerk reported, after calling roll, that Commissioner Miller was absent.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES: Regular Meeting, September 16, 1998

The Clerk reported that all Commissioners were present at the Regular Meeting of September 16, 1998.
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Chairman Schroeder said, "Commissioners, you've received the Minutes of the meeting, what's the will of the Board?"

**MOTION**

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Minutes of September 16, 1998.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

**VOTE**

- Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye
- Commissioner Paul W. Hancock  Aye
- Commissioner Thomas G. Winters  Aye
- Commissioner Melody C. Miller  Absent
- Chairman Mark F. Schroeder  Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

**CERTIFICATION AS TO THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS**

Ms. Becky Allen-Bouska, Finance Director, greeted the Commissioners and said, "You have previously received the certification of funds for expenditures on today’s Regular Agenda. I am available for questions if there are any."

Chairman Schroeder said, “I see no questions. Thank you, Becky. Next item please.”
CITIZEN INQUIRY

A. REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGARDING THE NEIGHBORHOOD INITIATIVE COLLABORATIVE PROCESS AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO WICHITA'S PROMISE: THE ALLIANCE FOR YOUTH.

Ms. Margalee Wright, Neighborhood Initiative Coordinator, Neighborhood Initiative Coordinating Center, Wichita, KS, greeted the Commissioners and said, “It’s nice to be here. It’s good to have this opportunity to share with the Commission and the citizens a little bit about the practical solutions that we are finding to some shared problems. As you know, there is a broad based prevention effort taking shape in our Community, and although there are plenty of challenges, we are very encouraged by the fact that we’re all working together to try to figure out what we can do to make things better for children and youth.

“First, I want to define some elements of the partnership, starting with the neighborhood initiative, which as you know, is not an organization, rather a community process that works with citizens to take responsibility for problem solving in their own neighborhoods. So it is not business as usual, it is a cooperate venture between citizens and their local government. From the beginning, the neighborhood initiative has had a youth focus included in the health and safety component. We’re not the same community we were a few years ago because once an active and informed citizenry begins to take charge, things are never the same again. That’s what has been happening in our community.

“Then in April of 1997, the President’s Summit convened community activists to literally save the nation, as they put it to us at that time. They had engaged General Collin Powell to lead the effort, so the five living U.S. Presidents and General Powell gave a very stark picture of the reality for our children. They said we cannot afford to lose as many kids as we are losing, so please go back to your community and figure out how you can respond. That’s what happened. Wichita was really a bit ahead of the curve because of Project Freedom and other early prevention efforts that work with collaboration and problem solving. As you all know, you had a part in it. Project Freedom was a forerunner to the idea of how we can work together as a community. I think we owe a debt of gratitude to all of the people involved in that effort. So we were a bit ahead of the curve.
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“We came back to a situation where we already knew a little bit about what we could do in a collaborative way, but we knew we had to get more focused. So we organized ourselves into what we called ‘Wichita’s Promise: The Alliance for Youth.’ It is organized with a steering committee, which has worked with a strategic plan that has specific mission goals and outcomes. These things are provided for you in your packet. We have an advisory council of business and civic leaders. We have five resource councils populated with a cross-section of stakeholders. We have a world class youth council. We’re very proud of those young people. They’ve taken leadership not only in our own community but across the nation. They are seen as being an outstanding group of young people.

“We have also recently, beginning this summer, engaged the faith community to take a leading role in what is needed to help our children. We have also been engaged in recruiting volunteers from business and local government. Recently, the City of Wichita has committed 200 employees to tutor children in the core area schools of our community. We’ve spoken with Sedgwick County employees and they are formulating a plan at this time. So we’re very grateful for the leadership that local government is showing in how to take part in this effort.

“You may have noticed recently that the aviation manufacturing industry and labor went together to make a commitment to Wichita and Sedgwick County’s children. They’re starting with the ‘Big for a Day’ event on October 31, that will be held at McConnell Air Base. They are hoping to engage the 40,000 employees in that industry to be mentors and tutors for kids in our community. So Wichita’s Promise, The Alliance for Youth, has a clear focus and that is that by the year 2000 we will engage at least 3,000 additional children with all five basic resources and 2000 more with one or more of the resources. Those five basic resources came from the ‘Communities in Schools’ model. That is, a caring adult, a mentor or tutor, safe place to be, a healthy start, and education with a marketable skill, and an opportunity to serve or give back to the community.

“The commitment of the folks who have come together to make this happen is unparalleled in the history of our community. It has been driven by clear heads with a clear focus and open hearts by finding the common ground that we have for our children. It is a tough job to take this kind of effort. There is all kinds of dilemmas and all kinds of problems to be solved but we know that the very future of our community rests on our ability to work with our kids. What happens to them happens to us. There is a linkage that cannot be denied. We don’t have to look very far to see what happens when we can’t work this out. We have an expanded jail, as you know all too well. We have juvenile violence almost weekly in our community. Those kids are crying out for acceptance and some hope.
“So we know, basically, what works with kids but we believe in Wichita’s Promise that we’re simply
not doing enough of it. So this is an effort not to start anything new but to support what we know
works and to do more of it and to engage more adults directly with kids. So that’s kind of the
essence of what we’re about.

“I wanted to touch on just a few of the success stories that I think are going on in the community,
things that we should look on as mile stones of progress that we’re making. Citizens are taking
responsibility throughout the County in countless neighborhood based efforts. They’re working
miracles to improve their lot. Last Saturday, I visited a small grass roots program in the inner city
that’s working with Little Brothers and Sisters of kids who are in gangs. It is a marvelous effort
that’s been going on very quietly for a long time. These kinds of things are scattered throughout the
community. They’re very encouraging. Of course, community policing has been a corner stone to
all of the prevention efforts. We now have in Wichita and some in Sedgwick County, about 87
neighborhood associations and a core group of people in Wichita independent neighborhoods have
worked long and hard to support that effort. WSU and the Housing Authority is working to rehab
three homes in the inner city so that families who have been in public housing can become home
owners. As you all know, Community Housing Services works with families to become home
owners. We believe that is a significant prevention effort because when a family is stabilized by
owning their own home and feeling a part of a neighborhood, then we know that is a basic need that
will help that child be successful.

“You’ve heard a little bit about the committed partnership through a collaborative community based
approach to truancy that is beginning to take shape. The potential for its success is multiplied by the
six partners. One partner is Wichita Acts on Truancy. The second one is USD 259. The third is the
District Attorney’s Office, Communities in Schools, Wichita State University, and the Children’s
Home. These folks are working together to help, not only work directly with kids to keep them in
school, but also to help the community own the problem. We believe that until we look at it as an
integral part of what is going on, we won’t be able to really make a difference. We all know that a
59% graduation rate that we currently have in Sedgwick County is unacceptable for all kinds of
reasons. So Wichita’s Promise is the thread that’s trying to weave this effort together.
“Then I particularly want to salute and commend the Sedgwick County Commission for the prevention fund. I think your vision and leadership in that has been very much appreciated in the community and I think it is really beginning to make a difference. I just can’t tell you enough how appreciated that effort has been. The other thing that is certainly beginning to make a big difference in this collaborative process is the Juvenile Justice Community Planning Process that you have put into action beginning this summer or last spring, I believe it was. That effort is beginning to pull together a lot of pieces of work that have been going on in the community and a lot of ideas that have been out there but didn’t have a way to come together and be formulated in a holistic kind of common sense plan so that we can have a continuum to meet the needs of all children. We’re very much appreciative of that. Wichita’s Promise is ready and willing to work in collaboration and I think we have been finding ways to do that. One of the things that we’ve been doing is working with Judge Burgess and the media team so that as we begin to tell the story through the media of what is happening to our children, we can do it in a coordinated effort.

“There is a couple of other entities that I would like to express appreciation to. One is the Wichita Community Foundation, particularly Chairman Reg Booth and Executive Director Jim Moore. Their early vision and support for neighborhood based community collaborative efforts, such as Wichita Independent Neighborhoods and the Neighborhood Initiative has been very much appreciated. The Kansas Health Foundation also is, as you know, raising the agenda of children and doing so with an initial campaign that will help people be in touch with the importance of working together to make things better for our kids. So we appreciate them. United Way was also an early partner for the Wichita’s Promise. Then, as I said earlier, business groups and faith groups have been coming forward to give their part of the answer, the solution. So we’re appreciative of all of those efforts. This morning basically I just needed to help you see the relationship between Wichita’s Promise and all the other things that are going on and to entertain questions and to thank you for your support and your vision in the prevention efforts. If there are questions, I’m happy to answer them.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Margalee, thank you. There are questions. I really appreciate you being here today. You’re doing a wonderful job and Commissioners, I attended my first steering committee meeting the other morning and it was an interesting meeting. A lot of great camaraderie between the individuals that sit on that board. I think you’re doing a wonderful job and there are questions. Commissioner Winters.”
Commissioner Winters said, “Thank you. Probably begin with a little bit of, I guess, a background kind of comment. I certainly appreciate your coming today, Margalee, and visiting with us about the Wichita’s Promise. I hear a lot of good things happening and in fact have been experiencing some of those through the community planning process that we’re involved in. I thought maybe it might be an opportune time, too, to just take a minute and background on juvenile justice here for a second. We know that in 1996 the Kansas Legislature passed the Juvenile Justice Reform Act, which then transferred all those juvenile justice issues out of SRS (Social and Rehabilitation Services) and into a new Juvenile Justice Authority (JJA) in Topeka, which really came into effect in July of ‘97 or last year. One of their primary objectives has been to partnership with local communities, from the Topeka side, to partnership with local communities to really develop a comprehensive juvenile justice system and one that fits individual communities and the needs that they have. We, because of that legislation, did form a group of 30 citizens here, who are working as a community planning team to develop a plan that we’re going to submit to the State by the end of November of this year, really focusing on three areas. One is the graduated sanctions area or what we do with juveniles if there is a need for out of home placement. They need to be in some kind of intensive level, so graduated sanctions is a part of that. Intervention is a part of that. If we have a juvenile who has the first contact with some kind of justice system, then what kind of interventions do we need to think about. Finally, is prevention. This is the first time that prevention has been mentioned in state statutes concerning juvenile justice or juvenile issues. So that was, in a sense, kind of a big step forward.

“The community planning team has also used this ‘Communities That Care’ model, so we’re on the same page and using a model that the state has helped us learn how to use. We’ve really, as your group has been using this model to identify really the risk factors that we want to really target in this community. You’re right, we have been meeting for almost a year and doing a lot of background, a lot of study on data to arrive at some risk factors. There are 10 or 15 risk factors out there that we could probably really kind of try to attack, but again, you get dispersed so much that what kind of effect can you really have. So the community planning team has isolated three risk factors that we’re really going to try to hope bring this collaborative effort of people focusing on and those three areas are family management problems, early and persistent anti-social behavior in young people, and lack of commitment to school. As you mentioned the truancy issues and the problems that very young individuals have, this really becomes important and I think important for the community to focus on some of these key specific areas that are out there.
“There’s been a lot of agencies been involved in prevention in the community. Some are doing real well and some not doing so well. Sedgwick County, a year ago, did really try to focus in with this new prevention money that we’ve allocated for this year, 1998 and it is in our budget for ‘99. Over $1,000,000 to work on some prevention kinds of issues. We hope with this plan that we develop, that we’re going to submit to JJA, we can bring the State in as a partnership on this. The State’s not going to come and solve all our problems. The State is not going to just send money and say this is going to solve the problem. We hope that, in developing a good plan, that we will be able to have them as a partner for all of these groups in Sedgwick County that are working on this prevention issue. Again, I commend you. I think prevention is becoming a fact of life, one that we’ve tried to sweep away and say we’ll deal with the other end of the system. Dealing with the other end of the system, as we all know, just isn’t working right. So I commend you and what you all are doing. I hope we can get this relationship down between the County and local organizations and the State of Kansas all working together in the same direction. I think you’ve got a good project going and I hope we can really be collaborative in a number of areas. Again, we’ve only got one objective and that’s to make a better community for our young people to grow up in and be a successful participate to the community. Thanks for coming. I don’t know that I really had a question in there, but I appreciate knowing more about what you all are doing. I’m sure we’ll have an opportunity to visit later. Thank you.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Any other questions? Margalee, again thank you. We appreciate the briefing. Maybe we should do this more often about how well we’re doing in the community and with Wichita’s Promise. So if you’d like to come back at another time, please feel free to call us and we’d be glad to have you here to get an update.”

Ms. Wright said, “I’ll do that. Thank you.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, again. Commissioners, I’d receive a Motion to receive and file.”

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to receive and file.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock  Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters  Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller  Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder  Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item please.”

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

B. METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING DEPARTMENT (MAPD).

1. CASE NUMBER SCZ-0769 - REQUEST FOR ZONE CHANGE FROM "SF-20" SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TO "NR" NEIGHBORHOOD RETAIL;

AND

CASE NUMBER CU-495 - REQUEST FOR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO ALLOW THREE GREENHOUSES, LOCATED SOUTH OF K-96 HIGHWAY ON RIDGE ROAD (4051 NORTH RIDGE ROAD).

SLIDE PRESENTATION

Mr. Marvin Krout, Director, Metropolitan Area Planning Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “This case involves a total of 20 acres of land and it is this parcel here that is on the west side of Ridge Road. There are three acres along the frontage of Ridge Road. This is K-96 interchange with Ridge Road by the way. The County is in the process of improving Ridge Road to four lanes. The request that is before you today involves three acres that is proposed to be rezoned to a ‘Neighborhood Retail’ district to operate a florist shop. That was submitted to the Planning Commission as part of an overall application that also included 17 acres, the remainder of this 20, a Conditional Use which is allowed in the Residential District, this is SF-20 today, for a greenhouse operation. The plan is to grow flowers and other plants in the greenhouses that would help supply the florist business that would be on Ridge Road.”
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“The Neighborhood Retail District is needed because there will be products that will be sold that don’t rely on just the greenhouse operation. So it does need to have a Regular Retail category. This general area is zoned SF-20. You see down at the intersection of 37th and Ridge Road is a remainder from 50 years ago of the four square zoning of Limited Commercial. Just about another half mile down the road is Urban Development and the availability of water and sewer in the city of Wichita. So growth is headed in this direction. Traffic has been increasing as a commuter route on Ridge Road. The interchange of Ridge and K-96, I think, will be more and more important in the future. As you know, the City of Wichita has been having discussions about expanding sewer service in this area. In the study that was done, the sewer service would definitely be coming up and eventually serving this interchange area. So even though in the Comprehensive Plan this area was designated as Rural Residential, it was kind of a reserve area. We anticipated that growth was going to be headed in this direction and I think that you will probably will be seeing that more and more. So we definitely would expect to see a cluster of commercial development around an interchange area like this in the future. That’s why the staff did recommend approval of the Neighborhood Retail. The services aren’t there, but we think that the scale and limited nature of this facility, that the on site sewer and water will be adequate for this, at least for the interim.

“The case was sent to the Maize Planning Commission (MPC), and I have to say that in preparing for the case and looking over it, I realized that we didn’t need to do that. It really was a courtesy visit to the Maize Planning Commission, because Maize’s zoning area of influence really stops at this half mile line. This is outside of Maize’s official zoning area of influence, but we did send it to Maize before it went to the MAPC (Metropolitan Area Planning Commission). The Maize Planning Commission did vote unanimously to recommend approval of the Conditional Use and the zone change to Neighborhood Retail. At the Planning Commission, MAPC meeting, there were no citizens who appeared. There was one citizen who appeared who was not in opposition at the Maize Planning Commission, and no citizens at the MAPC meeting. The Planning Commission’s vote was to approve the two requests, the NR request and the Conditional Use, also by unanimous vote. No protests have been filed by surrounding property owners since the Planning Commission’s action.

“Now your Agenda indicates approval of both the Neighborhood Retail request and the Conditional Use for the greenhouse area. Again, in preparing these materials, I realize in retrospect that the Conditional Use, because it involves a separate piece of land on Residential zoning, that greenhouse development is really independent from the Neighborhood Retail request. So the Planning Commission’s action, approving the Conditional Use, was final, since it was not appealed and it was an approval, that’s final. So we have to prepare a Resolution for the Planning Commission to approve that Conditional Use. So what is in front of you this morning is really only the first part of Item B-1, it is SCZ-0769, the request for rezoning of the three neighborhood retail acres. I’ll read you a suggested revised recommended action on that.
“What’s in front of you now is just the three acre neighborhood retail request because the Planning Commission’s action was independent and was to approve the greenhouses. However you decide on the Neighborhood Retail, the greenhouse proposal development can occur under the zoning code.

“This is the aerial photograph. You can see the area. There is one home to the north of this site. There are a couple of homes to the south of the site, the area basically around that is agricultural in character. As I said, we do expect development probably will be proceeding in this general direction in the future. We’re looking at the site now from Ridge Road and you can see the construction that is underway on Ridge Road. So we’re looking to the west across Ridge Road at the site. It is wooded. There is a home site on the property and that is the home that is going to be used as the florist shop.

“This is looking south on Ridge Road with the construction that is occurring and the utility poles it looks like, and the homes that are to the south on Ridge Road. This is looking north on Ridge Road on the left hand side is the proposed florist shop and Ridge Road being expanded with the K-96 elevated in the distance. Back to the aerial photograph and the zoning map. Before entertaining questions, let me just read what I would suggest as a revised recommended action to you. It would be to adopt the findings of fact of the MAPC and approve the zone change subject to the condition of platting within one year. Adopt the Resolution and authorize the Chairman to sign; and instruct the MAPD to withhold publication of the zoning Resolution until the plat is approved and recorded. In other words, taking out the references to the Conditional Use which has been approved. With that, I’ll entertain any questions.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, thank you, Marvin. Any questions at this time? Commissioners, if there is anybody in the audience today, do we want to hear from them at this time? Is there anybody here today who would like to speak to this item? Please come forward and state your name and address. You’re limited to five minutes.”

Ms. Georgine Rush, 4051 N. Ridge Road, said, “I’ve lived there a little over 32 years. I started the shop in my home actually 14 years ago, removed it and put it down . . . it started out as more of a hobby and just got bigger and bigger. I took the training and it paid off. Anyway, I moved it in town and we now have it located in a Wichita store front. It has been in Wichita for the last 12 years. I would like to move it back out.
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“The basic reason, very bluntly is, my husband died a little over two years ago, in April, and he was the one that didn’t want it in the house in the first place. He thought it was a nuisance. I didn’t blame him actually. He came one day and I didn’t know what to do with this big cooler I had bought and I had it put in the living room, between it and the kitchen. All I said to him is, aren’t you proud of me, I bought that. He said what are you going to do with it and I said I don’t know. So after two years of putting up with it, he decided he was tired of looking at it, get it out of there. We’d have a better place to put it now.

“I don’t like to tell my age, but I’m a great-grandmother, okay. That’s about enough said. I’ve had enough sustained injuries in the last two years, a sustained injury in the last two years that it would help me immensely if I did not have to keep the shop there. It would be very very helpful to me. The other thing is that it is just sitting there doing nothing all day long, why not use it. With us there, this is my son that came with me today, and he and a friend of his that he grew up with in the Maize community, that lives up the street with his elderly parents, have been working to put mulch all over everywhere so that we can get rid of every single crabgrass, sticker, poison ivy and poison oak in the area so that nobody will have a problem when they come out to visit us. We do hope to raise trees and shrubs and exterior things for the people who are moving into the areas as well as to go ahead and . . . the greenhouse . . . I’m a farmer. I have been for 32 years, and we have started back into what I did about 30 some years ago, which was truck gardening. That was part of what the greenhouses were for, was to be able to get our starts early so we could have better crops. That’s all I have to say. I think they will work well together and I won’t be a nuisance to the neighbors because most of my customers call me. We either put it on a credit card or we bill them. I don’t see a lot of people. That’s what people do, they call the florist, they don’t go running in. If they want to run in, they do it at the local grocery store when they’re picking up their groceries. We do however.

“I asked Sedgwick County to put in a secondary exit culvert, I paid for that, so that they could have two areas to go in and out and we are trying to make sure . . . I have a couple of handicap grandchildren. We will be making handicap facilities available to those who want them. I’d like to have it just look like it stays. I have lots of trees. I love a park and I want to keep it that way. Back at the back, there is a creek. There are critters running around back there. I won’t tell you about that because I don’t want somebody to shoot them. Anyway, it’s nice. I would love to have people, if they want to, to come out and visit and walk around and we’ll put up some picnic tables up in the trees and let them enjoy life. We’re close enough to the city that if they want to they can do that. If they don’t, they can call their order in like they normally do. That’s about all I have to say and I appreciate your time. Thank you.”
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Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, very much. Appreciate you being here. Any other speakers here today? If not, we'll close the public comment and limit discussion to bench and staff. Marvin, do you have anything to add? Commissioners? If there is no further discussion, what’s the will of the Board?”

**MOTION**

Chairman Schroeder moved to adopt the findings of fact of the Metropolitan Area Planning Commission (MAPC) and approve the zoning change subject to the condition of platting within one year; adopt the Resolutions and authorize the Chairman to sign; and instruct the MAPD to withhold publication of the zoning Resolution until the plat is approved and recorded.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

**VOTE**

- Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
- Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
- Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
- Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
- Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item please.”
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2. CASE NUMBER SCZ-0770 - ZONE CHANGE FROM "RR" RURAL RESIDENTIAL DR 74-34, WHICH PERMITS A MULTIPURPOSE FACILITY FOR INDOOR AND OUTDOOR USES AND "LC" LIMITED COMMERCIAL, LOCATED SOUTH OF 85TH STREET NORTH, BETWEEN I-135 AND HYDRAULIC.

SLIDE PRESENTATION

Mr. Krout said, “This is a request to rezone the Kansas Coliseum property that is owned by Sedgwick County to the General Commercial district. The current zoning on the tract, which is shaded on the map in front of you is Rural Residential. There is also a special permit that was applied to this site. It was approved back in 1975 for multipurpose governmental uses, and there are certain conditions that are tied and a site plan that is a part of that Special Permit that was approved in 1975, that permitted the development of the Coliseum area and the camper area as you see it today.

“The zoning to the south of this area, which is the Greyhound track, was zoned back in 1987 to the General Commercial Zone. That was because that is the first zone that allows outdoor commercial recreation and entertainment uses in the County zoning Resolution at the time and still. The property to the north in Park City also has a commercial designation as part of a larger scale development that was recently annexed and approved by Park City. You can see that Park City actually wraps around, has a strip of annexed land that wraps around the west side of 135 and then includes the northwest corner. We have Commercial zoning both to the north and the south. The remainder of the area to the east and in the County west of the Park City strip is zoned in the Rural Residential category and is in Rural Residential use today.

“The request grew out of a plan by the Coliseum Director and he is here to explain that in more detail if you need it, to install five new permanent signs at the entrances along 85th Street and Hydraulic to the parking areas that are on the perimeter of the Coliseum site. The purpose, those signs would have reader boards, and the purpose would be to try to announce individual events and to better direct traffic to parking that would be more convenient to the buildings and the events that are taking place. Sometimes there are multiple events that are taking place in that area. Right now, there are portable signs that are being used to try to direct that traffic. The feeling was that this would be a more permanent and better looking alternative.
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“The Director is also proposing to put relatively small advertising panels and these would be for off site advertisers to be able to lease space on these signs. They would pay a lease or rental fee for that space. That fee would help to both pay for these signs and also provide a revenue for the Coliseum into the future. Off site signage, such as a billboard or any off site signage, it doesn’t pertain to what you’re advertising directly on the site, does require General Commercial Zoning in the Unified Zoning Code and the County Resolution. So because of this, and also because of the anticipation that we’re going to have further usage of the Coliseum site, including as has been discussed recently, the possibility of an outdoor amphitheater, that is not part of the special permit, it is not part of the site plan. Rather than go through another request and review of the specifics of that plan, we thought this would be an appropriate time to consider the proper zoning both for the off-site signs and to permit the amphitheater without further amendments to that special permit. So basically this is removing the special permit and the Residential Zoning and replacing it with General Commercial Zoning, which is really an extension of the General Commercial Zoning already established to the south on the Greyhound site.

“So the staff recommended this approach and the case was filed. Any property that is over six acres and single ownership and zoned Limited or General Commercial, has to have either a Community Unit Plan or a Protective Overlay. In this case, we thought that a simple Protective Overlay with a few conditions on the signage that would make sure that it was in keeping with the nature of the Coliseum site would be appropriate. We’ve recommended that any off site signs be part of an on-site sign that is directing traffic and advertising the Coliseum itself. The off-site sign area to be no larger than the area for the on-site messages. The maximum height of 35 feet, the maximum area of 300 square feet is the maximum size of any sign that is permitted in the City of Wichita. It is a sign that is actually larger than what is being planned for this area. The current interim use of portable signs and the like would not be permitted because we would be having this better alternative that we’re supplying.

“The case did go to the Park City Planning Commission first and was approved unanimously by the Park City Planning Commission. The MAPC then reviewed it and there were no objections, by the way, from neighbors through these hearings. The MAPC also voted to recommend approval. Their vote though was split, it was 7 to 5. There was some sentiment that any off-site advertising would detract from the aesthetics of this site and from the positive quality of the Coliseum site that we’re intending to convey to the public and the community.
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“There was some concern the government maybe shouldn’t be involved in promoting any specific businesses on its site and also there was one concern expressed at the Planning Commission that it might be giving up some review authority by not having the ability to review an amendment to the Special Permit in the future to permit this amphitheater use that has been talked about. As I responded to them, they also have a responsibility to review the Capital Improvement Program and as part of that I think they can ask for and comment on any details of any future projects for the Coliseum that do come up.

“So the Planning Commission vote was for approval, and it would take a simple majority to approve this request. I think I do have, if you haven’t seen it already, a copy of the . . . this shows the zoning. The pink area is the Greyhound track that is already zoned General Commercial and we’re talking about expanding that to a district. This is an aerial photograph that shows you the Coliseum site and the area that would be proposed for General Commercial Zoning. The Greyhound track that is already zoned General Commercial to the south. This is the sign that is being proposed and the Coliseum up at the top. At the bottom is the reader board with the message that would be the on site directory type of message and then the potential for, on each side of the sign, two panels would be provided for off site advertising. This is the existing Coliseum sign, and your existing sign along 135 looking south. Again looking south from further up to the north on the site. The Coliseum site looking from 135 east across the site. I think you know this site, so unless you have any questions I’ll stop here and conclude my presentation.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Marvin, I have one question. When you mentioned off site signage, what does that mean to me? Because if it is on the property, to me that is considered on site signage. Tell me what they are referring to.”

Mr. Krout said, “Off site means advertising a product or service that is not taking place on the site.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “So like Coca Cola or Pepsi or something like that?”

Mr. Krout said, “Coca Cola or Pepsi or any business who wants advertising room. Typically, what you would see, a billboard that is not part of another sign, but typically a billboard that is advertising any kind of message for the phone company or the hospital or any kind of message would be considered an off site signage.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Will that be a stand along sign or would that be a combined sign with the Coliseum?”
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Mr. Krout said, “That’s what is being proposed here and with this Protective Overlay, is that these would be on site Coliseum signs but they could be permitted because of the General Commercial Zoning to also have off site messages.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “You could have Kansas Coliseum and then some other product.”

Mr. Krout said, “I’ll show you again.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, I missed it a second ago. Oh, okay. I see.”

Mr. Krout said, “There are the off site messages and they are really a small part of the sign that is being proposed.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “That’s the first time I had seen that. Okay. You know more than I do about it. Let me ask you, what do we do in other areas? I know that, for instance, Century II had a Coleman sign, if it still does, I believe, out in front of it. Is that not kind of the same.”

Mr. Krout said, “Actually it was modified somewhat because Century II is in the Central Business District. The Central Business did permit off site signs at the time that sign was constructed. So that’s permitted. I think there is a Coke or a Pepsi sign on the Wichita State property on Hillside and 21st Street. You may have noticed there was comment from George Platt, one of the Planning Commissioners who is associated with Wichita State. He was opposed to that off site advertising, but he has lost the internal battle, he said, in that particular case. The reason that is permitted is because the State is exempt from local zoning requirements.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Well, I don’t have any real heartache one way or the other on this deal. I think if it helps promote the Coliseum and obviously it contributes to our enhanced revenue efforts, I think it is worth it. I’m not, looking at that, I’m not quite sure how that becomes offensive or incorrect in its location. I know it is a rural setting, but someday that will not be by seeing what Park City has done.”

Mr. Krout said, “Park City has made annexation development to the north so I think this type of sign would be compatible.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “I think it’s, well, I think it’s acceptable in my eyes.”

Mr. Krout said, “John Nath is here if you have questions about the details of this program.”
Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, I’m sorry. There are other Commissioners, I’m not sure who had their light on first. Commissioner Gwin.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Thank you. I’m just curious. In the item as it is listed in our Agenda Marvin, it says zone change from ‘RR’, Rural Residential, DR 74-34, which permits a multipurpose facility for indoor and outdoor uses and ‘LC’, Limited Commercial, yada yada. Where in that does it talk about ‘GC’? Are we not talking about . . .”

Mr. Krout said, “Yes, this is a request to General Commercial zoning. I’m sorry it didn’t show up in your . . . it is a request to the General Commercial zone. That’s the way it has been advertised and presented.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “And ‘LC’ is not a part of it?”

Mr. Krout said, “No, ‘LC’ is not a part of it. Someone must have thought that there was ‘LC’ zoning on a portion of this property, but I’ve checked the zoning map and there is none.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Okay. I just wanted to clarify that because it didn’t read correctly in our Agenda.”

Mr. Krout said, “It is a request to General Commercial zoning, which is the first district that allows off site signage and the first district that allows outside entertainment and recreation.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Okay. I just wanted to make that clear. Thank you.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Commissioner Winters.”

Commissioner Winters said, “I just had two quick comments. I’m going to be supportive of this and for basically two reasons. There are a lot of people that don’t like signs period and you’re probably never going to convince them that a sign is ever going to be a good thing. I think for directional information, and as I read in those Minutes, we had multiple events going on at the Coliseum. If we’ve got a sign that says if you are coming to the hockey game, enter here. If you’re going to the horse show, enter here. Signs present a better way to direct traffic. In this day and age, if you can get advertisers to help pay for the sign, I think that’s a plus. If you go around arenas or zoos or anyplace else and take down all the commercial signs, then taxpayers are going to be paying considerable more dollars for whatever gets done. I certainly don’t have any problem with having some advertising on there. I think signs that will allow the traffic to flow better around the Coliseum would be a big plus. I’m going to be supportive unless I hear something else.”
Chairman Schroeder said, “As an extension to that Commissioner Winters, and I know Commissioner Hancock has his light on and Betsy’s is going on and off here. I think it is a generally accepted practice as you look at a great majority of the restaurants today, especially the smaller, what I call mom and pop restaurants have a Coca Cola sign with the name of their restaurant on it because they pay for it. It is nothing new to this community. There is kind of an anti-sign attitude amongst some people in our community because they think they’re unsightly. But it is a necessary part of doing business. When you have a facility as big as this one and you’re new to this town or new to the Coliseum or if you’ve only been to the Coliseum once before, it would sure be nice to know where you have to go in, in order to park your car to be at the right place. A lot of people end up at the Greyhound track. Believe it or not, they drive into that parking lot. They’ve never been to the Coliseum. I think this will be helpful. I think it will be very good for the community and especially the people who patronize the Coliseum. I think it’s a good deal. Commissioner Hancock.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Marvin, I want to reconfirm that there were no objections from the community, the owners of property and neighbors.”

Mr. Krout said, “No, no objections from property owners.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Really the only problem that the Planning Commission’s vote, the Park City and Metropolitan Area Planning Commission was they had problems with the sign.”

Mr. Krout said, “Park City had no problems. It was the minority of the Planning Commission who had a problem.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “But the use was no problem with them? They expressed not too much concern? I know there was some discussion?”

Mr. Krout said, “Just the concern that the Planning Commission would like to be able to review future plans for the Coliseum that have been announced. My suggestion to them was that they can do that through the CIP process.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Were they expressing those concerns based upon the use or the concept of the use?”

Mr. Krout said, “I’m not sure.”
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**Commissioner Hancock** said, “Okay, thank you, Marvin. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

**Chairman Schroeder** said, “Thank you. Commissioner Gwin.”

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “Thank you. I guess the only concern I would express and I might ask John, is the letters and the reader board and how it is going to be displayed. John, can you talk to me and answer a question about that?”

**Mr. John Nath**, Director, Kansas Coliseum, said, “Could you repeat the question please?”

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “Well, there really wasn’t a question, but I’ll get to one. I like the concept. I certainly like, as Commissioner Winters pointed out, the directional concept. It is a big facility. There are lots of entrances. You know better than anyone how many of these different arenas and pavilions are in use at any one time. The reader board out front is electronic so the letters scroll past and that kind of thing. Is this going to be one of those you place the letters up on it or is it going to be electronic also?”

**Mr. Nath** said, “These will be stationary letters. As time goes on and technology improves, we certainly would have the opportunity to replace that reader board area where the letters are with electronic signs should we want to do that. Right now, it is just going to be hand placed letters. Every one of those signs on there are backlit so they really show up at night. We really wanted to accomplish a couple of things with this project. We wanted to help those folks find a more convenient parking spot when we do have multiple events, which we do a lot in our season. It is tough to have somebody park on one end of 160 acres and walk all across to the other end to get to their event. Number two, we wanted to create a revenue stream and number three, it is not going to cost the taxpayers anything.”

**Commissioner Gwin** said, “Sounds like three good reasons that I’d like to support it, too. Thank you, John.”

**Chairman Schroeder** said, “Especially the walking part. Any other questions or comments? Commissioners, I think if there is anybody in the audience who would like to speak to this item, we ought to let them do that today. Is there anybody here who would like to speak to this item? I see no one, so we will close public comment and limit discussion to bench and staff. Commissioners, what’s the will of the Board on this item?”
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MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to adopt the findings of fact of the MAPC and approve the zone change subject to the additional provisions of Protective Overlay 42 district; and adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Appreciate you being here. Thank you, Marvin. Thank you, John. Next item please.”

PUBLIC HEARING

C. PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING GRANT APPLICATION TO KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE & HOUSING FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) MICRO-LOAN PROGRAM FUNDS.

Ms. Louanna Honeycutt Burress, Administrative Officer, Department of Housing and Economic Development, greeted the Commissioners and said, “The State of Kansas is sponsoring a Micro-Loan Grant Program through its community development block grant authority. The purpose of this program is to provide business loans to low and moderate income Kansans who live outside an entitlement area. I have a map here of the County that shows the entitlement area, which is the Wichita area. The program would be open to anyone living in the County outside the City of Wichita. It would include the second and third class cities.
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“While it would be available to the whole County, we are targeting the Oaklawn Sunview area. This is a map of that. This is a competitive program, which means that we would have to file a formal application. Applications are being accepted only from counties. The maximum amount of any one grant would be $50,000. Sedgwick County will be applying for this grant to assist residents to obtain very small business loans. These loans would be in an amount of $15,000 or less. This is a very small program, as you can see, in the overall scheme of things. Fifty thousand dollars isn’t very much money and it is not going to represent very many loans. But for the individuals who hope to receive a loan, it is very important. It can mean the difference in whether or not they will actually get to start a business. The reason that the public sector is involved in this is simply because this is a small program and lending institutions don’t find it profitable, particularly, to make these very small loans.

“By partnering with South Central Kansas Economic Development District and other non-profit agencies, Sedgwick County can help people obtain these loans who otherwise would not be able to do so. What this would do for the economy is provide increased economic opportunity and help people become more self supporting. We’re aware of the move from welfare to work. This would assist in those types of efforts.

“We’re talking about very small businesses here. Businesses for five or fewer workers. Now, while we do want to focus on businesses of this size, we would also expect that these businesses would generate, or create, at least one full time job. Examples of the type of businesses we might be looking at with this program, would be, for example, an alterations business. Say, a mother who is at home taking care of her children who would like to start a business like this but standing between her and the goal of opening the business would be the need to purchase a commercial grade sewing machine that might cost several thousand dollars. This type of loan would enable her to do this. Another example would be a child care business. Perhaps it would be necessary to acquire some equipment for a business of this type for a play yard and so forth. These types of loans would permit these businesses to get off the ground.

“We’ll be partnering with several lending institutions to obtain matching funds for the loan pool. The County has budgeted $10,000 for a loan loss reserve. To make these businesses fly, many of the owners would need some type of education or training and we will also be partnering with some non-profit agencies, such as SCKEDD (South Central Kansas Economic Development District) or the Small Business Development Center, the Service Core of Retired Executives. They all provide training, which would be useful to help these businesses.
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“In addition, Community Housing Services that Margalee Wright mentioned earlier has an office in Oaklawn. They can aid us by screening some of the applicants. What I’m asking you to do this morning is to conduct the necessary public hearing to allow public comment and then the next item would be a Resolution allowing us to submit the application. If there are questions, I will attempt to answer them. Marty Hughes of the Finance Department is here as well.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, very good, Louanna. There are questions. Commissioner Gwin.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Just a couple of clarifications. Again, it is for new businesses not existing?”

Ms. Burress said, “It could be for existing businesses as well.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Oh really? I read it differently I guess. Secondly, you said it is available in second and third class cities of the County, correct?”

Ms. Burress said, “Right.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “You’re particular emphasis is, for some reason, on Oaklawn?”

Ms. Burress said, “That is the area we would be targeting, yes.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Okay. Can it be in the unincorporated areas?”

Ms. Burress said, “Yes, absolutely. It essentially would be available throughout the County with the exception of the City of Wichita. They are an entitlement area.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Right. Now you mentioned a couple that would be, what I would consider, an in-home business or home occupations. Could someone also use this, it is outlined to aid . . . well it wouldn’t be enough money to build a facility on Commercially zoned land. Are you looking for development in Commercially zoned areas too?”

Ms. Burress said, “Primarily what we’d hoped to do with this would be to partner with SCKEDD. They have a Micro-Loan Program and we could combine these two programs to fill in some of the gaps that we have.”
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Commissioner Gwin said, “Okay. Also, the big question is, how do you plan to get the word out to folks that these kinds of micro-loans are available?”

Ms. Burress said, “We’ll essentially have to advertise and we will do that through SCKEDD. They have a network for reaching some of the folks that are looking at these kinds of programs. Community Housing Services, as I mentioned, has an office in Oaklawn. They’ll help spread the word.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “What about the small cities?”

Ms. Burress said, “Essentially the small cities, I think we would reach through South Central Kansas Economic Development District, through their network.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Any other questions or comments? I do have one. I was looking through the backup. If this is a loan, how is the interest rate determined?”

Ms. Burress said, “The interest rate, as I see it, would be the same interest rate as South Central Kansas Economic Development District has for their micro-loans. It would be just about what the conventional loan would be. Some of this is yet to be determined.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “So it is not necessarily the interest rate that would be the drawing card. It is going to be the fact that they can get the loan for those kinds of businesses.”

Ms. Burress said, “I believe that would be correct.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, very good. Other questions or comments? If not, what we need to do now is to open the meeting to public hearing. Anybody who would like to be heard on this item, please come forward. I think I know everybody in the audience. Since there is no one here to speak on this item, we’ll close the public hearing and limit discussion to bench and staff. Commissioners, anything to add?”
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MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Application.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item please.”

NEW BUSINESS

D. RESOLUTION CERTIFYING LEGAL AUTHORITY TO APPLY FOR THE 1998 KANSAS CDBG MICRO-LOAN PROGRAM AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, TO SIGN AND SUBMIT THE APPLICATION.

Ms. Burress said, “I think you just took action on this item previously.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “We’ve already done this too then?”

Mr. Burress said, “You need to approve the Resolution.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Very good.”
Regular Meeting, October 7, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Thanks, Louanna. Next item.”

E. RESOLUTION AMENDING THE POLICY AND PROCEDURE FOR DEBT MANAGEMENT FOR SEDGWICK COUNTY.

Mr. Daryl Gardner, Controller, Accounting Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Today’s Resolution is amending the debt management policy to allow the financial guarantee of certain sewer and street projects to use surety bonds as a financial guaranty, along with existing bank letters of credit. If you have any questions, I’d be happy to answer them.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Daryl. Commissioner Gwin.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “In proposing this amendment, did you check with other governmental jurisdictions in the area to find out if they also permit this usage?”

Mr. Gardner said, “Yes. There are at least three or four cities within Sedgwick County that allow for surety bonds, including the City of Wichita.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Okay, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Other questions or comments? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”
Commissioner Gwin said, “Can we take a vote?”

Chairman Schroeder said, “No, we can’t. Daryl, tell us a little more about this.”

Mr. Gardner said, “Surety bonds are a different form of financial guarantee. It allows the developers, instead of putting up a bank letter of credit, it allows them to have kind of an insurance policy to guarantee payment of special assessments on street and sewer projects that developers would like to put in new subdivisions. We will be able to call the surety bond, just like a letter of credit, by presenting bills due for delinquent special assessments to the insurance companies for payment if the developer defaults on the payment of the special assessments.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Thank you. Very good.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Commissioner Hancock, you missed some excellent conversation about this item.”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item please.”
F. RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE POLICY AND PROCEDURE FOR ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DONATIONS TO SEDGWICK COUNTY.

Mr. Matt Benoit, Management Intern, County Manager’s Office, greeted the Commissioners and said, “This morning you have in front of you a Resolution that would establish policies and procedures for the acknowledgment of donations to Sedgwick County. Under this proposed procedure, the following will occur when someone gives a donation to Sedgwick County. First, the contributing party will receive a letter of appreciation that will be transmitted through the Public Relations Office that has been drafted by the receiving department or division. The donation amount and the name of the contributing party will be placed on the Board of County Commissioners Regular Agenda if the amount is over $100. If the amount is under $100, the amount will be placed on the Consent Agenda. Third, if for whatever reason the donating party wishes to remain anonymous, the item will still appear on the Board of County Commissioners Regular Agenda, however no name will accompany that item. If you have any questions, I’d be happy to answer them.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, thank you, Matt. Commissioners, do you have any questions? Is this Matt’s first presentation before the Board? Second presentation? If you don’t know him, this is Matt Benoit. To our audience, he is an intern in the Manager’s Office and he is lucky guy. He gets to do a lot of fun things over in the Manager’s Office. This is one of them. Matt, thank you for the presentation. Discussion on this item?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye
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Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Thank you, Matt. Next item please.”

G. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DEMOLITION OF CERTAIN STRUCTURES LOCATED AT 1250 SOUTH SENECA STREET, WICHITA, KANSAS.

Mr. Bob Lamkey, Director, Division of Public Safety, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Before you is a resolution authorizing the demolition of the old Public Works Facility at 1250 South Seneca. As you know, it is a marginal facility in terms of condition and design and its demolition is key to the future improvement plans in the Seneca complex. So, I recommend you adopt and sign the Resolution.”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item please.”
H. LEASE AGREEMENT WITH M & P RENTALS, L.L.C. FOR LEASE OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS TOWER LOCATED AT SEDGWICK COUNTY PARK.

Mr. Kenneth A. Keen, Director, Information Services, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Out in Sedgwick County Park, there is a tower that is licensed to be 220 feet tall that currently supports five antennas belonging to various County departments. The Public Works has two of them, EMS has one, the Disaster Management has a couple of them for fire reserves and for siren activation. The tower is pretty old. We haven’t been able to find out its exact age. It is really in need of painting and isn’t in compliance with current tower lighting monitoring requirements. Those responsibilities are the County’s.

“What the proposal is before you is to enter into a contract with M & P Rentals that would provide for the removal of those antennas that belong to the County and put them on other towers that M & P Rentals has around the City with the same or better coverage. We have adequate coverage of the County just like we do now. That would be done at no cost to the County. Then, following the removal of those, there would be additional guying would be installed on the antenna to support some heavier antennas and then that space would be rented to Nextel, a communications provider. That would give the County a revenue stream of $1,000 a month at that point. Within the three years, with a couple of one year extensions possible, if a second provider of communications services can be found, that would also be M & P’s responsibility to go on to that tower. A new tower would be built, more in keeping with the nature of the park. It would be moved slightly but it would never go south nor east of where the current tower is. It can be located within 100 feet north or 180 feet west. It is a little bit of an oblong shaped box in there. The type of tower is specified in the contract as well. No strobe lights would be permitted on the tower and it would be pretty much the same type of tower that is out there now. When the rentals on that tower exceed $3,300 a month, the County’s share would go to 25% of whatever those rentals are. The tower, the grounds maintenance itself, fencing, utilities and lighting that whole thing and adequate insurance becomes M & P’s responsibility. So what is really happening is that we’re losing responsibility for maintenance and monitoring of a tower and we’re turning that into a potential revenue stream for the County. Of course the tower itself is taxable as well, providing a little bit more income there. I do recommend your approval of the Contract and of course the result would be that the Chairman would sign that contract.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, thank you, Ken. Discussion on this item? Commissioner Winters.”
Commissioner Winters said, “Thank you. I’m going to be supportive of this. I think it is kind of a win/win situation. I think as towers continue to proliferate, if there is a way we can keep existing towers, either becoming more functional, or if there, as in this case, is a possibility of building a stronger tower but yet right close to the existing tower that would handle even more capacity, I think that is a better solution than finding an entire new location in the County to put up this size of tower. I’m going to be supportive. The two concerns that I did have and they are addressed in the Contract is that if another tower is erected and this is removed that the new tower be slightly to the north and then to the west of the tower, that it not be moved any further to the south. The second issue to reemphasize is the fact that there will not be any strobe lights on this tower or any new tower. That the tower be in compliance with lighting alternatives that do not require strobe lights. With those two provisions added in there, I’m going to be supportive of this Contract.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Other discussion? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Ken. Next item please.”
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I. DIVISION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

1. AMENDMENT TO HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM GRANT AGREEMENT WITH COMMUNITY HOUSING SERVICES WICHITA/SEDGWICK COUNTY (CHS), DATED AUGUST 27, 1997, PROVIDING ADDITIONAL FUNDS TO CHS.

Ms. Irene Hart, Director, Division of Community Development, greeted the Commissioners and said, “In 1994, we had a HOME funded, it’s a Federal Housing Program, a HOME funded housing rehabilitation program that operated primarily in the Oaklawn Sunview neighborhood. We had carry over funds from that 1994 program in the amount of $7,851.34. The state has allowed us to apply that carry over funding to our current agreement with Community Housing Services, which enlarges the current Housing Rehabilitation Program by the same dollar amount. What we’re asking you to consider approving today is a Modification to the Agreement awarding housing rehabilitation funds to Community Housing. That modification would be to increase the award by the carry over amount. I’d be happy to try to answer any questions you might have.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Irene. Questions on this item?”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Amendment to Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”
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2. DELETION OF ONE HOUSING ADMINISTRATOR POSITION, RANGE 20, AND ONE HOUSING SPECIALIST POSITION, RANGE 21, FROM, AND ADDITION OF ONE HOUSING COORDINATOR, RANGE 23, TO, THE DIVISION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STAFFING TABLE.

Ms. Hart said, “That was complicated. What this action would do is to delete two housing positions that we currently have. One is vacant and the other will be vacant at the end of the month. We propose to essentially combine the responsibilities and the funding into one position. The Housing Authority Administrator position, the responsibilities of the Housing Authority Administrator have decreased significantly that we can accomplish both tasks with one position. We would save County funds and I think be able to hire an experienced housing staff person for that position. Would be happy to try to answer any questions you might have.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, thank you, Irene. Questions on this item?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the deletions and addition to the Division of Community Development Staffing Table.

Chairman Schroeder seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Thanks, Irene. Next item please.”
J. SELECTION OF KANSAS ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES VOTING DELEGATE AND ALTERNATES (TWO) FOR THE 1998 ANNUAL CONFERENCE.

Chairman Schroeder said, “Commissioners, conference is coming up. We need to have a delegate and two alternate delegates approved and have those names submitted to KAC for file. I’d entertain any Motions, especially ones that exclude me from it.”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved that the delegate be Chairman Mark Schroeder and the first alternate be Commissioner Bill Hancock and second alternate Commissioner Tom Winters.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

Chairman Schroeder said, “Commissioner Winters.”

Commissioner Winters said, “Well, with three people, the big problem in the past has always been scheduling conflicts. When we have this conference and it is in Wichita then all of us try to do work and try to go to the conference at the same time. I think it is important that whoever is the delegate be there. If somebody is going to have scheduling conflicts, we’ve got three of us on the slate. Surely out of three people we’ll be able to have somebody there.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, very good. Thank you. Other questions or comments? Clerk, call the vote.”

**VOTE**

- Commissioner Betsy Gwin      Aye
- Commissioner Paul W. Hancock  Aye
- Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
- Commissioner Melody C. Miller  Absent
- Chairman Mark F. Schroeder    Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item please.”
K. BUREAU OF PUBLIC WORKS.

1. MODIFICATION OF PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION, REQUEST NUMBER TWO AND FINAL, WITH CENTRAL PAVING, INC. ON SEDGWICK COUNTY PROJECT - BELLE TERRE SOUTH ADDITION, PHASE 1; PAVING AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS. DISTRICT #1.

Mr. Jim Weber, P.E., Director, Sewer Operations and Maintenance, greeted the Commissioners and said, “In Item K-1, we’re requesting your approval of Modification of Plans and Construction number two and final to our contract with Central Paving on Phase I of the Belle Terre South Addition street paving project. The modification will decrease our contract by $123,972.70. The decrease is due to variations in planning quantities from actual field measurements. All costs of the project are to be paid by the benefited properties through special assessments. We would request your approval of the recommended action.”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Modification of Plans and Construction and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”
2. RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AT CERTAIN INTERSECTIONS ALONG SECTION LINE ROADS WITHIN OR ABUTTING ATTICA TOWNSHIP, AND PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT THEREOF. DISTRICT #3.

Mr. Mark R. Borst, P.E., Deputy Director, Bureau of Public Works, greeted the Commissioners and said, “The County is currently paving 119th Street West between 21st Street North and 53rd Street North. When completed, this four mile section of 119th will become part of the County highway system. As a result, the County will assume traffic control signing responsibilities for the included intersections. Presently, Attica, Park and Union Townships have maintenance or signing responsibilities along this stretch of 119th. Their responsibilities for signing are itemized in the previously passed resolutions. The next three resolutions before you, Items K-2 through K-4 indicate the change in responsibility along 119th Street West for signing at intersections, taking that responsibility from the townships and giving it to Sedgwick County. The first resolution, Item K-2 is for Attica Township. I recommend you would adopt the Resolution.”

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”
3. RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AT CERTAIN INTERSECTIONS ALONG SECTION LINE ROADS WITHIN OR ABUTTING PARK TOWNSHIP, AND PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT THEREOF. DISTRICT #4.

Mr. Borst said, “Commissioners, this item is for Park Township. It is the same as the previous one for Attica. It does give Sedgwick County responsibility for signing along 119th, where Park had it previously. I recommend you adopt the Resolution.”

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

4. RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AT CERTAIN INTERSECTIONS ALONG SECTION LINE ROADS WITHIN OR ABUTTING UNION TOWNSHIP, AND PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT THEREOF. DISTRICT #3.

Mr. Borst said, “Commissioners, again this is for Union Township. It does give Sedgwick County the appropriate responsibility on 119th. I recommend that you adopt the Resolution.”
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MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock  Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters  Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller  Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder  Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Thank you, Mark. Next item.”

5. RESOLUTION RECLASSIFYING 119TH STREET WEST BETWEEN 21ST STREET NORTH AND 53RD STREET NORTH FROM THE ATTICA TOWNSHIP ROAD SYSTEM AND THE PARK TOWNSHIP ROAD SYSTEM TO THE SEDGWICK COUNTY HIGHWAY SYSTEM.

Mr. David C. Spears, P.E., Director/County Engineer, Bureau of Public Works, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Item K-5 is a Resolution to reclassify 119th Street West between 21st Street North and 53rd Street North from the Attica and Park Township road system to the Sedgwick County road system. We are currently using this section of roadway as a test for a new cold in place asphalt mixed design. With the increase in residential development southwest of Maize High School, and a corresponding increase in traffic volume, we feel that this additional paved roadway would burden the Attica and Park Township road maintenance responsibilities. Additionally, this will provide a substantial improvement to school bus routes and local traffic. This section of roadway will be added to the County ice and snow removal program. I recommend that you adopt the Resolution.”
Regular Meeting, October 7, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

6. RESOLUTION RECLASSIFYING 77TH STREET NORTH BETWEEN THE EAST CITY LIMITS OF VALLEY CENTER AND BROADWAY FROM THE KECHI TOWNSHIP ROAD SYSTEM TO THE SEDGWICK COUNTY HIGHWAY SYSTEM.

Mr. Spears said, “Item K-6 is a resolution to reclassify 77th Street North between the east city limits of Valley Center and Broadway from the Kechi Township road system to the Sedgwick County road system. Increases in traffic volume east of Valley Center to I-135 and the Kansas Coliseum and Wichita Greyhound Race Track area have warranted this additional paved roadway. Likewise, because this roadway would burden Kechi Township, we recommend that the road maintenance be the County’s responsibility. I recommend that you adopt the Resolution.”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
7. RESOLUTION DESIGNATING AND CLASSIFYING CERTAIN STREETS TO THE GARDEN PLAIN TOWNSHIP SYSTEM. DISTRICT #3.

Mr. Spears said, “Item K-7, it is standard procedure that after a road is constructed within an unplatted residential subdivision in accordance with County standards, that road is then assigned to the township road system. In this particular case, 219th Street West and 24th Street North Circle, which is generally located north of 21st Street North and west of St. Marks, will become the responsibility of Garden Plain Township. I recommend that you adopt the Resolution.”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin           Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock      Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters    Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller     Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder        Aye
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8. RESOLUTION DESIGNATING AND CLASSIFYING CERTAIN STREETS TO THE UNION TOWNSHIP SYSTEM. DISTRICT #3.

Mr. Spears said, “It is a standard procedure that after a road is constructed within a platted residential subdivision in accordance with County standards, that road is then assigned to the township road system. In this particular case, 124th Street West, 124th Street Court, and 124th Street West Court in Creekside Estates generally located near 119th Street West and 29th Street North will become the responsibility of Union Township. I recommend that you adopt the Resolution.”

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

9. RESOLUTION DESIGNATING AND CLASSIFYING CERTAIN STREETS TO THE UNION TOWNSHIP SYSTEM. DISTRICT #3.

Mr. Spears said, “Item K-9 is similar to item K-8. It is standard procedure that after a road is constructed within a platted residential subdivision in accordance with County standards, that road is then assigned to the township road system. In this particular case, 124th Street West, which is in Balmoral Briar Addition, generally located near 119th Street West and 29th Street North, will become the responsibility of Union Township. I recommend that you adopt the Resolution.”
MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

10. RESOLUTION DESIGNATING AND CLASSIFYING CERTAIN STREETS TO THE PAYNE TOWNSHIP SYSTEM. DISTRICT #1.

Mr. Spears said, “Again, similar to Item K-9, it is standard procedure that after a road is constructed within a platted residential subdivision in accordance with County standards, that road is then assigned to the township road system. In this particular case, Willie Mae Lane in Prairie Lakes Addition, generally located near 61st Street North and Webb Road will become the responsibility of Payne Township. I recommend that you adopt the Resolution.”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
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VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock  Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters  Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller  Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder  Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, David.  Next item please.”

L. REPORT OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS' OCTOBER 1, 1998 REGULAR MEETING.

Mr. Darren Muci, Director, Purchasing Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “You have Minutes from the October 1 meeting of the Board of Bids and Contracts. There are ten items for consideration.

(1) PAINTING OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS

“Item one, painting of the District Attorney’s Office by Capital Projects. It was recommended to accept the only bid received of Aaron and Page Painting. That amount is $9,400.

(2) ½ TON 4WD SUBURBAN - FLEET MANAGEMENT FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT

“Item two is a ½ ton 4 wheel drive suburban vehicle for Fleet Management and Emergency Medical Services. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Mel Hambelton Chevrolet. That amount with trade is $15,453.87.

(3) ½ TON EXTENDED CAB TRUCKS - FLEET MANAGEMENT FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT

“Item three ½ ton extended cab truck for Fleet Management and the Bureau of Public Works on two vehicles. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Mel Hambelton Ford. That amount is $22,200 with trade.
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(4) MINI-VAN - FLEET MANAGEMENT
FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT

“Item four is a mini-van for Fleet Management and the Sheriff’s Department. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Don Hattan Chevrolet. That amount with trade is $14,000.

(5) FOUR WHEEL DRIVE UTILITY VEHICLE - FLEET MANAGEMENT
FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT

“Item five, a four wheel drive utility vehicle for Fleet Management and the Bureau of Public Works. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Don Hattan Chevrolet. That amount with trade is $18,575.

(6) COTTON CORE MATTRESSES - CAPITAL PROJECTS
FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS

“Item six, cotton core mattresses for Capital Projects and the Detention Facility. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Bob Barker Company. That amount is $19,727.40.

(7) JUMPSUITS - CAPITAL PROJECTS
FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS

“Item seven, jumpsuits for Capital Projects and the Detention Facility. It was recommended to accept the low total bid of Robinson Textiles. That amount is $14,702.50. Two pages of tabulation follows.

(8) VM SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE - INFORMATION SERVICES
FUNDING: INFORMATION SERVICES

“Item eight, VM software maintenance for Information Services. It was recommended to accept the sole source bid of the publisher and provider of the software, Sterling Software, for a 2 year agreement. That amount is $49,560.

(9) CUSTODIAL SERVICES - DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
FUNDING: DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

“Item nine, custodial services for the Department of Corrections at two sites. It was recommended to accept the low proposal of WD Enterprises. That annual amount is $26,460.
(10) IMAGING SYSTEM HARDWARE - LEGAL
FUNDING: LEGAL

“Item ten, imaging system hardware for the County Counselor’s Office. It was recommended to accept the low individual bids of Automated Business Systems. Their total amount, $21,643. Computerland East for a total amount of $5,469. A grand total of $27,112. Several pages of tabulation followed.

ITEMS NOT REQUIRING BOCC ACTION

(11) DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE CARPETING - CAPITAL PROJECTS
FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS

(12) CARPETING OF SHERIFF’S OFFICE - CAPITAL PROJECTS
FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS

(13) PERSONAL COMPUTER HARDWARE & SOFTWARE - PERSONNEL
FUNDING: PERSONNEL

“There are three items that do not require action at this particular time. They were tabled for review. They include: carpeting for the District Attorney’s Office and for the Sheriff’s Office, and personal computer hardware and software for the Personnel Department. I would be happy to take questions and recommend that you approve the Minutes provided by the Board of Bids and Contracts.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Darren. Discussion on this item? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item please.”

CONSENT AGENDA

M. CONSENT AGENDA.

1. Right-of-Way Easements.

The following tract of land has been granted by Easement for Right-of-Way at no cost to the County. The Director, Bureau of Public Works, requested this Easement as a condition of receiving a Platting Exemption on an unplatted tract.

Road Number 797-L, Owners: Carl N. Betzen and Marilyn A. Betzen, located in the Northeast Quarter of Section 32, Township 26 South, Range 2 West, more specifically located on the west side of 183rd Street West and south of 37th Street North. Union Township. District #3.


4. Budget Adjustment Requests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Type of Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>980481</td>
<td>Corrections</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980482</td>
<td>Information Services</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980483</td>
<td>Information Services</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980484</td>
<td>Kansas Coliseum</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Mr. William Buchanan, County Manager, greeted the Commissioners and said, “You have the Consent Agenda before you and I would recommend you approve it.”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Consent Agenda as presented.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Other business to come before this Board?”

N. OTHER

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved that the Board of County Commissioners recess into Executive Session for 20 minutes to consider consultation with Legal Counsel on matters privileged in the Attorney Client relationship relating to legal advice and that the Board of County Commissioners return from Executive Session no sooner than 10:55 a.m.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
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VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin       Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock   Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller  Absent
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder     Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you.”

The Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners recessed into Executive Session at 10:30 a.m. and returned at 10:55 a.m.

Chairman Schroeder said, “We’re back in session. Let the record show that there was no action taken in Executive Session. Mr. Manager, do you have anything to add? Mr. Counselor? Commissioners? Then we're adjourned.”

O. ADJOURNMENT
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There being no other business to come before the Board, the Meeting was adjourned at 10:55 a.m.
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