MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

REGULAR MEETING

October 14, 1998

The Regular Meeting of the Board of County Commissioners of Sedgwick County, Kansas, was called to order at 9:00 A.M., Wednesday, October 14, 1998 in the County Commission Meeting Room in the Courthouse in Wichita, Kansas, by Chairman Mark F. Schroeder; with the following present: Chairman Pro Tem Paul W. Hancock; Commissioner Betsy Gwin; Commissioner Thomas G. Winters; Commissioner Melody C. Miller; Mr. William P. Buchanan, County Manager; Mr. Rich Euson, County Counselor; Ms. Becky Allen-Bouska, Director, Bureau of Finance; Mr. David C. Spears, Director, Bureau of Public Works; Mr. Renfeng Ma, Interim Director, Budget Department; Mr. John DuVall, Director of Operations, COMCARE; Ms. Marsha Gasper, Unit Director for Programs, Department on Aging; Mr. Steve Gilbert, Forensic Administrator, Regional Forensic Science Center; Ms. Peggy Giesen, Field Supervisor, Wichita / Sedgwick County Health Department; Mr. Kenneth W. Arnold, Director, Capital Projects Department; Mr. Jim Weber, P.E., Director, Sewer Operations and Maintenance; Mr. Darren Muci, Director, Purchasing Department; Mr. Fred Ervin, Director, Public Relations; and Ms. Lisa Davis, Deputy County Clerk.

GUESTS

Ms. Jana L. Mullen, Member, Zoning Appeals Board.
Mr. Joe L. Norton, Bond Counsel, Gilmore & Bell, P.C.

INVOCATION

The Invocation was given by Mr. Bob Bruner of the Christian Businessmen's Committee.

FLAG SALUTE

ROLL CALL

The Clerk reported, after calling roll, that all Commissioners were present.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES:

Regular Meeting, September 23, 1998
En Banc Meeting, June 10, 1998

The Clerk reported that all Commissioners were present at the Regular Meeting of March 4, 1998.
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**Chairman Schroeder** said, "Commissioners, you've received the Minutes of the meeting, what's the will of the Board?"

**MOTION**

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Minutes of September 23, 1998 and the En Banc Minutes of June 10, 1998.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

**VOTE**

- Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
- Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
- Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
- Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
- Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

**Chairman Schroeder** said, “Thank you. Next item.”

**CERTIFICATION AS TO THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS**

Ms. **Becky Allen-Bouska**, Finance Director, greeted the Commissioners and said, "You have previously received the certification of funds for expenditures on today’s Regular Agenda. I am available for questions, if there are any."

**Chairman Schroeder** said, “Thank you, Becky. I see no questions. Thank you. Next item, please.”
PROCLAMATIONS

A. PROCLAMATIONS.

1. PROCLAMATION DECLARING OCTOBER 15-18, 1998 AS "WIZARD OF OZ ON ICE WEEK."

Chairman Schroeder said, “Commissioners, I have that Proclamation which I will read into the record.”

PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, for nearly two decades the Kansas Coliseum has been among the arena leaders in annual gross sales at the box office; and

WHEREAS, from acrobats to Yanni, the Coliseum has offered top name entertainment and productions for the entire family; and

WHEREAS, Sedgwick County will be home to the Emerald City when Feld Entertainment’s Spectacular The Wizard of Oz On Ice comes to the Kansas Coliseum Thursday, October 15 through Sunday, October 18, 1998; and

WHEREAS, on Wednesday, October 14, 1998 at Watson Park the city and county will exchange keys with Munchkinland and the Wizard of the Emerald City;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that I, Mark Schroeder, Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners, do hereby proclaim October 15-18, 1998 as

“WIZARD OF OZ ON ICE WEEK”

and in recognition of this fun-tastic event all Dorothy’s, Scarecrow’s, Cowardly Lion’s and Toto’s can enjoy the renaming of 85th Street, road to the Coliseum, to the Yellow Brick Road.
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MOTION

Chairman Schroeder moved to adopt the Proclamation and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Is John here today?”

Mr. Fred Ervin, Director, Public Relations, said “I will accept on behalf of John. I’ll make sure that he gets this.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Fred. Next item please.”

2. PROCLAMATION DECLARING OCTOBER 25, 1998 AS "UNITED NATIONS DAY."

3. PROCLAMATION DECLARING OCTOBER 23-31, 1998 AS "RED RIBBON WEEK."

Chairman Schroeder said, “Before we go on to Item B, Appointments, I need to, because of the way the Agenda reads, we had two Proclamations, two additional Proclamations, Items 2 and Item 3, that are scheduled for next week. So, Mr. Counselor, do we need to take a Motion to delay these until next week, these two additional Proclamations?”

Mr. Rich Euson, County Counselor, said “Yes, I believe that’s appropriate.”
MOTION

Chairman Schroeder moved to delay the two Proclamations until next week’s meeting.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

APPOINTMENT

B. RESOLUTION APPOINTING JANA L. MULLEN (COMMISSIONER WINTERS' APPOINTMENT) TO THE ZONING APPEALS BOARD.

Mr. Rich Euson, greeted the Commissioners and said “Commissioners, this is a five member board created for the purpose of hearing variances and appeals from determinations of the County’s Zoning Administrator. This particular appointment is for a three year term to expire on April 15, 2001. The Resolution is in proper form.”

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
Chairman Schroeder said, “I understand that Jana is with us today to be sworn in. The Clerk’s Office will swear you in up here at the podium, if you’d please come forward.”

Ms. Linda Leggett, Clerk’s Office, said, “Raise your right hand and after I administer the oath say I do affirm.

“I do solemnly affirm that I will support the Constitution of the United States, the Constitution of the State of Kansas, and faithfully discharge the duties of the office of Sedgwick County Zoning Appeals Board, so help me God.”

Ms. Jana L. Mullen said, “I do affirm.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Congratulations. Jana, thank you for volunteering to serve on this Board as I’m sure Commissioner Winters is very thankful for you volunteering to do that as the rest of us. It’s folks like you that make this community a great place to live and work because of your ability to work with us and help out on these kinds of boards. Thank you and good luck to you. Next item please.”
NEW BUSINESS

C. RESOLUTION DECLARING IT NECESSARY TO CONSTRUCT IMPROVEMENTS TO EXISTING ROADS AND INTERSECTIONS IN THE COUNTY; PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO PAY THE COSTS THEREOF; AND PROVIDING FOR PUBLICATION AS REQUIRED BY LAW.

Mr. Joe Norton, Bond Counsel, Gilmore and Bell, P.C., greeted the Commissioners and said, “For your consideration this morning there is an item with respect to authorizing improvements to existing roads and intersections in the County. There are two projects which Mr. Spears, the Director of Bureau of Public Works, has recommended be financed with General Obligation Bonds in the County. Those are CIP project R-225 which is 13th Street North between the Wichita city limits and K-96. The second being project R-230, on Central between K-96 and 143rd Street East in the County.”

SLIDE PRESENTATION

“On the screen before you is a map depicting the locations of those two projects. The Bureau of Public Works has estimated the cost of those projects. For the 13th Street North improvement the total cost of the project is $1,400,000, and for the Central project the cost is $3,600,000. I believe those projects are reversed on the slide. The back-up material is correct. The estimates of cost are just exactly reversed. $3,600,000 is for the 13th Street North project and the $1,400,000 is for the Central Street project.

“State statute authorizes the Board of County Commissioners to fund these types of projects with General Obligation Bonds of the County provided that before so doing there is a resolution adopted by the Board of County Commissioners which provides for publication of that intent and provides for a ninety day period when citizens of the County may desire to protest the funding of those projects in this manner.”

“We have prepared a Resolution which would implement the financing of these projects at the estimated cost that I have corrected and we present that for your consideration and recommend adoption.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Joe. Commissioner Gwin.”
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Commissioner Gwin said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Joe, I don’t know whether this is for you or for David, but there’s ongoing conversation about the 13th Street project and trying to get the City of Wichita to expedite the completion of their portion of that project. If we would approve this today, would that in any way affect those negotiations or the ability to complete the project all at the same time if the City could come along, could move theirs up?"

Mr. David Spears, Director, Bureau of Public Works, said “I don’t want to say it’d be all done at the same time because we are practically finished with the design of 13th Street. They would still have to design theirs. If they would select the same consultant that we had that would speed things up. They only have one half a mile to do. It’s the half a mile east of Rock Road and I know they are also visiting with Koch about another privatization project such as the one they had up on 21st. As you recall, we had the same identical situation there. So, I couldn’t guarantee they’d be done at the same time but we would be able to coordinate with them to make sure that if it wasn’t we would have it properly paved with the transition for a temporary time period to make it work and at the same time I’ve talked to Gene Rath this week with the City and I do know that they are attempting to move this project up.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Well, you indicated the City was thinking about the privatization with Koch on that paving aspect of it. Are we considering using any of that in the County section or is it just that half mile?”

Mr. Spears said, “We have not considered using it. We’ve thought about it some. One way you could do it . . . I have talked to Koch about it, there is a possibility, and I have not visited with you about this, but what could be done is on the day of the bid letting we could bid it just exactly the way we always do a job. They could also bid it at the same time. Take the plans that we have from the consultant and bid it the way they deem they would like to and then you can compare the price and if you so desire to go with the privatization you could. And that’s something we haven’t talked about.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Joe, I guess I’m interested in trying to make that project work in harmony with the City as close as possible, and again, I don’t know where they are in the process. So, if I would approve this resolution today, does that in any way inhibit our ability to continue those negotiations and to try to do it in a more cooperative basis.”
Mr. Norton said, “Not at all. What this resolution does is declare an intent to make the improvements and finance it with the bonds and provide for the protest period to start, which is 90 days. Typically, these are the types of projects which would then go through the normal process of bidding and design drawings in the spring and early summer and then be subject to financing in August of 1999. So we have quite a bit of time before the County would obligate itself to actually issue the debt and go forward with that and during that time period those negotiations could be ongoing.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Okay, that was my concern.”

Mr. Norton said, “This is really an authorization resolution as opposed to actually implementing the construction.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Thank you. Thanks, David. We’ll talk more about it. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In that light, I could certainly support this resolution today but I think we do want to keep working on this project to see if we can’t make it more harmonious for the people who live out that way.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Very good. Other discussion on this item?”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye
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D. REPORT OF CITY OF WICHITA TAX INCREMENT FINANCING DISTRICT'S IMPACT ON SEDGWICK COUNTY.

Mr. Renfeng Ma, Interim Director, Budget Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “I have distributed a copy of the presentation for the meeting to you. It looks like this. When I first started working for the budget office our budget was simple. If you asked me a question, whether a program is funded by the County, I would just look at the County’s expenditure budget, if the program was there I’d tell you with confidence ‘Yes we are funding the program.’ Otherwise it’s not. But now days with the development of local government financing mechanisms, that story’s not true anymore. If you ask me, well there is a lot of activities going on in the Old Town area or in the Hispanic area, is the County funding any of those projects in the ’99 budget. I’ll look in our ‘99 budget. They’re no where to be found but I’ll tell you with the same confidence ‘Yes, we are funding those projects.’ This quite unusual situation is really created by that local government financing mechanism called Tax Increment Financing, or TIF, for those who like acronyms. For those of us in the audience who are not really familiar with the terminology and how it works I worked out an example of how TIF Districts work.

SLIDE PRESENTATION

“It’s a specific area designed within a city, with problems or areas that need improvement identified that would keep a portion of the ad valorem tax revenue that’s generated inside a district in the district for developments and revitalization efforts in the district. This is the example I worked. Although there are many kinds of Tax Increment Financing Districts, they vary in size and were created for different reasons but when it comes to money they all do the same thing. I’m using the County as an example here. Inside this TIF District if the County levies $100 on a piece of property, that $100 we levy will be reduced by the portion that’s needed by the Tax Increment Financing District projects. So, it’s reduced by a portion. I used $20 here because that’s the maximum they can reduce our ad valorem tax revenue by, 20 percent. And so when we levy $100 we will end up receiving $80 in this exempt.

“This is only an example. Let’s look at some real numbers. Actually, there are two steps they need to go through before they create a Tax Increment Financing District or before they can actually get ad valorem tax revenue from each tax levying entity. They first have to establish a TIF District by City Ordinance. They have to go through the public hearing, all those processes, to tell the affected parties that this is the district they are creating. The second portion of the district is to identify specific redevelopment plans for specific projects. This also takes the form of City ordinance. There’s a public hearing involved, and sometimes these two steps can be combined in one.
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“So far, the City of Wichita has five Tax Increment Financing Districts. The oldest one is Gilbert-Mosley. This district was created in 1991 and started to collect Tax Increment Financing revenue in ‘94. So, between ‘94 and ‘98 the County, Sedgwick County, contributed $644,000 towards the development, or the environmental cleanup efforts, for the Gilbert and Mosley area. And this District is estimated to get $222,000 from the County in ‘99. And the estimated contribution from Sedgwick County is $231,000 in the year 2000. But if you add all those years together for the County’s contribution, by the end of the year 2000 we would have contributed about $1,000,000 towards the environmental cleanup efforts in the Gilbert and Mosley.

“The second district is the North Industrial Corridor (NIC). This district is a fairly new one, in ‘96, but started collecting Tax Increment Financing revenue in ‘97. So between ‘94 and ‘98 the County contributed $147,000 and the County is expected to contribute $171,000 in ‘99 and another $204,000 in the year 2000. So, by the end of the year 2000 the County, Sedgwick County, would have contributed $522,000 towards the clean up efforts that are going on or will be planned to happen in the North Industrial Corridor area in northern Wichita.

“There are three new districts, new in the sense that they started collecting Tax Increment Financing money in ‘99. Ninety nine is the first year: 21st and Grove, Old Town, East Bank. Those three districts were created at different times but the redevelopment plan had been developed to identify specific projects in the year ‘99. So if we add them all up together, by the end of 2000 the County would have contributed about $2,000,000 towards different kinds of redevelopment activities or cleanup activities in various kinds of TIF Districts in the City of Wichita. That’s a substantial amount of money.

“What does it do to our ‘99 budget? Let’s see how it impacts our ‘99 budget. This is the ‘99 impact to the County. For Gilbert-Mosley, the District is expecting about $740,000 from all tax levying entities in the Gilbert-Mosley Tax Increment Financing District. That includes Sedgwick County, City of Wichita, and USD 259. So that’s the amount for ‘99 they’re planning on. For the North Industrial Corridor District they’re expecting $570,000 in ‘99. 21st and Grove and the three smaller ones, there about . . ., that’s $124,000 in 21st and Grove area, and $192,000 in Old Town District, and $311,000 in East Bank area. And those three areas are for the payment of Tax Increment Financing Bonds that have been issued.
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“So, in ‘99 they are expecting close to $2,000,000 from the three main taxing entities, City, County, and USD 259. Our share is close to 30 percent for most of the years. It depends on the specific mil levy that each tax levy entity will be levying. It varies, but it is pretty close to 30 percent, and that’s our share for ‘99. In relative terms, that’s probably about a little less than one percent of our total ad valorem tax revenue we will be levying for ‘99. It may look like a small number, but when you put this in a perspective of our total budget and different kinds of programs we are funding, it will look a little different.

“I generated a list of departments and programs that are funded in the ‘99 budget with a ‘99 budget of $600,000 or less. And the list is sizable. Starting from Accounting, that’s a very important function. That costs the County less than $600,000 in ‘99. Animal Control, Code Enforcement, Convention/Tourism, and certainly County Commission, County Manager, and the Diversity and Employee Relations, Emergency Management, Environmental Resources, the whole Finance section with Budget together, and Human Resources and Personnel, all the parks, and our efforts to control noxious weeds in the County, Old Cowtown Museum, and Pretrial Services, and Public and Intergovernmental Relations together would cost us less than $600,000. Purchasing and also Register of Deeds, Risk Management, Sedgwick County Park, and finally Street Maintenance. So, with less than $600,000, a little more than $550,000 we can make a big difference in our budget.

“That’s why, for future budget cycles we are planning to incorporate the new requests for creating new TIF Districts or new development plans within the existing TIF Districts in our regular Budget Hearing process. So, those projects or TIF Districts can be evaluated in the same manner that all of our other services are evaluated. Is developing some public infrastructure in the Old Town area more important than increasing our prevention efforts or enhancing our facilities in the juvenile area? Those kind of things need to be talked about in the context of our regular budget development process. That wraps up my presentation. I’d be happy to answer any questions you might have.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Renfeng. It’s very informative information. I appreciate you putting all that together and presenting it to us today. It’s something we normally don’t look at and you’ve packaged it together so it’s easy to understand. I think Commissioner Gwin has a question.”
Commissioner Gwin said, “Thanks, Mr. Chairman. Thanks for the information. We haven’t ever had it split out like that before. You know, the State provides a matrix by which we are to determine whether or not IRBs (Industrial Revenue Bonds) are feasible. There are certain criteria that they have to meet. We have to meet the matrix and fit the numbers in and show that by granting IRB’s, for instance, for companies to expand, either by adding machinery or hiring employees, it’s a cost/benefit analysis, that the benefits are worth the cost. Is there any way to create that kind of matrix for TIF’s or do you have to just look at them as to where they are, whether they’re a personal priority?”

Mr. Ma said, “When the City established the TIF Districts there are cost/benefit analysis but they are prepared in the context of ‘Are we going to get our public investment money back?’ In that kind of context. It’s not in the context of ‘this is public money’. We have the opportunity to invest it either in the crime prevention area or enhance our Juvenile Detention Facility or some other developments. It’s not that kind of opportunity cost type of evaluation. It’s a simple investment. So, we are foregoing close to $2,000,000 of tax revenue every year from different kinds of government entities. What kind of benefits we are getting back from the District? Is the value growing to the extent that it will pay back the public investment we have done so far or is the neighborhood getting safer because of the public investment we have put in? So, it’s evaluated in that kind of context. So far, we are seeing pretty good results especially in the 21st and Grove area since the public money and some private money is invested in there we’re seeing a noticeable difference. That kind of evaluation is not in the same context as we develop our regular budgets. It’s a simple kind of cost/benefit analysis.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “I suppose that, taking a little longer to look at these certainly makes sense. I would certainly agree that the Gilbert and Mosley and North Industrial Corridor Districts are one’s that started it, with the idea that if this community didn’t do something to assist cleanup or to change the perception of those two areas that we were going to see real estate values decline, the properties would not sell, that we’d really see a depreciation in our property tax base because of those particular kinds of impacts. Also, I think on the 21st and Grove, even though it was not a contamination issue, it was an issue of property values and deflation and the inability to market and make things happen. So, sitting here today, I don’t know that I would have opposed any of them if I’d thought about it any longer, but the fact that you’ve given us the financial comparison of the cost of TIF’s versus the cost of running some pretty important departments, that’s certainly food for thought as we start working on the budgets to follow, and trying to decide whether or not this is a priority area or if there’s something that’s even more important for us to do. So, I appreciate the information and appreciate your presentation for the public’s sake this morning. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Commissioner Winters.”
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**Commissioner Winters** said, “Thank you. I’m a little bit confused. I think it was maybe on your last chart about the impact to the County and when we came up with that County share figure, $581,000. Is that the total amount of budgeted revenue for Sedgwick County or is that the amount that’s foregone and Sedgwick County does not receive because we’re in the TIF?”

**Mr. Ma** said, “Five hundred eighty one thousand dollars is the revenue that’s foregone because of the different kinds of Tax Increment Financing Districts. Without those Districts we would have received $581,000 more.”

**Commissioner Winters** said, “Okay. So it could be said then that our contribution to cleaning up the Gilbert and Mosley site would be at least a portion of that $581,000.”

**Mr. Ma** said, “Yes.”

**Commissioner Winters** said, “Well, I understand that and I appreciate your bringing this to us. I know that we have reviewed some of the requests for Tax Increment Financing Districts and now even based with this information, with a historical perspective I think we’ll have a better idea of what kinds of affects they have on revenue streams.

“I went back, and I think as far as the Gilbert and Mosley and the North Industrial Corridor, they could have had serious ramifications on this community that would have been all negative if something hadn’t have been done to clean up those sites. My only concern is, I thought the Gilbert and Mosley TIF was going to really take on the whole challenge of cleaning that up, and when I saw in the newspaper last week that a number of companies were going to be sued by the City, I thought the TIF was going to do that. But I went back and reviewed the Minutes from 1991, and when that plan was presented to the Board of County Commissioners. They clearly said that they were going to try to go again after people that had caused part of the pollution. I was wrong in my thinking that it was going to be a total public clean up process. They certainly had indicated from the very beginning that they were going to try to go back to some of those people who had supposedly caused part of the pollution. I appreciate the presentation. I certainly understand the financial impact that TIFs have on us now and I think as we would think about any kind of further use of them, I think we will have a more clearer understanding of them now. So, thanks for your presentation. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

**Chairman Schroeder** said, “Thank you. Commissioner Miller.”
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**Commissioner Miller** said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First of all, you’ve made something that’s been somewhat difficult for some of us to understand very easy and very informative hopefully for the public’s sake, Ma. And then when I think as a proponent, as an early proponent of TIF Districts, and even looking at, or attempting to see how it is that Sedgwick County could become a TIF District, or a governmental entity that could actually create a TIF District, I find this very encouraging to show how it is that this type of creative financing can indeed benefit the County. And when I think of it from an evaluation perspective, I think that yes it is dollars foregone early, but when you think about it in the long term, then of course you’re betting, I mean it’s basically a bet and a risk taking, but you’re betting that by placing these dollars up front within our core areas of the County that we will reap benefits in the long term by projected growths and valuations which will do nothing but stabilize our Ad Valorem tax base. So, I am definitely going to continue to be supportive of this type of financing and do appreciate you being able to explain it to us as a Board and to the public. Thank you.”

**Chairman Schroeder** said, “Thank you. Commissioner Hancock.”

**Commissioner Hancock** said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have to agree with Commissioner Winters, everything he said, especially the Gilbert and Mosley. I had forgotten also that during our discussions of that project, originally, that Chris Church is the Manager of the City, made it quite clear that there were going to be some law suits. I had forgotten about that also. I was disturbed last week also when I read the City had decided to sue a number of companies, primarily because many of the things that we did, that were done at that time, were common ordinary things that no one really thought anything about and no one, in the ‘40’s and ‘50’s, and maybe even into the ‘60’s not particularly thinking about the environment and not even aware of the consequences of the actions. Sometimes I think that’s unfair that companies and businesses that even don’t exist anymore should be punished and harassed and sued because of actions taken then. I had hoped that we would use the public monies to take care of a public problem without punishing private companies who I think were, for the most part, doing what they thought was okay to do. That’s unfortunate, but seems to be the way it is.

“Another thing I wanted to say is that I’m glad that Ma has made us aware of the impact of Tax Increment Financing Districts on the County budget. I think that, for me at least, there’s going to be a threshold as far as considering future Tax Increment Financing, and there’s going to be a criteria in my own mind about what is important and what isn’t and how we should be using these things should they be presented to us by the City. Might be noted here, and I think I’m right on this, counties can’t do this. Cities can and counties cannot. We can only participate but we can’t instigate them.”
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“One mistake I do make and I have made and I’ll admit it. I wasn’t paying attention and if I had to do it over again I would not vote for the East Bank Tax Increment Financing District. I think that’s primarily the responsibility of the City and their own taxing ability. I don’t believe that should be spread around to other taxing entities like the school district and Sedgwick County. We’ve contributed $25,000,000 to the downtown redevelopment and that was our promise. We’ve done that. We are in the process of doing that even as I speak. I suppose had I been paying attention and I apologize to folks out there, I think I made a mistake on that vote. If I had to do it over again I’d certainly vote different knowing and understanding the information that you’ve presented today.

“I appreciate what you did here. I appreciate you bringing it to our attention, the impact of the Districts. On the other hand, the good side, the positive side, I agree with Commissioner Miller, this is a good way to do things for our community that lessens the impact for the governing bodies. I think it’s a good way to do things, it’s a good tool but I think we have to be very careful how we use it in the future and act wisely. At least wiser than I have here on this, at least on the East Bank project. Thank you, Ma. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Commissioner Gwin.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Just one more thought occurred to me. Mr. Buchanan, maybe you can help us with this. You know, there are some people in this community who think that Sedgwick County doesn’t really care much about the City of Wichita and that we don’t consider it a priority. That we don’t do very much to support it. Could you make this information available? You probably know the person I’m speaking of. Could you make this information available to that person or others? It’s another example, for those who don’t understand, of the many things that this governing body does to benefit the people who live within the City of Wichita. So, if you’d pass this information along to others, I would appreciate that.”

Mr. William Buchanan said, “Be happy to.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Other questions or comments? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”
MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to receive and file the report.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Thank you, Renfeng. Good presentation. Next item please.”

E. DIVISION OF HUMAN SERVICES.

1. AGREEMENT WITH FORCE 1 SECURITY CORPORATION FOR PROFESSIONAL SECURITY SERVICES FOR COMPREHENSIVE COMMUNITY CARE'S (COMCARE) CRISIS SERVICES, JUVENILE INTAKE AND ASSESSMENT CENTER AND ADDICTION TREATMENT SERVICES.

Mr. John DuVall, Director of Operations, COMCARE, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Debbie is in Topeka today and asked me to do the presentations today. This agreement with Force 1 Security is a second year renewal of the existing contract. Force 1 provides security services at a variety of locations throughout COMCARE and we’ve been extremely pleased with their services and would like to renew for an additional year. I would request approval of this contract.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, John. Discussion on this item?”
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MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

2. AGREEMENT WITH PREFERRED MEDICAL ASSOCIATES MIDWEST PSYCHIATRIC CENTER TO PROVIDE CONSULTATION SERVICES TO COMCARE STAFF REGARDING CLIENTS DUALLY DIAGNOSED WITH MENTAL ILLNESS AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY.

Mr. DuVall said, “This agreement with PMA, or Preferred Medical Associates, as indicated provides the services of an M.D./psychiatrist actually, to consult with COMCARE staff on issues of mental illness and developmental disability. What we found was what was coming to our door were more individuals that were not only developmentally disabled but also had an additional condition of mental illness. So this individual will work with staff, make recommendations on behavior intervention, those kinds of issues. We’ve also formed what we call a case coordination committee, which sits and discusses these kind of special cases for us. We anticipate using this individual about three hours a month. I would recommend your approval of this agreement.”
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MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

3. AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT WITH FAMILY CONSULTATION SERVICE FOR IN-HOME FAMILY THERAPY.

Mr. DuVall said, “This particular amendment is more of a housekeeping item. We have just changed the term of the original contract back to February 1, 1998 through 1-31-99 which matches the federal funding source, which funds this particular contract. Proportionally, we’ve also adjusted the compensation for it. I would recommend your approval of this amendment.”

MOTION

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the Amendment and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

4. **GRANT APPLICATION TO KANSAS REHABILITATION SERVICES FOR FUNDING OF COMCARE’S SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SERVICES.**

Mr. DuVall said, “This particular Grant Application is a renewal of last year’s grant which provided supported employment services for individuals with severe and persistent mental illness. The function and goal of the grant is to assist adults with serious mental illness to achieve a competitive employment in Sedgwick County. There’s a variety of services that are provided by the grant funds. Hopefully we’ll be awarded again this year. Now the Grant is $43,500. I would recommend your approval of this Grant.”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Grant Application and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye
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Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

5. REVISION OF THE COMCARE FEE SCHEDULE.

Mr. DuVall said, “COMCARE uses a sliding fee schedule based on family size and income. We recently, actually starting this spring, began reviewing this particular fee schedule and decided to make some rather significant changes which we wanted to bring to your attention. Primarily our thrust was to provide some additional equity among payers. The changes that we have made reflect a larger discount for individuals with lower income and we’ve shrunk the discount for individuals with higher income. In addition, we haven’t really raised our fees for about the last ten years so there is a 15% full rate increase. As you’re aware, most of our consumers do not pay full rate. What this fee schedule change will do will allow us to continue to offer quality services which are accessible to all citizens of Sedgwick County. Again, as I’m sure you’re aware, no one in Sedgwick County is denied services based on their ability to pay. So, I would recommend your approval of these revisions.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, John. Discussion on this item? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the revised fee schedule.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, John. Next item please.”
F. DEPARTMENT ON AGING.

1. AGREEMENT WITH ALZHEIMER'S ASSOCIATION SUNFLOWER CHAPTER TO PERFORM UNIFORM ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENTS USING SEDGWICK COUNTY'S CONTRACTED CASE MANAGERS.

Ms. Marsha Gasper, Unit Director for Programs, Department on Aging, greeted the Commissioners and said, “I’m here on behalf of Doug Russell, who is presenting in Harvey County this morning. We are extremely pleased to have both of these items on the Agenda for your review this morning.

“The first action before you is actually a model agreement between Sedgwick County Department on Aging and the Alzheimer’s Association. What this contract will do will allow a partnership between Sedgwick County and the Alzheimer’s Association to allow their agency to contract and access our targeted case managers in performing the uniform assessment. What this will do will be to allow individuals who can pay a private pay to have the UAI (Uniform Assessment Instruments) done, it brings them into our system and allows them the access to statewide aging services. We ask that you approve that today.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Discussion on this item? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye
Regular Meeting, October 14, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

2. KANSAS COUNCIL ON DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES TRANSPORTATION GRANT AWARD.

Ms. Gasper said, “This grant was originally submitted to the Kansas Council on Developmental Disabilities to request $40,000 to implement a pilot providing evening transportation to target populations which will include persons with physical disabilities, developmental disabilities, head injury and elderly. It’s actually to provide services where there is no other services available and it will be provided in the evening Monday through Saturday and on Sunday mornings. We originally submitted it for $40,000. The KCDD (Kansas Council on Developmental Disabilities) was very impressed with the model. They recommended that we take the proposal back, resubmit it and request additional funding, which I so humbly did and we were granted $100,000. So, we’re very excited at what this is going to do for the community. We ask that you approve that this morning.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Discussion on this item? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Statement of Grant Award and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Marsha. Good job. Next item please.”
G. GRANT APPLICATION TO MARCH OF DIMES BIRTH DEFECTS FOUNDATION FOR FUNDING TO PROVIDE SIDS NETWORK OF KANSAS EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES.

Mr. Steve Gilbert, Forensic Administrator, Regional Forensic Science Center, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Currently, the SIDS Network of Kansas is housed at the Forensic Science Center and we are applying for a grant to the March of Dimes for additional funding for operations. Peggy Giesen, the field supervisor for the Health Department is here and she would like to fill you in on some of the details of that grant, and we would like you to approve the Application.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay. Thank you, Steve. Good morning, Peggy.”

Ms. Peggy Giesen, Field Supervisor, Wichita/ Sedgwick County Health Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “I am one of the members of the Executive Board for the SIDS group. We have been funded in the past for start up funds from KDHE (Kansas Department of Health and Environment) and we have had some funding from the March of Dimes. Also, to provide family packets to those who have had a loss. Our efforts are to have a statewide network. We’re one of only four states that do not have this in place.

“We’ve done some outreach work with letters to county coroners so we’re getting the word out that we do have a resource group here. We’re right in the process now of hiring a coordinator but we need additional funds to establish a resource library for people across the State, purchasing videos and other materials that would be helpful for them, grieving process references and so forth. We also want to establish a web site and then to provide some travel money for our coordinator so that she can go throughout the State to different sites and spread the word and do the training sessions. This $10,000 request, through the March of Dimes, would help us provide that for our coordinator when we get that person on board. We’re right in the process, within the next few weeks, of having that accomplished. So, that is the $10,000. I think you have the Grant Application before you.

“Just as a reminder, the Governor proclaimed October as SIDS Awareness Month and we have had some opportunities to share our brochures with different groups and to make other people aware that we do exist and can offer counseling services.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Very good. Thank you, Peggy. Any questions of Peggy? Thank you very much for the presentation. Commissioners, discussion?”
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**MOTION**

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the Application.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

**VOTE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner</th>
<th>Aye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Betsy Gwin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Paul W. Hancock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Thomas G. Winters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Melody C. Miller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairman Mark F. Schroeder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Good luck to you in this process, too. Really appreciate it. Next item please.”

**H. AMENDMENT TO THE 1998 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (CIP) FOR THE MURDOCK TAG OFFICE, CIP #PB-401.**

Mr. Kenneth W. Arnold, Director, Capital Projects Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “This item is on page 61 of your backup. Briefly, it involves a request to the County Treasurer to update finishes on the Murdock tag office building to recarpet, repaint, wall coverings and drapes to update that facility. The cost is $19,500 to be funded from the auto license funds. Our eventual plan is to do this with the other substations as well. We recommend that you approve. I’d be happy to answer any questions.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Ken. Discussion on this item?”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Amendment to the CIP.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
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VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Ken. Next item please.”

I. BUREAU OF PUBLIC WORKS.

1. MODIFICATION OF PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION, REQUEST NUMBER ONE AND FINAL, WITH WILDCAT CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. ON SEDGWICK COUNTY PROJECT - ROCKY CREEK PARKWAY; PAVING, BRIDGE AND LAKES. DISTRICT #1.

Mr. Jim Weber, P.E., Director, Sewer Operations and Maintenance, greeted the Commissioners and said, “In Item I-1 we are requesting your approval of modification of plans and construction, request number one and final to our contract with Wildcat Construction on the Rocky Creek Parkway project within the Rocky Creek Addition. The modification will increase our contract by $32,995.00. The increase is due to variations in plan quantities from actual field measurements. All costs of the project are to be paid by the benefited properties through special assessments and we’d request your approval of the recommended action.”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Modification of Plans and Construction and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Jim. Next item please.”

2. MODIFICATION OF PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION, REQUEST NUMBER ONE AND FINAL, WITH SHEARS CONSTRUCTION L.P., DBA ASPHALT CONSTRUCTION CO., ON SEDGWICK COUNTY PROJECT NO. 801-C, D, E, F; 151ST STREET WEST BETWEEN 77TH AND 109TH STREETS NORTH. CIP #R-221. DISTRICT #3.

Mr. David Spears, P.E., Director, Bureau of Public Works, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Item I-2 is a modification of plans and construction for 151st Street West between 77th and 109th Streets North. This project is designated as R-221 in the Capital Improvement Program. This Project has been constructed and is ready to be finalled out. There will be a net decrease of $51,342.77 due to variations in plan quantities from actual field measurements. I recommend that you approve the Modification and authorize the Chairman to sign.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, David. Discussion on this item? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to approve the Modification of Plans and Construction and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
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VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

3. AUTHORITY TO AWARD CONTRACT ON K.D.O.T. PROJECT 87 C-3094-01, SEDGWICK COUNTY PROJECT NO. 639-30-690; BRIDGE ON 83RD STREET SOUTH OVER THE ARKANSAS RIVER. CIP #B-219. DISTRICTS #2 AND #5.

Mr. Spears, said “I’m very pleased to finally be presenting this item. We’ve been working on this project for several years. It is the Authority to Award Contract commitment of County funds form 1309 for the Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT). This is for the bridge project located near 83rd Street South over the Arkansas River designated as B-219 in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The low bidder was BRB Contractors out of Topeka at $4,321,211.74. I might also mention that we had bids ranging from 4,300,000 to 5,700,000. Sedgwick County’s share will be 20% of construction plus a small percentage for Construction Engineering, which is inspection, totaling $912,013.14. I recommend that you approve the form 1309 and authorize the Chairman to sign.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Discussion on this item? Commissioner Hancock.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Dave, I certainly will vote to award this contract but my concern was, was the bid board particularly concerned about the range of bids? It seem like, with a project of that nature, it just seems like there’s a large difference between the winner and the losers.”
Mr. Spears said, “Commissioner Hancock, we are receiving 80% of federal funds for this and this project was let in Topeka and not let here with the County. We pay the 20%. All the bids that were reported, five bidders, all were qualified and none were rejected. They were all appropriate bids. We had BRB Contractors, Jensen Contractors, who I’m not familiar with, King Contractors, Wildcat Contractors, who we are familiar with and also Utility. So the highest, the three bidders, were actually the ones we usually work with. I have heard of BRB Contractors and like I say, they are qualified and the State is recommending the low bid.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Any other questions or comments? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Authority to Award Contract and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, David.”

Mr. Spears said, “I might mention one more thing. I just thought of this. The engineer’s estimate was around $5,000,000 so we had bids from 4,300,000 to 5,700,000. We were right in the middle of that.”
Commissioner Hancock said, “For those citizens who are watching and not aware 83rd Street is the Derby bridge going across the Arkansas River on the west side of Derby. An old, old bridge and we’re . . . at least I’m very pleased to get that project going.”

Mr. Spears said, “The key question will be, of most people will be will we be able to get across the river during construction. The answer is yes, because we’re going to have a new alignment so the citizens will be able to use the old bridge during construction.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Congratulations. I know Commissioner Schroeder and I share that area there and we are both very pleased to see that bridge go away and a new one come in.”

Mr. Spears said, “And we satisfied all the environmentalist’s concerns on this project.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “It only took a year and a half. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Very good. Thank you. Next item please.”

J. REPORT OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS’ OCTOBER 8, 1998 REGULAR MEETING.

Mr. Darren Muci, Director, Purchasing Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “You have Minutes from the October 8th meeting of the Board of Bids and Contracts. There are five items for consideration.

(1) WORKROOM CONSTRUCTION/ DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICES-CAPITAL PROJECTS 
FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS

“Item one, workroom construction for the District Attorney’s Office by Capital Projects. It was recommended to accept the low responsible bid of Caro Construction. That amount was $47,676.00.

(2) TOILET TISSUE- DIVISION OF OPERATIONS 
FUNDING: DIVISION OF OPERATIONS

“Item two, toilet tissue for the Division of Operations. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Unisource. That amount, $13,160.00.
(3) FOUR WHEEL DRIVE UTILITY VEHICLE- FLEET MANAGEMENT
FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT

“Item three, four wheel drive utility vehicle for Fleet Management and the Bureau of Public Works. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Mel Hambelton Ford. That amount with trade and manuals is $16,658.00.

(4) DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE CARPETING- CAPITAL PROJECTS
FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS

“Item four, carpeting for the District Attorney’s Office by Capital Projects. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Star Lumber & Supply. That amount is $32,600.00.

(5) CARPETING OF SHERIFF’S OFFICE- CAPITAL PROJECTS
FUNDING: SHERIFF

“Item five, carpeting for the Sheriff’s Offices also by Capital Projects. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Star Lumber & Supply. That amount is $9,200.00.

ITEMS NOT REQUIRING BOCC ACTION

(6) PERSONAL COMPUTER HARDWARE & SOFTWARE- FLEET
MANAGEMENT
FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT

“There is one item that was tabled for review. It does not require approval at this time. Personal computer hardware and software for Fleet Management.

“I will be happy to take questions and recommend approval of the Minutes provided by the Board of Bids and Contracts.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Darren, thank you. Question on this item? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”
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MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the recommendation of the Board of Bids and Contracts.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder Aye

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Darren. Next item please.”

CONSENT AGENDA

K. CONSENT AGENDA.

1. Right-of-Way Easements.

The following tract of land has been granted by Easement for Right-of-Way at no cost to the County. The Director, Bureau of Public Works, requested this Easement as a condition of receiving a Platting Exemption on an unplatted tract.

Road Number 628-15, Owner: J & J Sprinkler Service, Inc., Joe C. Douty, President, located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 16, Township 27 South, Range 2 West, more specifically located on the south side of 39th Street South (MacArthur Road) and east of 183rd Street West. Illinois Township. District #3.
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2. Floodway Reserve Easements.

The following tracts of land have been granted by Floodway Reserve Easement at no cost to the County. The Director, Bureau of Public Works, requested these Easements as a condition of receiving a Platting Exemption on an unplatted tract.

a. Owner: J & J Sprinkler Service, Inc., Joe C. Douty, President, located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 16, Township 27 South, Range 2 West, more specifically located south of 39th Street South (MacArthur Road) and east of 183rd Street West. Illinois Township. District #3.

b. Owners: Rick L. Kleinow and Rebecca E. Kleinow, located in the South Half of Section 23, Township 29 South, Range 1 West, more specifically located north of 103rd Street South and east of 55th Street West (Hoover Road). Ohio Township. District #2.

3. Plat.

Approved by the Bureau of Public Works. The County Treasurer has certified that taxes for the year 1997 and prior years are paid for the following plat:

S. Moore Addition

4. Contracts (two) with Consumer Directed Services, Inc. and Tomorrow's Dreams, Inc. to provide Developmental Disability Community Service Provider status.


7. Budget Adjustment Requests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Type of Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>980486</td>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980487</td>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td>Transfer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page No. 33
Mr. William Buchanan, County Manager, greeted the Commissioners and said, “You have the Consent Agenda before you and I would recommend you approve it.”

**MOTION**

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Consent Agenda.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.
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VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock  Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters  Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller  Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder  Aye

The Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners recess to the Sewer District meeting at 10:01 a.m. and returned at 10:47 a.m.

Chairman Pro Tem Hancock said, “I’ll call back to order the Regular Meeting of the Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners, October 14, 1998. Any other business to come before the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved that the Board of County Commissioners recess into Executive Session at 12:00 Noon for one hour to consider consultation with Legal Counsel on matters privileged in the Attorney Client relationship relating to legal advice and personnel matters of none elected personnel, and that the Board of County Commissioners return from Executive Session no sooner than 1:00 a.m.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye
Commissioner Paul W. Hancock  Aye
Commissioner Thomas G. Winters  Aye
Commissioner Melody C. Miller  Aye
Chairman Mark F. Schroeder  Absent

Chairman Pro Tem Hancock said, “Thank you. We are in Executive Session.”
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The Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners recessed into Executive Session at 12:00 a.m. and returned at 1:43 p.m.

L. OTHER

M. ADJOURNMENT
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There being no other business to come before the Board, the Meeting was adjourned at 1:45 p.m.
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