

MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

REGULAR MEETING

November 18, 1998

The Regular Meeting of the Board of County Commissioners of Sedgwick County, Kansas, was called to order at 9:00 A.M., Wednesday, November 18, 1998 in the County Commission Meeting Room in the Courthouse in Wichita, Kansas, by Chairman Mark F. Schroeder; with the following present: Chairman Pro Tem Paul W. Hancock; Commissioner Betsy Gwin; Commissioner Thomas G. Winters; Commissioner Melody C. Miller; Mr. William P. Buchanan, County Manager; Mr. Rich Euson, County Counselor; Mr. Daryl Gardner, County Controller; Mr. Bill Edwards, Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department; Mr. Doug Russell, Director, Division of Human Resources; Ms. Louanna Honeycutt Burress, Administrative Officer, Department of Housing and Economic Development; Ms. Susan Erlenwein, Director, Environmental Resources; Mr. Mark Masterson, Director, Department of Corrections; Ms. Jan Kennedy, County Treasurer; Mr. Louis J. Hentzen, District Court Administrator, 18th Judicial District; Ms. Deborah Donaldson, Director, Division of Human Services; Ms. Anita Nance, Interim Director, Department on Aging; Ms. Irene Hart, Director, Division of Community Development; Mr. Doug Russell, Director, Division of Human Resources; Ms. Stephanie Knebel, Project Manager, Capital Projects Department; Mr. Kenneth W. Arnold, Director, Capital Projects Department; Mr. John Nath, Director, Kansas Coliseum; Mr. David C. Spears, Director, Bureau of Public Works; Mr. Darren Muci, Director, Purchasing Department; Mr. Fred Ervin, Director, Public Relations; and Ms. Lisa Davis, Deputy County Clerk.

GUESTS

Mr. David Shupe, Farm City Committee Chairman.
Mr. Louis Wetta, 2620 N. 263rd St. W., Andale, KS.
Mr. Earl Wetta, 2620 N. 263rd St. W., Andale, KS.
Mr. Fuad Albahri, member, Islamic Society of Wichita.
Dr. Quint C. Thurman, Director, Midwest Criminal Justice Institute.
Dr. Delores Craig-Moreland, Hugo Wall School, Wichita State University.
Mr. Keith Williamson, Facilitator, Wichita State University.
Ms. Mary Beth Hughes-Palm, President, Regional Prevention Center.

INVOCATION

The Invocation was given by Mr. Bob Bruner of the Christian Businessmen's Committee.

FLAG SALUTE

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

ROLL CALL

The Clerk reported, after calling roll, that all Commissioners were present.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES: Regular Meeting, October 21, 1998
Regular Meeting, October 28, 1998

The Clerk reported that all Commissioners were present at the Regular Meeting of October 21 and October 28, 1998.

Chairman Schroeder said, "Commissioners, you received the Minutes of the meeting, what's the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to adopt the Minutes of October 21st and 28th, 1998.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Next item."

CERTIFICATION AS TO THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

Mr. Daryl Gardner, County Controller, greeted the Commissioners and said, "I certify that there are funds available for those items that we have identified on today's Agenda requiring the expenditure of funds. A listing of these items has been provided to you previously."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, "I see no questions. Thank you, Daryl. Next item please."

PROCLAMATION

A. PROCLAMATION DECLARING NOVEMBER 20-26, 1998 AS "NATIONAL FARM CITY WEEK."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Commissioners, I have that Proclamation which I will read into the record."

PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, the prosperity and well-being of this community and area is dependent upon cooperation between two great elements of our society, farmers and urban people; and

WHEREAS, Kiwanis International Farm City Week provides an unparalleled opportunity for farm and city residents to become reacquainted; and

WHEREAS, Louis and Earl Wetta both of Andale, Kansas are being honored as the Kiwanis Club of Wichita (Downtown) outstanding farm family in Sedgwick County for 1998;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that I, Mark Schroeder, Chairman of the Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners, do hereby proclaim November 20-26, 1998 as

"NATIONAL FARM CITY WEEK"

in Sedgwick County, and encourage all citizens to participate in this worthwhile project to assure a successful Farm City Week in the County.

MOTION

Chairman Schroeder moved to adopt the Proclamation and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Absent |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Today we have Louis Wetta and Earl Wetta with us to accept the proclamation. Welcome."

Mr. David Shupe, Farm/ City Committee Chairman, Kiwanis Club, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Thank you, Commissioners. My name is David Shupe. I'm with the Downtown Kiwanis Club. It's our pleasure to be able to sponsor the Farm Family. We've been doing this since 1952. This year our recipients are Louis and Earl Wetta. I'll let them talk briefly about their farming operation. They live out in the Andale area. They have a ninety cow/calf operation. They have some finishing hog and also have seventy-two thousand layer chickens. So, as you can see these gentlemen are very diverse. In addition to that, they also have a product which you may see in Dillons or some salad bars in some restaurants. They produce hard boiled eggs and they package them at the Dillons deli. It's kind of unique that these guys are some what, as I'd say, from A to Z, they do a lot of things. On that note I'll introduce Louis and Earl."

Mr. Louis Wetta, 2620 N. 263rd St. W., Andale, KS., greeted the Commissioners and said, "Thank you, David. I'm Louis and this is my brother Earl. Appreciate the County Commission presenting us with this honor and realizing the importance of the farm in the city, in this county and the interdependence that we have with each other. David briefly explained the operation. We sold some seventeen dollar hogs yesterday so it is good to be integrated. We'd like to thank David and the Kiwanis Club for recognizing us and spending the time to recognize us for this award. I'd also like to thank our wives, who are not here today, Ruth and Jan, and our children. We truly have a family farm. We have a lot of work to do out there and they all participate. We're proud to be family farmers."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Fantastic. Commissioner Winters, do you have a comment?"

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Commissioner Winters said, "I just have a quick comment. Again, I want to appreciate the Kiwanis Club as they continue to make these kind of recognitions of folks in the community. So often we continue to think of ourselves as one of the largest urban counties in Kansas, which we certainly are, but it's always good to be reminded that agri-business plays such a crucial role in our county. Sedgwick County really ranks second or third in wheat production in the state. Very high in dairy production in the state. I think we're one of the top irrigated soybean producers in the state. So it's good for us to be reminded what an important part agriculture plays in, really, what is the most urban county in Kansas. Thanks to the Kiwanis Club and thanks for agri-business folks like you all who do business in Sedgwick County. We appreciate it very much."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Earl, do you have any comments you'd like to make?"

Mr. Earl Wetta, 2620 N. 263rd St. W, Andale, KS, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Just echo what Louie said. I thank everybody for this honor and recognizing the importance of farms to the economy. Agriculture, as Tom said, is the number one industry in the State and it would be nice if . . . I guess one thing I'd like to comment on is, when you go to the grocery store and you may think you've spent a lot of your dollars on food but back in the early part of this century consumers spent about thirty percent of their income on food. Today it's barely over ten percent and a large part of that is due to the efficiency of family farms. So, you need to recognize that, the input that the agriculture community has had to the improvement of all of our lifestyles."

Chairman Schroeder said, "You're absolutely right. As Tom says, the agri community has a great impact, especially on this community. I have a friend, and I don't know how you folks do it, from time to time because of the kind of business that you're in. It's very tough. I have a very close friend who weathered the hail damage of June or July of this year and then the rain we had a couple of weeks ago. He told me the other day, 'I don't know why I stay in it, but I do.' It can be difficult but it's folks like you that maintain those lifestyles that you mentioned and allow us to live as we do and there's no shortage of food in this country and a lot of that has to do with the hard work that you folks put out and we really appreciate the effort you make. Thank you very much and congratulations on your award. Thank you. Appreciate you being here. Okay, next item please."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

RETIREMENT

B. PRESENTATION OF RETIREMENT CLOCK TO BILL EDWARDS, DEPUTY SHERIFF, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

Mr. Doug Russell, Director, Division of Human Resources, greeted the Commissioners and said, "I'm swimming one step up stream from Aging, so retirements are something I'm used to but I saw Bill Edwards and said 'You're my first one in this job and I hope it's not something I said' and he said 'It is'. Bill has been with the Sheriff's Department for twenty three years. He joined the Sheriff's Department in July 18 of '75. As I understand his brief history, and I don't understand it at all, obviously, he has twelve kids, thirty six grandkids and seven great-grandkids. I think, what I'm reading into this is he has plenty to do in retirement. He and his wife plan to visit those folks locally and otherwise to stay busy. I found out these clocks are pretty complicated deals. This is just a recognition, Bill, of twenty three years of excellent service to the Sheriff's Department and to Sedgwick County."

Chairman Schroeder said, "On behalf of the Board of County Commissioners we want to present you with your crystal clock and I think it's a great representation of the time that you spent with Sedgwick County and serving the citizens of Sedgwick County. We want to congratulate you and thank you for that service. Thank you, Bill. Good luck in your retirement."

Deputy Sheriff Bill Edwards, Sheriff's Department, said "As you all know, I like to talk. I like to visit."

Chairman Schroeder said, "This says five minute speaker limit, Bill."

Mr. Edwards said, "I'm leaving, but I don't want to but there comes a time in your life when you've have to move on. Let the younger guy do it. As you know, I'm not a young guy. If there's anybody in the building or anybody in the room that wants to replace me, go across the street and apply. I'll recommend you. Over the years that I've been here I've made friends. I've made a lot of friends. I've made enemies but the friends out weigh the enemies, I'd say, a hundred to one. I really don't know what to say except I'll miss you, all of you. I've known everybody on the Commission for a number of years, even before they took office. If I stay up here any longer I'll start crying. I guess I'll get out of here and let you get on with business."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Bill. Before we move on to the next item, there is an individual that we need to introduce today and that's Marci Hesse. Marci, will you please come up. Marci is our new Intergovernmental Coordinator. I'm not sure if that name is going to be the name for the future but Marci is taking the place of our past Intergovernmental Coordinator, Willy Martin who resigned about three or four months ago. Marci was located through a job interview. We interviewed a lot of candidates and Marci came out on top. She was the cream of the crop and we are very happy to have you here, Marci. As your first duty you are involved in the KAC (Kansas Association of Counties) conference which was a real education, I'm sure, for you. We are very happy to have you here today and if you'd like to say a few words to our viewing public we'd like to have you do that."

Ms. Marci Hesse, Director, Intergovernmental Relations, said, "I'm very excited to be here. I'm looking forward to the opportunity of serving the Board and the staff of the County as well as the citizens."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Congratulations."

Commissioner Hancock said, "I'm not sure, Madam Clerk, but I think we have to take an Off Agenda Item at this time."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Have you announced the next item? Before we do that, maybe this would be the right time to take an Off Agenda Item."

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to take up an Off Agenda Proclamation.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, "This item has to do with the waiver of policy to hire an Intergovernmental Relations Director, range 25, step 7. Mr. Counselor, do you have anything to add to that."

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to waive the policy to hire an Intergovernmental Relations Director at range 25, step 7.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Okay, back to our regular Agenda. Next item please."

CITIZEN INQUIRY

C. REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REGARDING THE MOVIE, "THE SIEGE," AND HOW IT IMPACTS THE MUSLIM COMMUNITY IN SEDGWICK COUNTY.

Mr. Fuad Albahri, member, Islamic Society of Wichita, greeted the Commissioners and said, "I'm pleased to be here this morning to address the issue of the movie The Siege. Actually, I'm here not to talk about The Siege itself, but to address the impact of this movie and others in the past years on our community as Muslims and Arab-Americans. The movie itself is, as other movies, targets the Muslim and Arab-American community in the sense that it kind of alienates our community from the fabric of the American culture. This is a very negative image that puts into our culture. It undermines the great faith and the culture that we come from.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“As we all know, the Muslim-Arab community here goes back to years and years, especially the Lebanese-Americans that came to Wichita over a hundred years ago. We’re here today, actually, to seek understand and cooperation from the Commissioners and from the County through positive education, sensitization. We ask that our elected officials extend hands to the Muslim-Arab community here in Wichita and encourage people to learn about the Arab and Muslim culture, as part of the fabric of the American culture, as the Catholic, the Irish Catholic, the Italian Catholic, the African-American and so on, and the Jewish-American.

“We appreciate the time you gave us and we hope that in the future we’ll have more sessions, informal sessions, to present our view and give you what Islam is all about and educate as much as we can. I’ve included in the pamphlet that I’ve distributed to the Commissioners, there’s point by point discussion on this issue. I’d appreciate that if you go through it if you have some time and if you have any questions please contact us. My name is Fuad Albahri from the Islamic Society of Wichita. Thank you.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you for being here. We appreciate you taking the time to come down to address this. Thanks so much. Okay, thank you. Next item please.”

NEW BUSINESS

D. RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AND PROVIDING FOR CONTINUATION OF A SINGLE FAMILY MORTGAGE LOAN PROGRAM.

Ms. Louanna Honeycutt Burress, Administrative Officer, Department of Housing and Economic Development, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Many, in fact most families and individuals that purchase a home through the Sedgwick/Shawnee County’s Mortgage Revenue Bond Program do not stay in their homes the full thirty years that it would take to pay off the mortgage. For one reason or another, they choose to move, pre-pay their loan, and go on to purchase another residence or rent or whatever they decide to do. When enough of these pre-payments accumulate we frequently have the opportunity to refund an issue, and in essence we can recycle the allocation that went into that issue and put it towards a new mortgage revenue bond program. As you’re aware, this happens from time to time and there’s just a small window of opportunity to accomplish what it takes to do the refunding.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“For the past several years the George K. Baum Company has monitored these old issues and the pre-payments and they make us aware when we have an opportunity to do a refunding so that we can recycle these funds. A couple of years ago Gilmore and Bell, our Bond Counsel, opined that you could take action once within a twelve month period to authorize these refundings. Therefore, we don’t have to come running to you each time we have an opportunity. You can take action and then for twelve months the action that you take will allow the refundings to occur. That’s why I’m here this morning.

“We do have an opportunity to refund a mortgage revenue bond issue. It must happen by December 1. It’s been twelve months since you have authorized this type of action and I’m here this morning requesting that you approve a resolution that will allow us to do these refundings, I believe it’s in an amount not to exceed approximately \$38,000,000. If you have questions I will address those. Bond Counsel is here, as is Chuck Bouilly with George K. Baum & Company.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Okay, thank you, Louanna. I see no questions at this time but I will ask if there’s anybody here today that would like to speak to this item, Item number D under New Business? Seeing no one, I’ll limit discussion to bench and staff. Commissioners, any comments or questions at this point?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to adopt the Resolution.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Louanna. Thanks, Chuck. Thanks, Joe. Next item please.”

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

E. PRESENTATION OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN DEVELOPED BY THE COMMUNITY PLANNING TEAM FOR JUVENILE JUSTICE REFORM IN SEDGWICK COUNTY.

Dr. Delores Craig-Moreland, Hugo Wall School, Wichita State University, greeted the Commissioners and said, "I'm part of the Hugo Wall's School team that has supported the Sedgwick County Juvenile Justice Community Planning Team process. I'm here to give you an overview of that plan. You have received the document. It's rather a large document. This morning I'll be sharing the plan and the implementation and I have Dr. Quint Thurman along, who will be available to talk a little about program evaluation but we want to begin this morning by talking a bit about the process that has been involved in the development of this plan.

"It really represents a kind of a first and a very healthy kind of thing, I think, because we've had an opportunity to look at all the youth in our community and this plan is specifically geared to deal with the juvenile offenders but it's been a wonderful group to work with. Two of the Commissioners, Commissioner Winters and Commissioner Miller, have been an active part of that process. I hope they've enjoyed it. Now, I'll turn it over to Keith Williamson to talk to you a bit about that process."

Mr. Keith Williamson, facilitator, Community Planning Team, greeted the Commissioners and said, "It's been my privilege to serve as facilitator of the Community Planning Team. I just want to briefly overview for you the planning process as you see here on the screen.

"The selection of members for the Community Planning Team was done, basically, in January of this year. There were twenty-two members of the Community Planning Team, many of whom were designated by State Statute as to who would serve but there were also other members chosen, including from the Regional Prevention Center and Community Corrections Advisory Board and some others. The list of those members is on page nine of your Comprehensive Strategic Plan.

"The team went through several training sessions, one of which was "Training on Risk Analysis". All the training was done under through auspices of the Juvenile Justice Authority in Topeka. That risk analysis training occurred in May of this year. It's described briefly on page fourteen of the plan.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“The team then went about, through it’s committees, identifying risk priorities, risk to youth in the community. That is described in section six, parts A and B of the plan starting especially on page forty-three. Those risk priorities were defined in terms of the “Community That Cares” model on four domains: Community, Family, Individual/Peer, and School. Through the process of gathering data and then analyzing it, the team prioritized three risk factors to focus on. They are described in that section that I’ve mentioned. They are first, family management, secondly, early and persistent anti-social behavior, and third, lack of commitment to school.

“Following the prioritizing of risk, the team then looked at inventory of resources available in the community. It is true that Sedgwick County has many resource programs available to serve youth, parents, ect., but Sedgwick County also has a high level of need for providing such services. That resource inventory is on Section six, part C, starting with page one hundred thirty and following.

“Finally, the impact of the matrix is discussed on two sixty-three and following. The major impact that we see in the short term is that we will be serving approximately thirty-three more juvenile offenders at the local level than we currently are, at a cost, locally, of at least 1.3 million over and above what we are currently funding. So, that’s a brief overview of the planning process.”

Dr. Craig-Moreland said, “I neglected to mention when I started that you have a one page handout that kind of summarizes what we’re going to be going over with you. I’d like to turn now to the highlights of the plan itself. I would like to introduce the plan by reiterating something that’s mentioned at least at one point in the plan. That is, that this is a kind of a visionary, I think that’s a good word for it, opportunity for us. It’s a plan that we hope to see implemented but the real work of the actual implementation, we believe, belongs to the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board. I’ll speak to that more later. So please keep that in mind as we go over the plan. This is what we think deserves attention and needs to be fielded but we would hope that the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board would have the chance to convene the community, to speak with members of the community, and go through a . . . essentially a grant process, to come up with a final plan to recommend to you.

“In this plan that the Community Planning Team is offering you there is three sections. There’s a Prevention section, an Intervention section and a Graduated Sanctions section. The prevention section, the philosophy and approach of the Community Planning Team was to find some distinctive opportunities for children that are at risk or in the early stages of delinquency. So, the programs that are offered deal with family, school and individual risk factors, those domains. The five programs that we have detailed in the plan, these are all in section seven of the plan, there’s a truancy collaborative effort that’s currently being funded here in the community and this really just involves continuation of that effort.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“There’s an advocacy program to try and help divert as many young people from detention as possible because the literature certainly shows that the deeper you get in the juvenile justice system the less well you will fare. So, we’re looking here for opportunities to divert people from that juvenile justice system.

“The resource center there, the basic idea is to give people who are having difficulty with their children, parents who are having problems, give them a resource so that they can actually get to the services that they need. The Parent Center is specifically geared to assist parents of young children who are exhibiting antisocial behavior. It’s sad but true that you can often see in very young children evidence of future misbehavior. We think if this community has a center that is specifically geared to identify problems and make parent training available to parents with these children, that that will divert many of these children from further bad action.

“Finally, the sober parenting is a recognition that clean and sober parents generally are going to lead to a better outcome for children. So, these programs are all designed to reduce the number of children coming into the juvenile justice system.

“The next aspect of the plan is intervention. This area deals with intake and assessment with detention and with diversion. Basically, this part of the plan looks at taking the intake and assessment process, which now is mostly focused on needs, and putting it into a two step process, first looking at risk and if there is low risk with someone who comes into the intake and assessment center, then if it’s appropriate, referring them on to diversion or something like that, rather, again, to avoid any unnecessary involvement with the Juvenile Justice System.

“With detention the main issue that the Community Planning Team found was the whole question of capacity but we recognize that we don’t really know what capacity is needed at this point because we frequently have people in detention because we have a lack of capacity in other programs. We think the first step with detention is to make sure that we have adequate capacity throughout the system, move kids out of detention as quickly as possible, and once we have all those things operating as best we can, then identify the capacity and act accordingly to insure that we have adequate capacity here locally for our young people who need detention.

“Finally, with the diversion, in the data that we reviewed we found that Sedgwick County is a little lower than the rest of the State in the amount of diversion that is used. We recognize that some of this has to do with just the available staffing it takes to carry on diversion programs. We want to be sure that diversion is available for all who qualify and the only way to do that is to increase the staff that is there.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“The last aspect of the plan’s programs has to do with graduated sanctions. As I’ve mentioned before, and I’ll probably say again, capacity is one of our principle issues. We have here five programs. They have a pretty spiffy pricetag. I’ll just say that right up front. We think we need these programs because they represent a way to deal with the kinds of challenges that the kids represent, to deal with them quickly and effectively so that we have the appropriate program to meet the needs of the community as well as the youthful offender.

“These programs include a sanctions house. The basic idea there is to have something immediate and short term that you can do with people who violate either conditional release or probation. If they get the message that there will be a consequence, we think that that would help to keep them on the so called ‘straight and narrow’ here.

“With MST, that’s Multi-Systemic Therapy, this is a model of how you actually deal with the whole family to help them address the problems of the family as well as the child. This kind of program has been demonstrated to be very effective with youthful offenders.

“Therapeutic Foster Care; when we need to take a child who’s an offender out of the home, we need someone who’s able to give them a home-style living situation but knows how to deal with the problems that come with this type of child.

“Judge Riddel’s Boys Ranch, expansion, again we have a need for some out of home placement and these programs are going to be particularly important as the matrix kicks in. The actual impact of the matrix is a ‘to be determined’. I wish I could tell you we knew exactly the impact of it and I’ll speak more to that as we talk more about implementation but the estimates that we were able to come up with indicate that the matrix will give us an average of thirty-three additional young people each day, in this community, that would have otherwise been in a youth center. That’s sort of a ‘good new/bad news’. The good news is that if we do a good job here in the community that may be the end of their criminal career but we have to have adequate capacity to deal with these thirty-three additional children or they’re going to overload our system. So, that’s an important consideration.

“Finally, we need more level five beds. This is putting kids in a situation where they get appropriate treatment and are actually going to have the opportunity to deal with some of their substantial problems.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“As we went through the planning process, some key issues emerged. One of the important items that’s a must have in the plan is recognition of the key issues in our community. These are not necessarily and fortunately aren’t all dollar and cents kinds of issues. We felt that a key issue is that fact that a young person may find themselves being served by multiple agencies. The Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board, if it’s properly composed, is a opportunity to lend coordination or articulation or meshing of some sort among these agencies so that they learn to work together and to keep each other’s services in mind and the way that they serve these young people so that we can avoid duplications or unnecessary difficulties.

“The second main issue is the lack of coordination in funding sources. There are . . . as we looked at the resource inventory, we found that there were many different programs funded by many different sources with different goals. That’s fine if, if, if we can find a way to make sure that everyone, again, keeps each other in mind and the way that we do this. And we thought that the staffing for the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board could assist with this. If the staffing includes someone who can deal with the JJA (Juvenile Justice Authority) grant process but can also look to other funding sources, then that allows for the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board and ultimately for you all, because they will serve as your advisors in this, we believe that this provides for an opportunity for you all to become, and the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board, to become that which unifies the funding stream so that the ultimate application of the money is all in a coordinated fashion to do the best job of serving young people.

“The finally key issue is one I’ve mentioned before, the whole question of capacity. This isn’t just detention capacity, this is programmatic capacity in the community to deal with these young people. This of course means that we have to find a way, through those varied funding sources and so on, to actually be able to deliver services to every kid who finds himself in conflict with society in some fashion.

“This moves us on to the question of the implementation. In the Juvenile Justice Agency’s, JJA’s planning packet, they gave some direction about this Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board. They indicated in that material that we needed to have an adequate number, they didn’t specify an exact number, but the community planning team looked at our options and decided that what we want to recommend in our plan is thirteen appointed members.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“Now, the appointment sources for those members are varied. You have three direct appointments that are from the County Commissioners and there’s one additional appointment that you make of an educational professional. In addition to that, the rest of the thirteen appointed members include a representative for the Sheriff, a representative for the Wichita Police Department Chief, a representative of the Juvenile Court Judges and that person is to be appointed by the administrative judge, a representative of Juvenile Court that would typically be a court service officer who’s appointed by the senior juvenile judge, there are three City Council appointees and the representative of Mental Health, the executive director of the Community Mental Health Center has an appointment to make as well.

“ So that fills out our thirteen and we’re recommending that number because we believe that if it’s any larger then it begins to be difficult to get people to actually act directly on the materials that need to be under the view of this board. We think that a smaller number is more desirable because then people will be engaged in the activities of this board and follow through on it but we recognize that the tasks of this board are going to be rather large. It’s going to be a big job. Just as you have a big job in dealing with this youth issue, they have a big job in helping you and in providing some recommendations to you. So we came up with a subcommittee structure.

“There’s three subcommittees that are recommended: a prevention committee, an intervention committee and graduated sanctions committee. We think that the ideal numbers for each of these three subcommittees would include seven members with a chair who is on the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board. The other six members of each of these subcommittees would be gained or identified through a process of the juvenile corrections advisory board to give the broadest possible representation from the community so that all aspects of the community can be heard in each of these areas. Then, each year as we go ahead in responding to JJA’s request for proposals, these three subcommittees would work with the community to insure that the plans components, in their given area of interest, represent the community’s desires as well as meets the needs of the youthful offenders.

“The final point down there is that the board is going to need to be staffed. This first year of this board is going to be a rather busy time. They’re going to need to get. . . the appointments are going need to be made as early as possible, in December. They’re going to need to come to understand this plan very well and become it’s advocates with the legislators through the 1999 legislative period. The method of appointment that we have identified in community planning team is that the planning team is working on nominations. You will receive a list of those nominations and you can select from that list or identify other persons but we believe that the members of this Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board, the members of this need to be identified very quickly.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“We have . . . Mark Masterson is with us today from the Department of Corrections and he prepared an application form and he is taking applications and the community planning team will meet one more time to confirm a slate of individuals who are interested in this opportunity and then those names will be passed to you.

“Finally, the functions of the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board. First and foremost is the question of advising the Commissioners on response to JJA and if they are asked by you, they would be prepared, we believe, because of the composition of this board, to address other matters related to youth. We think this is going to be a board that will have that capability to tap into community members who are interested in youth, either in preventive ways, intervention or sanctions and this will give you an opportunity for advise on any area that you choose.

“In addition to direct advise, the advisory board would monitor juvenile offender initiatives. The initiatives I’m speaking in particular here are the things that are funded through JJA grants and otherwise and Dr. Thurman is here, and he’ll speak as soon as I just finish going through these little points. He’ll speak to you for just a moment about that evaluative process. There needs to be an annual plan. This is sort of a grant development process that the advisory board would convene. Monitoring of funds for the plan that includes JJA and other sorts of things. This is really being an advocate for our youth in this community.

“Finally, convening the community on Juvenile Justice. We think a very important role that can be played here by the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board is the convening of the entire community so that we hear from all aspects of the community on this issue. I’m going to ask Dr. Thurman just to give you a couple of words about evaluation of the programs that are funded here and then we’ll be ready for questions.”

Dr. Quint C. Thurman, Director, Midwest Criminal Justice Institute, greeted the Commissioners and said, “About all I have is a couple of words and the couple of words that I have is that evaluation is important and we need some. We’d like to know, after we do some of these plans, after we actually put them into implementation, what ones work best and what ones we might want to replicate down the road.

“So, the Hugo Wall School, faculty and staff, will be available, not only to help with resource issues and help prepare grants and write up reports and plans but also be there to lend it’s expertise in evaluation. We’ve done a lot of data collection and found that there are certain kinds of data at our disposal and certain other kinds that we would like to have. As much as we don’t have some data that we need, such as the Community That Cares survey that’s currently being collected, we’d like to develop that more so we’ll have a better handle on what works in Sedgwick County. Thank you.”

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Doctor. I believe we have a question or comment. Commissioner Winters."

Commissioner Winters said, "Well, I would like to just start out with just a couple of comments. As Commissioners review this plan, and you can see that it is a little bit lengthy, but part of the volume of that pertains to the data that was collected over the course of our planning process to see what the environment is like in the community now. So, a couple of really, I think, good things about this plan are one, it is based on solid ground. It is based on a solid foundation of research that the research and data committee spent a long time gathering information about the current situations in Sedgwick County. That's going to be very important about the plan is that the plan attempts to work with proven workable, measurable kinds of solutions and if they're not measurable or if they haven't worked some place they're not going to find much good reception in this plan.

"So, with just those preliminary comments, if other Commissioners have questions, probably again one of the questions will be concerning funding and how does all of the funding mechanism work. Delores mentioned that a big part of this will depend on final funding on the positions and acts that the State Legislature takes this coming January and as they work through the process. So we really won't know the outcome.

"There's really not a dollar amount to hang on this plan at this time and we really won't know which ones of these programs we really want to try to initiate until after we have had the final discussion by the State Legislature and as they see how much money they're going to put into various aspects but again, one of the most important parts of this plan is, I think, and I think Commissioner Miller would agree with me and she'll probably have some comments, is the prevention piece of this plan.

"For the first time State Legislature has legislation now that addresses prevention and the importance of prevention. We know that we've tried to get ahead of this cycle by building more detention facilities, whether it's adults or juveniles, and that's just not working. We could continue to build these facilities and I don't know . . . we're certainly going to accomplish something. We're going to separate individuals that need to be separated from society. We're going to do that but that number continues to grow so we really need to take a hard look at part of the prevention aspects and work that in, in a real partnership with the State of Kansas and local community, which includes the schools, cities, counties, everyone on the local level. So, I'll kind of stop there. If others have questions or comments I'd be glad to address them or I'm sure the WSU staff would also."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Okay, thank you. Commissioner Gwin."

Commissioner Gwin said, "Thank you. I do have a couple of questions. How are you, Delores?"

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Dr. Craig-Moreland said, "Just fine, thank you."

Commissioner Gwin said, "One of the pieces, it seems to me, is information, data, from the school districts and learning about the students and that's certainly the place to get it. Are we getting cooperation or is cooperation improving with USD (Unified School District) 259 and other school districts to help us and help you all in this process?"

Dr. Craig-Moreland said, "The first part of the answer to that is that the USD 259 was a very active member of this community planning team. Certainly, we've been aware of a number of issues in the school district providing information but we have seen, I think, significant movement. Probably the best example of that is the "Communities that Care" survey and the approach that they are taking with that. Maybe you'd like to hear from Mary Beth Hughes-Palm about how that's going at this point."

Commissioner Gwin said, "I would be interested in knowing how we're doing there. Mary Beth, could you come to the podium, please."

Ms. Mary Beth Hughes-Palm, President, Regional Prevention Center, greeted the Commissioners and said, "We do have some really good news and that is that the parental permission slips have been mailed out to twenty-four hundred families in Wichita and a reminder postcard has been mailed out and we're in the process. We're in the process and schools have a window of between December 1, I believe, and December 18 to administer the survey so everything's in place now for that to happen and it's moving along very, very well."

Commissioner Gwin said, "That's encouraging then. Thank you. Delores, the other thing that you address and Commissioner Winters talked about is funding and cost of these kinds of things. Without knowing what that total's going to be and I don't know if we know how much of it's going to come from the State and how much of it's going to have to come from local taxpayers but it would certainly seem to me that any thinking person would understand that money spent in these types of programs would certainly help us, rather than spending money continuing to build jails and other methods of incarceration."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“If this plan, if this group works as all of us hope it works and if the programs are successful, as we hope they are, then I think we won’t even be considering the cost of implementing programs, because we’ll understand that it will, in fact, prevent us from any number of other costs, in lost lives and those kinds of things that communities just can’t afford anymore and in years past it seems to me that anytime you talk about prevention or up-front programs you’d be scoffed at. People would think, oh, they’re a bunch of do-gooders or whatever.

“I think, looking at a juvenile justice system, even an adult justice system in today’s world makes you acknowledge the fact that incarceration doesn’t solve our problems. It doesn’t make us better but dealing with children and their families early on is worth all the money that we can spend to assure their productivity and their important participation, positive participation, in our communities. I’m not so . . . obviously, I’m concerned about the cost but there are untold hundreds of millions of dollars in cost if we don’t succeed, if we don’t change the way we’ve been conducting business in this arena. I’ll be interested in seeing how it all shakes out but I, for one, am certainly much more interested in putting efforts in the plan highlights that you all have given us today than continuing to do the bad habits of the past.”

Dr. Craig-Moreland said, “One thing that I think should give you cause for lots of encouragement with this plan is that the prevention programs that we’re talking about here are, as Commissioner Winters mentioned, ones that have proven ability to actually move indicators. As a person who does research in juvenile delinquency, I can tell you there’s a lot known about risk factors. The agonizing thing is to get us to act on those things that we know and that’s an opportunity that we have here.

“Another reassuring piece with these programs, not only do they have proven effect, but they are clearly directed to that segment of our society that’s standing right outside the door of the juvenile justice system. This is not, maybe to the frustration of some members of the committee, this is not going down to the absolute primary level of prevention. It’s looking at prevention for those who, as I say, who stand right outside the door of the justice system. With the intervention and the graduated sanctions program there’s another really good piece of news in this.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“Certainly, there are big dollars attached to doing a good job of dealing with community security and that’s mostly the detention sort of thing but virtually everything else that’s in there is putting money where it makes a difference to put the money. In a lot of cases you have the unhappy task of spending money and it just seems like it’s going into a well. As a taxpayer, I can relate to that feeling sometimes but in this case, the money that we’re talking about spending is being spent where it can make a difference. If you take the triage approach and you spend the money on those situations where it can make a difference, that’s really what we’re talking about here. So, this is money that you will see a real return on and that makes it different from many of the other things that you have to deal with.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “That’s right. You’re right and that is the piece of encouraging news. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Commissioner Miller.”

Commissioner Miller said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The first thing that I’d like to begin with is by giving some accolades that I think are duly necessary at this time and then I’d like to be able to move on and take us down a trip called memory lane and attempt to bring us to where we are today from this county, and I’m talking about Sedgwick County, the 18th Judicial District perspective.

“First of all, to the convener, Commissioner Tom Winters, it takes tenacity and it also takes a stick-to-itiveness that not everyone has to be able to corral and keep a group of very independent minded individuals on a task and to also find the appropriate facilitator, which Mr. Keith Williamson has been in this whole process. To be able to keep us on task and not allow us to deviate from what our end-all goal was and that was to produce a plan. So, my hat is off to you, Keith, as you look down. I didn’t think you were this modest. You’re not in the meetings, not at all, and to you Commissioner Winters because it was a heavy task and a task well done. Then to the actual committee members, there are but a handful of us that are in the room today but to you my hat and my heart goes out because it was so much energy, Mary Beth, Francis, Jeanette, I haven’t forgotten you Quint, Mark, Delores, and if I’m missing someone, Debbie, you’ll have to forgive me but to those that are here in the room you know what you did and you know the energies that were put into this document and we did it and to many others that are not here, present, today and the Commissioners will, we’ll look at who actually sat on these committees, who actually chaired the committees and did this work, job well done is all I have to say. That should, basically, take care of it. Job well done.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“Now, to take that trip down memory lane, and you’ll wonder why I’m going through all of this, it’s because this is a great day for Sedgwick County. When we can actually stand up and tout a reform program that we feel will make us do business differently, as Commissioner Winters stated. We’re not going to do things as we’ve done them before. We’re going to do them differently. In looking at this document, it brings me back to a day that I stood here, sitting on the bench, and was listening to how it is that we were going to bond thirty-seven million dollars to build an extension or an expansion on a detention facility. At that time, in my mind, I said ‘my goodness’, we are willing to put on the table thirty-seven million dollars in taxpayer dollars to build a back end facility that warehouses and houses individuals that we should be directing our attention and our dollars and energies and resources at the front end, which we call prevention, in keep and stemming the tide of these individuals from going into the system at all. I voted against that. I know it didn’t stop it. Obviously, there was support and a need to build it and expand it but at that time I continued to set a tone of prevention and it was with support and I remember during that conversation Commissioner Winters also chimed in that he was supportive of prevention but also knew that we needed and it was necessary to continue to build jails.

“From that time I continued to look at how it is we can put prevention on the front burner and not on the back and it was a thought that crossed my mind that kind of nailed in and honed in that maybe we need to have an actual day set aside where individuals in this community come together and plan and once again, with the support of this Commission, which I thank, we did that and from that came this document, which is the Sedgwick County Community Planning day and in that document is a wealth of information regarding how we, as a community, can pull together our resources and hone in on our deficit and or need areas and look at how we can do financing and funding of funding streams differently and walk away with a system of care that really does build in a continuum and a fluidity of services for our system.

“We were ahead of the curve, is what I’m attempting to paint a picture here for Sedgwick County. We, as a county, looked ahead, visionary, and said ‘we need to do this now’ not necessarily under the mandate of a state statute. Under the mandate of the state statute came the Community Planning Team, which I was a part of, Commissioner Winters convened. In that planning committee we did everything that was asked of us and it is at this time that we are in the process of going to either affirm it or not as our plan for the 18th Judicial District.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“ The reason why I went through that walk down memory lane was just to give us a feel for how progressive we are and ahead of the curve we are as a county and to put in a little kind of a dib, that we need to continue to do that. In this plan Doctor Delores Craig has stated clearly that yes, prevention is going to be considered and dealt with but it’s not primary prevention but yet there is a piece in this plan that talks about a referral service, which Dr. Craig mentioned, that we do consider as primary prevention, that I believe that we’ve got a consensus on this board to look at how it is that we can make that a focal point for us as a county.

“So, I’ll wind down. I’ve given the accolades and they were duly meant and I will listen to the rest of the comments but I just felt that it was appropriate that we take the time to actually set a tone and paint a picture of what Sedgwick County has done up to this point, pat ourselves on the back and we’ve done a darn good job and to not forget that the job is not done. We’ve got work ahead of us and we’re visionary enough to know how to go about the task. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Dr. Craig, I do have a question. On my way in to work this morning I was listening to NPR (National Public Radio) and there was discussion about the issue, nationwide about juvenile justice, juvenile reform, juvenile problems and one thing that I heard, that got my attention was that the speaker, I don’t recall who it was, said that two thirds, in their estimate, of these kids that are in trouble, in custody have some kind of, or some sort of mental health problem as related to, I don’t know, family issues, drugs, whatever. How do you guys approach that issue in this process? Is that something that you’ve discovered through this process that you’ve been working on here in the last year or so? How do we deal with that end.”

Dr. Craig-Moreland said, “It’s certainly an important consideration in dealing with youthful offenders, particularly in dealing with them in the community. As I mentioned earlier, these programs that we’re suggesting be fielded here in Sedgwick County have a strong treatment component to them. They recognize that many of these young people are in trouble because they have multi-dimensional problems and significant amounts of those problems will lie in the domain of mental health. If you recall, in the graduated sanction offerings that were given to you, if you really look at the heart and soul of those programs with MST, with therapeutic foster care, with level five beds, with JRBR (Judge Riddel’s Boys Ranch), all of those facilities are recognizing that these kids aren’t simply deficient in discipline. That can certainly be an issue and that gets addressed by behavior modification in many of these programs but the programs that we’re offering have a strong component of treatment to address those kinds of issues. The fact that these programs probably more heavily emphasize that than anything else becomes evident as you review the content of the actual programs.”

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, "Is that something that's discovered by a teacher or a social worker? Is there somebody that is a key person to that process to make that discovery that this child has a problem, we need to go further than the discipline process or the family process?"

Dr. Craig-Moreland said, "If you look at the prevention programs that are offered a good deal of the effort in those prevention programs is around assessment of children at a much earlier age than we would typically think of you doing assessments for delinquents. What we're really doing is creating a community wide awareness that early problems aren't just kids sowing little minor wild oats or whatever. That those are previews of coming attractions. We believe that the prevention programs that are offered here put in place a net that will catch those kinds of problems at a much earlier point. We are trying really hard. In the truancy project we're looking at working with elementary teachers and giving them resources to which they can make referrals for assessment to get a much better picture of what's going on. As you can imagine, if we deal with these children, it difficult to think about it, but if you're dealing with them with these problems when they're five, six, seven years old the opportunities that you have are significantly different than they are when you deal with them as fifteen, sixteen, seventeen year olds who are out engaged in crime."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Very good. Well, I appreciate all the work that you have put into this program and what has been done. I would never have thought we would be at this point in my life or in this society that we would have to do something like this. I obviously grew up in a different time, as most of us did and I am really, really excited about the prospects of this and the results of this and I think it's about time and I appreciate Commissioner Miller and Commissioner Winters putting the time into it and Dr. Craig, yourself, and Dr. Williamson and Dr. Thurman and our staff, Mark and his folks. Obviously, this is something that needs to be done and needs to be addressed from many points of view and needs to be funded properly and I think that's the key to the success of this. Tom or Melody?"

Commissioner Winters said, "I have nothing else."

MOTION

Commissioner Winters moved to approve the Plan and authorize its submission to the Commissioner of Juvenile Justice.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Commissioner Winters.”

Commissioner Winters said, “I’d like to take this moment to, again, extend some of my thank yous. I didn’t want to do it till I made sure we got the thing done. There’s always the chance that we may be back here next week rehashing but I think, because it was well presented, Delores, I think you’ve answered a lot of questions and we’ve tried to keep Commissioners informed along the way but a number of thank yous I’d like to take here for a moment.

“This has been almost a years worth of work and when Commissioner Albert Murray of the Juvenile Justice appointed me the convener back in November of last year we kind of started at ground level. I did call together an executive committee to help walk through the very beginnings and that foundation was made up of District Attorney Nola Foulston, Debbie Donaldson from COMCARE (Comprehensive Community Care), Ken Hales, at that time our Department of Corrections Director, and then followed on by Mark Masterson and Judge James Burgess, our Juvenile Court judge and Bill Buchanan, County Manager. From there we extended that reach and in thinking about who was gonna help us walk through that process I am glad that we selected WSU to be involved in that process. I think they really gave a firm foundation of professionalism and credibility to working through the process.

“The first meeting with Paul Cromwell, Dr. Paul Cromwell, and Keith Williamson, Paul is the head of Hugo Walls Center, and had his full commitment from the very beginning and that has followed through. I can’t say enough thank yous to Keith Williamson, as facilitator, record keeper, archivist, keeping us on line kind of person. We have a good foundation there, so thank you, Dr. Williamson. Quint has been very active as has Delores, so the WSU connection, that’s one of the things I think we can have confidence in this plan. It’s not something Commissioner Miller and I dreamed up. It is a plan that really has some good foundation.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“Then, to the committee chair people, I certainly want to say thank you to those folks and that was Commissioner Miller, with the Prevention Committee, Mary Beth Palm-Hughes, and the Data Research and Collection which was a monumental task without all of the survey information that we needed. We will have that in the future, but that group did a great job and the Intervention Committee it was Janice Fitch and Karen Langston from the DA’s office. The Graduated Sanctions Committee was led by Larry Thomas in our Juvenile Corrections Office. Then the Performance Evaluations and Outcome Committee was Quint Thurman again. The Media Community Mobilization was chaired by Jim Burgess and Beverly Gutierrez. The funding and Operations by Dr. Delores Craig from WSU and then to all the other members who served on the Committee, if you take the subcommittees in, we had a large group of people, probably over fifty people all together, when you count the subcommittees, so it was a long process. We got this part of it done but I just wanted to take a moment to thank all of those people that have been involved up to this part, especially WSU and the Committee members. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Before we go on to the next item, let’s take a five minute break.”

The Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners recessed at 10:15 a.m. and returned at 10:35 a.m.

Chairman Schroeder said, “Let the record show, we did have a party. It’s Melody’s birthday. We’re all full now. Now it’s time to get on with business. Next item please, Madam Clerk.”

F. PRESENTATION OF THE REGULATIONS FOR THE WASTE TRANSFER STATION.

Ms. Susan Erlenwein, Director, Environmental Resources, greeted the Commissioners and said, “In the past the Commission voted to utilize one or more transfer stations for the disposal of municipal solid waste and that these stations would be privately owned and operated. The staff was then directed to develop regulations for these stations. The purpose of the regulations is to protect the health and safety of the citizens, to reduce the impact on the neighbors around the transfer station and to also ensure that the small independent haulers were not forced out of business.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

SLIDE PRESENTATION

“In developing these regulations, staff looked at the current state regulations on transfer stations. I also talked to staff from the department of Kansas Department of Health and Environment and they informed me that they purposely kept the state regulations on a general level as to allow the local governments to develop regulations that best fit our community. I also received regulations on transfer stations from other states and this information was then given to our solid waste management committee that met several times working on the regulations and developed a draft which Jennifer Magana from Legal Department and I have reviewed with the Commission. We received input from you, as well as the Metropolitan Area Planning Department on what would work best in our community. Before you is a draft of those regulations and I’d like to review some of the highlights of those now.

“Site design deals with the overall acres that the transfer station will sit on and deals with the roads that go to the transfer station. The state regulations had general requirements on the roads, such as the road base needs to be thick enough to hold the weight of the trucks, that the width of the roads need to be appropriate for the trucks to pass one another and that they be all-weathered roads. The committee felt that that wasn’t good enough. That we needed to have paved access and on-site roads for the transfer station, because of the large wear and tear factor of the trucks going across these roads. If it were simply a gravel road that would incur a great cost to whichever government entity is having to take care of that road. We also looked at on-site queuing on the roads so that the vehicles would be queued on-site instead of backing up onto the public roads. So the design needs to have enough road system on-site to prohibit the queuing of vehicles off onto the public road system.

“We also looked at minimum acreage. The minimum acreage for the transfer station we’re proposing is ten acres for a facility that is designed to received less than five hundred tons of trash per day and fifteen acres for a facility designed to received greater than five hundred tons of trash per day. The size of this acreage is to accommodate the queuing of the vehicles, the storage of the transfer station trailers, the other operations that may take place on site such as recycling, storage of white goods and the actual transfer station building. That acreage also is there for the buffer zones.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“Buffer zones that we’ve look at is a hundred feet between the transfer station and any public roads or other property boundaries and five hundred feet between the actual transfer station where garbage is deposited and the nearest residence or school that’s in existence at the time the license is applied for. The facility, if it has less than this buffer zone, a minimum acreage, if they can show on a site plan that it will work for them they do have the option of coming before the Board of County Commissioners for an exemption on these requirements.

“We also are looking at landscaping requirements. The state did not have any landscaping requirements. What the committee looked at is the fact that to have less impact on the neighbors around the transfer facility, it’d be nice to have some kind of requirements. The purpose of landscaping is to improve the appearance of the site, it is to minimize any noise because the plantings would help act as a buffer to noise and it’s also to contain any blowing litter. We do not anticipate a great deal of blowing litter since the trash is deposited inside a building, however as you see at the landfill today, many of the vehicles going on site to the landfill are not properly covered and some of the material blows off as they’re going to the facility. So we want to contain as much of that as possible.

“The landscaping would include required screening. The screening is reasonably screened from adjacent roads and properties to a height of eight feet above the ground level. This screening can be a combination of walls and fences and burms and we would like to have plantings to get rid of the monotonous appearance. The landscaping shall be such that it will not interfere with the traffic that will be going in and out of the transfer facility.

“We also have required ground cover. Ground cover, the fact that we’re encouraging transfer station owners to use indigenous vegetation, such as your native grasses, they don’t have to go out and spend a lot of money on turf grasses. We are requiring a minimum of eighty percent of the area not covered by buildings or parking to be covered by vegetation or groundcover. Of course, we don’t want this to die, so we’re requiring maintenance, that they have to keep this vegetation maintained. We also have another requirement on the fact that the facility needs to be reasonably kept clean of litter, as well as a half mile radius around the facility and that’s for the incoming vehicles, in case some of the litter blows off, that that material is picked up. That requirement is at the landfill today and I think it’s even a larger radius than half a mile at the landfill.

“Another thing the regulations looked at was facility design and operation. It is specifically that it needs to be an enclosed building. The state regulations require that the transfer station be enclosed or covered. Now, covered may work in some parts of the United States but it does not work well in our area where we have large volume winds. We do not want just a covered transfer station. We want it to be an enclosed building.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“We also have bans on waste accepted at the transfer station. Now, as you may recall, the solid waste plan for Sedgwick County has bans of certain materials, such as specific types of yard waste, grass clippings and leaves. This material can be better recycled at a compost facility and there are a couple of private businesses in town right now that will accept grass and leaves at a cheaper price than taking to the landfill.

“Another ban is construction and demolition debris. This material we would like to encourage to be recycled but it can also be taken to a, what's called a C and D (construction and demolition) landfill and the C and D landfill is less expensive than a subtitled D landfill because it does not need the liners, the gas collection system or the water system that a subtitled D landfill requires. So, this will help our local businesses that do construction and demolition debris.

“We are also looking at one common gate for the facility. One common gate allows all customers to have a first come, first serve basis. This would not allow a separate gate for the owner or operator of a transfer facility to give preference to their own waste-hauling vehicles to come in. This is something that the independent haulers said that they would like to see at a transfer facility. One common gate does not mean that the owner/operator cannot have a second gate for the transfer trailers nor for other vehicles connected with the transfer station, such as front-end loaders or pickup trucks.

“The hours of operation is along the same line as the one common gate, to ensure the protection of the private independent hauler. We want the same operation hours for all vehicles coming into the landfill. The owner could not simply shut the gate to other customers and only allow his own trucks in after hours. Each facility needs to maintain the same hours of operation for all customers.

“Another thing in the regulations is signs. The transfer station, by the state regulations, must have signs at the entrance to the transfer station that gives instructions on who owns is, who operates it, who to contact in case of a emergency, what wastes are excepted and what are not excepted. In our local regulations we are also adding instructions for using the facility, how much it costs to go in and the method of payment. At the landfill today, I've been out there, and some people, like you or I, if we take our trash do not realize that they only accept cash and people are turned away. So, we need to make it clear as to the method of payment.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“Educational activities is in the regulations and educational activities was something that the committee felt strongly about and it’s in our solid waste plan, that there must be a room set aside that can hold a minimum of forty people for educational purposes. The room does not have to be exclusively used for education. This could be a multi-purpose room that’s used for their own training of employees or break room as well as education. Many school groups go out to the landfill today and to water treatment plants. It was felt that it’s important for students to realize what’s going on with the trash and have educational opportunities.

“Scales going into the facility; regulations were such that we need to have the scales certified semi-annually and the state regulations require it just once a year. We’re also looking at transfer stations receiving greater than two hundred tons of trash per day having a minimum of two scales at the entrance. If the facility receives less than two hundred tons per day they can have one scale at the entrance but must have a back up scale capacity in case the scale breaks down because the state does require the weight measurement of what trash is going into the facility.

“Unloading of waste is a safety issue. The operator shall maintain an exclusive area for the unloading of waste manually, such as you or I going in with a pickup truck or a trailer and we are unloading our waste, versus the area for the trash haulers coming in with packer trucks or roll-offs. This is a safety issue, so that the citizens are not in contact with the professionals. I’ve been in transfer stations where they’re side by side and it is a dangerous situation. The professional trash hauler must get in and out as quickly as possible. The average citizen may only back up a trailer once a year and so it’s going to take longer.

“Operating plans and provision; there are provisions for how to handle and store unwanted waste that are coming in, such as banned waste or hazardous waste. We want to know what the transfer station plans to do when this waste comes into the facility. So, we’d like to have it designated in the operating plan how they’re going to handle that material. The state requires an attendant to be at the facility all the times that it is open. The committee wanted to see a trained attendant, that has been trained to recognize the banned waste or hazardous waste, so they’ll know what not to allow through the transfer facility.

“We also looked at rates. We do not want to dictate what the transfer station charges to its customers but we do want all customers to be charged equally, within the same fee category. So, if the price is thirty-three dollars a ton, all customers are charged thirty-three dollars a ton. We also will allow the transfer station to have an extra fee in case they do have to handle special waste, such as hazardous waste. We are allowing them to add an extra fee to that.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“In our regulations of parking areas, it’s that all parking areas are maintained and that there has to be enough parking areas for employees, the transfer station vehicles and trucks, as well as visitors to the station.

“The next one, no pits; pit is a term used with transfer stations and it is a depressed area in the floor that could be ten or twelve feet deep where the customer backs up to the pit and they simply dump the trash directly into the pit. I’ve been to many transfer stations that have pits and at each one I’ve ask the question ‘has anyone fallen in’ and every time they’ve said yes, some cases once a week, and people are injured. If you have someone with a pickup truck with a tail gate over the pit and they’re trying to pull a load out, it’s pretty easy for them to fall in. So, what we are recommending is that trash be deposited on the floor at the same level as the vehicle and not into a pit, for the safety of our citizens.

“We have another requirement on nuisance noise. It’s simply that the nuisance noise should be kept at a minimum and this is to protect the neighbors around the transfer station.

“That’s some of the basics for the regulations, in addition to what the state already has. When the committee looked at the state regulations they felt many things the state had was adequate. We did not need to add local regulations but the ones I’ve reviewed is what they felt we needed to be stricter than the state requirements.

“We’re also adding licensing requirements and that’s anyone who wants to have a transfer station has to have the proper permits from the state and local entities and that these permits have to be kept on site. We’re also looking at a license fee for the transfer station. This is a annual fee to help recoup the investment of county staff time and doing the paperwork and going out and inspecting the transfer stations throughout the year. The suggested fee is \$37,500 annually. Some communities, instead of doing the licensing fee, have a host fee where they add a price per ton that goes to the transfer station. If it was twenty-five cents per tons, at a thousand tons a day, that would be about \$90,000. If it was fifteen hundred tons a day, which is the current volume it would be closer to \$130,000, depending on how many days a week the transfer station is open. We’re looking at actually recouping our cost instead of making a profit on the transfer station. So, that’s why the recommendation of \$37,500.

“And final disposal destination; the trash will be taken to a Subtitle D landfill and application for the transfer station will also include a description of the geology and topography of the landfill as well as information on the operating record from the landfill.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“So, this is the basics of the regulations. We are planning a public hearing one week from today and we would like to hear from anyone who would like to talk about the transfer station regulations. To receive a copy of those regulations they could call my department, Environmental Resources, at 721-9418 and we can send them a copy or if they have Internet access they could get a copy off the Internet today at our website, WWW.Sedgwick.ks.us/Environment. That should be on the website today. So, you can get a full copy of the proposed regulations along with what the state regulations already are in place for transfer stations. Thank you, and we’d be happy to answer any questions. Jennifer is here from Legal or I would be happy to answer any questions you have on the transfer stations.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Susan, we do have questions up here. I do have a quick question. On the health and safety issue, I didn’t get a chance to talk to you after that initial discussion about inspecting the sites at will. What was the discussion on that, and what kind of conclusion did you come to?”

Ms. Erlenwein said, “On inspecting the sites, that my department would be in charge of going out to the sites. We’ve received training and certification from a national organization on transfer stations, how they should operate, what to look for. So my department would go out and check those.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “I assume that would include the County Health Department would be able to do the same?”

Ms. Erlenwein said, “The County Health Department would be able to go to the transfer stations and check for any violations for our county health codes. Code Enforcement would be able to go out and check for code violations but we’d be looking for specific transfer station regulations.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Very good. Thank you. Commissioner Hancock.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Susan, did the committee think about any smaller acreages for smaller tonnage?”

Ms. Erlenwein said, “They had the tonnage requirement based on how . . . the acreage requirement on how many tons the transfer station receives per day and that’s why they came up with two categories, ten acres for less than five hundred tons or fifteen acres for greater than five hundred tons and the exclusion in case someone has smaller acreage, they could come before the Board to get an exemption to that.”

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Commissioner Hancock said, "I was thinking something like for less than two hundred or less maybe five acres, that would, just by its very nature, exclude a whole bunch of traffic for one thing. One thing I had in mind, and I don't know that this would even be economically feasible but as you well know, I'm not going to say I'd almost pay somebody to bring one down to the south end of town but we've always had the disadvantages of hauling anything that's domestic, our sofas and everything that we want to get rid of, way up northwest and it's been a real problem for my community down there and a lot of it ends up along our public rights-of-way. I'd like to help solve that problem. I just have something in mind where there was a mini transfer station that less than two hundred, maybe even not even commercial, but just a place where individuals could bring their spring cleaning and it would go into a transfer area and then maybe even hauled to the transfer station or even hauled away, eventually to the final destinations. The committee, I know, knows more about it than I do. Did they discuss that possibility of anything like that?"

Ms. Erlenwein said, "They did not discuss a mini transfer station that would then take it to the larger. I have had four different companies approach me about building transfer stations in the County and at least two of those are looking at the south part of the County so maybe the situation would be taken care of by those."

Commissioner Hancock said, "Okay."

Ms. Erlenwein said, "If not, we may have to look at that in the future and see that as a possibility."

Commissioner Hancock said, "Thank you, Susan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Other questions. Susan, thank you for your presentation today. I see our recommended action is to receive and file and I'd entertain a motion to do so."

Ms. Erlenwein said, "And also to have a public hearing next Wednesday."

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to Receive and File the report and designate a public hearing concerning these regulations for Wednesday, November 25th, at the Regular Sedgwick County Commission Meeting at 9:00 a.m.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Susan."

Commissioner Gwin said, "Susan, you might, before you leave, make sure that Jama and Cecilia, that our secretaries have both, I know they have your number but your E-mail address, in case we get any calls and Fred and others."

Ms. Erlenwein said, "I'll do that and I'll also give them a copy of what's on the Internet."

Commissioner Gwin said, "Right. Thank you."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Very good. Thank you. Next item please."

G. AGREEMENT WITH V & R TRANSPORT, L.L.C. TO PROVIDE TRANSPORTATION SERVICES TO JUVENILES IN STATE CUSTODY.

Mr. Mark Masterson, Director, Department of Corrections, greeted the Commissioners and said, "In January the County entered into an agreement with the State to assume responsibility for case management of juvenile offenders in State custody. The Juvenile Field Services Division was established to provide these services. Transportation to placements is one of the most common services. Currently, staff transport the juveniles or contract with the one available provider, which is located out of town. This agreement provides an additional resource with a local vendor, which is less costly. There is no cost impact to the County in approving this agreement, because these services are paid for with State funds. I ask that you approve the agreement with V & R Transport and I'll be happy to answer any questions."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Mark. Discussion on this item."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Absent |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Thanks, Mark. Next item please."

H. AGREEMENT WITH BERLIN-WHEELER TO PROVIDE COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT PERSONAL PROPERTY TAXES WHICH HAVE BECOME JUDGMENTS.

Ms. Jan Kennedy, County Treasurer, greeted the Commissioners and said, "The delinquent tax unit of the Legal Department focuses on delinquent personal property taxes from the time they become delinquent until they go to judgment, which is in a period of about nine months. After that, because of the volume we have and the continuous adding to new delinquencies, they don't spend a lot of effort. So, it seemed to me we had a lot of escaped tax. People that were, perhaps, even leaving the County with the personal property and we never got our tax dollars.

"So, I'm asking that we consider having a collection firm work on these delinquent taxes that have gone to judgment. It's personal property taxes only. We will pay them a fee, a contingent fee, of a third for the collection of them. If legal action is required, which will require approval by us, then their fee would be fifty percent, which is not an unusual amount.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

“Pursuant to Kansas Statutes Annotated 79-2018, we’re asking that the fee for the services be paid from what we collect. In other words, if they collect a thousand dollars they’ll pay us a thousand dollars, then we’ll pay them three hundred and thirty-three dollars. We would then distribute to the tax units the six hundred and sixty-seven. This way there’s no direct cost to the County and whatever we do collect and retain and distribute is free money to the taxing authorities. It’s money they now are not receiving. We would ask that the agreement be effective upon execution. I believe in your packets you had a copy of the agreement. We’re moving that to December 1.

“I can’t talk about the policy implications, in not knowing how the public will react to this but I feel strongly that it’s important that they not be allowed to continue to abuse their privileges as taxpayers and citizens and use our services without paying their fair share. The fact that we have delinquent taxes that are uncollected does, in fact, means that we all pay more in order to meet the budget needs of the various taxing authorities.

“Berlin-Wheeler has access to large computer bases. They do this for a living. They also collect delinquent property taxes for other counties, including Shawnee County. They have a good reputation. They’re not abusive. I would recommend that you approve this Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign it. Are there any questions?”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Jan. Any questions?”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Mr. Chairman, I don’t have any questions. I just wanted to thank Jan for coming by a couple of weeks ago and visiting with me about the issue. I had some concerns and we spent some time together and she addressed everything that I was most concerned about so I appreciate that.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Very good. Other questions or comments? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Jan. Good luck."

Ms. Kennedy said, "Thank you. Based on your vote on this item, I would like to note that Item P-1 on your Agenda will not be necessary and I will notify Darren Muci of that. Thank you."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Next item please."

I. PROPOSAL BY MANAGEMENT PARTNERS INCORPORATED TO OPTIMIZE WORK FLOW AND PREPARE AN ACTION PLAN FOR DEVELOPMENT/ACQUISITION OF A COURT CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR THE 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

Mr. Louis J. Hentzen, District Court Administrator, Eighteenth Judicial District, greeted the Commissioners and said, "This is a joint request of the District Court and the Court Trustee's office at the Court Manager's office to obtain a work flow plan to how the court does its business and how we can improve the services. As you may recall, the National Center for State Courts recommended, several years ago, a change in the way we do our automatic processing. We believe that this proposal will help all the players of the system have a better understanding of what the court does and what the court can do in the future to assist our users of the Justice System in this county. Therefore, the District Court would recommend that you approve the Proposal."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Discussion?"

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Proposal and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Louis. Next item please."

J. DIVISION OF HUMAN SERVICES.

1. CONTRACT WITH VIA CHRISTI REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, INC. TO PROVIDE A CASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR SEVERELY AND PERSISTENTLY MENTALLY ILL CONSUMERS.

Ms. Deborah Donaldson, Director, Human Services, greeted the Commissioners and said, "This particular contract allows them to provide case management services and tied to this contract are flexible funds which helps when there are unusual situations that need to be paid for and will help keep a consumer out of more intensive levels of service. I will be glad to answer any questions."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Debbie. Discussion on this item?"

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Next item."

2. AGREEMENTS (TWO) WITH LIN XU, M.D., M.S. AND ZAFAR MAHMOOD, M.D. TO PROVIDE PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES FOR COMPREHENSIVE COMMUNITY CARE (COMCARE) CONSUMERS.

Ms. Donaldson said, "Commissioners, this is just two agreements for . . . these are psychiatric residents who would be doing some evening work for us to provide medication services. I'd be glad to answer any questions."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Debbie. Discussion on this item?"

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Next item."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

3. CONTRACT WITH CITY OF WICHITA FOR COMCARE TO PROVIDE SERVICES ASSOCIATED WITH THE NEIGHBORHOOD MUNICIPAL DRUG COURT PROJECT.

Ms. Donaldson said, "Commissioners, this is a contract with the City to provide services for the Drug Court. I would recommend your approval."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Debbie."

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Contract and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

Chairman Schroeder said, "Discussion on this Motion. Commissioner Miller."

Commissioner Miller said, "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Debbie, for the public's sake, can you just give a briefing on what the Drug Court is, the Municipal Drug Court, and how our services will be able to make this more effective?"

Ms. Donaldson said, "Drug Courts are, I think, a very innovative approach to deal with drug related offenses and other kinds of offenses which are often associated with drug use. As we're aware, many folks who are in our jail and I think some of the estimates are way over eighty percent, have some kind of substance abuse problem. A drug court allows for a defendant to really focus on that substance abuse problem and there are certain things that they have to meet. They have to go to treatment, they have to stay clean and sober, they have regular UAs (urinary analysis), so in fact, you know that they are not using. That they are, in fact, doing something about their problem with the idea that this will do a lot towards eliminating future crimes and really giving them, people, a chance to deal with their problem."

Commissioner Miller said, "So innovative is the key. That was the word that you used and the City of Wichita has been doing this for how long?"

Ms. Donaldson said, "Several years, that I'm aware of."

Commissioner Miller said, "And it is something that, and maybe this is just a pursuing question, but something that Sedgwick County has looked at but we haven't done that yet?"

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Ms. Donaldson said, "That's correct."

Commissioner Miller said, "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Further discussion on this item? Oh, I guess we've already voted on that, haven't we."

Commissioner Miller said, "Not yet."

Chairman Schroeder said, "I'm sorry. Guess we do have a motion and a second. If there's no further discussion, Clerk, call the vote."

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Next item."

4. DELETION OF ONE PART-TIME OFFICE ASSISTANT POSITION FROM, AND ADDITION OF ONE FULL-TIME OFFICE SPECIALIST POSITION TO, THE COMCARE STAFFING TABLE.

Ms. Donaldson said, "Commissioners, this particular position is with our Community Support Services Program. We have found, over time, as we've had more and more demands for our services that the sheer volume in our medical records section has become pretty phenomenal and we really needed to move from a part-time position to a full-time position. I would really recommend you approval."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Debbie. Discussion on this item? If not, what's the will of the Board?"

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Deletion from and addition to the COMCARE Staffing Table.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Debbie. Next item please."

K. DIVISION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.

1. AGREEMENTS (NINE) FOR DELIVERY OF USDA COMMODITIES.

- ! CHENEY FOOD BANK**
- ! CITY OF VALLEY CENTER, KANSAS**
- ! BENTLEY UNITED METHODIST CHURCH**
- ! MOUNT HOPE SENIOR CITIZENS**
- ! CITY OF GARDEN PLAIN, KANSAS**
- ! UNITED METHODIST CHURCH OF GODDARD**
- ! COLWICH COMMUNITY SENIOR CITIZENS**
- ! ST. JOSEPH CATHOLIC CHURCH**

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

! MULVANE AREA VOLUNTEER SERVICE

Ms. Anita Nance, Interim Director, Department on Aging, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Before you, I have the Contracts for the rural group in the community to deliver USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) commodities. The Department on Aging has for the last sixteen years coordinated a volunteer program to distribute the USDA commodities to rural areas of Sedgwick County. This program is proven to be very successful and is not limited to just the elderly but to anyone who meets the income guidelines. Today, I recommend that you approve these Agreements.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Anita. Discussion on this item?”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you. Next item.”

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

2. AGREEMENT WITH KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND REHABILITATION SERVICES FOR DELIVERY OF USDA COMMODITIES.

Ms. Nance said, "This is an Agreement between the Kansas Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services and Sedgwick County Department on Aging to receive and distribute the USDA commodities to the rural areas of Sedgwick County. Our responsibility is to coordinate the volunteer pick up of these commodities each month. I recommend that you approve this Agreement."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Okay, thank you. Discussion? If not, what's the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Next item."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

3. AGREEMENTS (14) FOR LEVEL I AND II CARE ASSESSORS TO PROVIDE ASSESSMENTS FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE MENTALLY RETARDED OR MENTALLY ILL WHO ARE PLANNING TO ENTER A NURSING HOME.

- ! DORLAN BALES
- ! GAYLE CLOUD
- ! COMCARE/DEBBIE DONALDSON
- ! MARY CORRIGAN
- ! JAYLYN ELLIOTT (2)
- ! SUSAN GURLEY
- ! PHILIP OLIPHANT
- ! IRVIN PENNER (2)
- ! GERALDINE VARGO
- ! AILEEN VAUGHN (2)
- ! DELPHA VINCENT

Ms. Nance said, "The client assessment and referral evaluation program is a mandatory state program to assess individuals who are planning to enter a nursing facility. These assessments can only be performed by qualified individuals. Our responsibility at CPAAA is to perform these nursing home pre-admission assessments for anyone who is diagnosed mentally ill or mentally retarded who are wanting to enter a nursing home facility. I ask that you approve these care assessor contracts."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Discussion on this Item?"

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the Agreements and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Thank you, Anita. Next item."

4. WAIVER OF POLICY TO HIRE A HOUSING COORDINATOR AT RANGE 23, STEP 9.

Ms. Irene Hart, Director, Division of Community Development, greeted the Commissioners and said, "About a month ago I came and requested permission to roll two housing position together into one position. You gave that permission. We then advertized and we've found someone who's eminently qualified for the position. We do have to negotiate some salaries, so we ask for permission to hire this individual in at a range 23, step 9."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Irene. Discussion on this item?"

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the policy waiver.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thanks, Irene. Next item please.”

L. RECLASSIFICATIONS DIVISION OF FINANCE.

- 1. ACCOUNTANT, RANGE 20, TO SENIOR REVENUE SPECIALIST, RANGE 22**
- 2. ACCOUNTANT, RANGE 20, TO SENIOR PAYROLL SPECIALIST, RANGE 21**
- 3. ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT, RANGE 18, TO SENIOR ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT, RANGE 19**
- 4. BOOKKEEPER, RANGE 17 (TWO POSITIONS), TO ACCOUNTING TECHNICIAN, RANGE 18**

Mr. Russell said, “The items before you are, following a study by our department of five positions in the finance department, to prepare for realignment of that department, recognizing how they’re doing business now and how they will be doing business with the CFO. We’re looking at eleven positions, and these five are ready to be presented. The bottom line is Jane Morales, in our department, looked at the jobs, looked at the benchmarks, talked to the people and is recommending moving an accountant, range 20 to a senior revenue specialist, range 22, a senior payroll specialist to a range 21 from a 20, an administrative assistant, who’s had a transfer of that work to a senior administrative assistant, range 19 and then two range 17 bookkeepers to accounting technicians, range 18. The bottom line is these people are doing that job and it’s appropriate to do that and I recommend that action.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Doug. Discussion on this item? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Miller moved to approve the reclassifications.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Next item please."

M. CAPITAL PROJECTS DEPARTMENT.

- 1. CONTRACT MODIFICATION NUMBER ONE WITH BUCKLEY ROOFING CO. INC. FOR WORK RELATED TO THE JUDGE RIDDEL BOYS RANCH ADMINISTRATION BUILDING ROOF REPLACEMENT. CIP #1997 OR-9713.**

Ms. Stephanie Knebel, Project Manager, Capital Projects Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, "This Agenda Item requests your approval for contract modification number one in the amount of \$2,054.83. Concerning this project, once the roof was removed down to the deck it was evident that we needed to add some additional materials to ensure proper drainage. We worked with the contractor and came up with this price. The work has been completed and installed. Funding for this addition will come from the '97 CIP contingency. I request your approval."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Contract modification and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Steph. Next item please."

2. AMENDMENT OF THE 1998 CAPITAL BUDGET (CIP).

Ms. Knebel said, "The Kansas Coliseum is requesting to begin a master planning process to develop the entire complex based on their current mission and goals. Discussion during this master planning process will most likely include building enhancements, building expansions, campus improvements and the addition of an amphitheater. This master plan will identify a long term development of the site, as well as estimated costs for each construction phase. Our thoughts are that a local architectural and engineering firm would be hired to provide these master planning services. These services would be provided in primarily two different phases, with each phase being negotiated separately. Meaning we would identify work to be done in each phase, as well as the cost that it would take to do each of the phases of work. We've estimated phase one work to cost around \$7,800 and phase two work to be approximately \$25,000. Funding for this master plan would come from an existing CIP project. That particular project has been put on hold until this master planning process has been completed. Again, I would request your approval."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Stephanie. Commissioner Winters."

Commissioner Winters said, "Stephanie, can you tell me the time frame? When do you expect that master plan to be completed?"

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Ms. Knebel said, "My best estimate would be in approximately six to eight weeks once we get started. Once we get done here we'll go out and negotiate and further refine what's needed in phase one work. The most difficult thing to do, of course, will be to schedule between now and the end of the year."

Commissioner Winters said, "After you work through the phase one, phase two and you're with the architects, would you please let me know what their projected completion date is then, on those plans."

Ms. Knebel said, "At the end of phase two, I will be sure to do that."

Commissioner Winters said, "Thank you."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Other questions or comments?"

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the amendment of the CIP.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, Steph. Next item please."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

3. CAPITAL PROJECTS DEPARTMENT MONTHLY REPORT.

Mr. Kenneth W. Arnold, Director, Capital Projects Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Your back-up pages 122 through 131 is the monthly report. I'd like to highlight two specific projects. Page 122 is the addition to the Detention Facility. As of today, we are just over ninety percent complete on that project for completion in May of next year. Moving along very, very well. On page 124, at the bottom of the page under District Attorney, just to let you know we're in the midst of recarpeting and repainting and construction of a workroom on the second floor for the District Attorney's offices and that project is going well. I'd be happy to answer any questions. I would recommend that you receive and file."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Ken, thank you. It shows here, still, parking garage expansion. That's complete, is it not?"

Mr. Arnold said, "That is correct."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Will it continue to show up through the remainder of this year?"

Mr. Arnold said, "It will show up till the end of the year and then we'll drop those completed projects at the end of the year."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Very good. Thank you. Other questions or comments? If not, what's the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Receive and file.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thanks, Ken. Next item please."

N. KANSAS COLISEUM MONTHLY REPORT.

Mr. John Nath, Director, Kansas Coliseum, greeted the Commissioners and said, "In the month of October we had fifty-four performances out of twenty-one events and attendance in excess of 83,000 people. As you know, that was our twentieth anniversary month. Highlights range from the beginning of the month, when we had the Gaither concert. That was the first event to ever play the building twenty years ago. Eight thousand people in attendance. They've already booked again for next year. Going on into the "Wizard of Oz on Ice", very nice result from that with over 12,000 people in attendance. Then we went right into Shania Twain concerts, over 10,000 people. Shania Twain was one of the top twenty concerts in the country last week, as reported in AB. That's really relative. It depends on who else is playing at that time. If we reported it this month we'd have been a number six concert in the country.

"According to our goals, we're almost finished with our market research, with our survey. We're expecting any time now to get the results from the research center. Sixteen percent of all tickets sold to events are now sold by telephone. Our goal is to get to twenty-five percent. For example, Shania Twain was twenty-two percent, WCW (World Championship Wrestling) Wrestling was twenty percent. We're operating at fifty-two percent of our goal to exceed our budget by \$100,000. Right now, we have increased our event total for the year by twelve percent, with our goal being five.

"Upcoming, well I can't say upcoming, because we just had it, but we had a heck of an event Monday night, with WCW Wrestling. Those kids had an absolute ball. The building really looked sharp on TV. We looked very well, very good. We've been approached by the new indoor football league about opening a franchise that's starting to play here in the summer of 2000. We'll keep you apprized as our discussions with them come along. If there's any questions, I'll be happy to answer them at this time."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thanks, John. Questions or comments? If not, what's the will of the Board?"

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Receive and file.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thanks, John. It was a great month. Appreciate it. Next item please."

O. BUREAU OF PUBLIC WORKS.

- 1. CHANGE ORDER NUMBER ONE AND FINAL WITH DONDLINGER & SONS CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. ON THE NEW SEDGWICK COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING. CIP #PB-296.**

Mr. David C. Spears, P.E., Director/ County Engineer, Public Works, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Item O-1 is a modification of plans and construction for the Sedgwick County Public Works Building project at 1144 South Seneca, designated as PB-296 in the Capital Improvement Program. This project has been constructed and is ready to be "finalled out." There will be a net decrease of \$17,684.00 due to twenty-one miscellaneous changes from the original plans, as shown in your back-up material. Recommend that you approve the modification and authorize the Chairman to sign."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to Approve the Modification of Plans and Construction and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Winters seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you. Next item."

- 2. INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH CITY OF DERBY FOR IMPROVEMENTS ON SEDGWICK COUNTY PROJECT NO. 634-W 1/2 30; 63RD STREET SOUTH BETWEEN K-15 AND BUCKNER. CIP #R-208. DISTRICT #5.**

Mr. Spears said, "Item O-2 is an Interlocal Agreement between Sedgwick County and the City of Derby regarding the construction of 63rd Street South between K-15 and Buckner, designated as R-208 in the Capital Improvement Program. The City of Derby will pay \$112,694.10 to the County for the difference in cost to upgrade from an urban standard, four-lane roadway to an urban five-lane roadway plus some other items including traffic signal emergency vehicle preemption equipment. I recommend that you approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign."

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thank you, David. Discussion on this item?"

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, "Thanks, Dave. Next item please."

P. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

1. RECONSIDERATION OF ITEM 5 OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS' OCTOBER 29, 1998 MEETING: DELINQUENT PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX COLLECTION - TREASURER.

Mr. Darren Muci, Director, Purchasing Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, "For closure on this particular item, I'd like to go ahead and present it. You've accepted the proposal of Berlin-Wheeler. This item was previously presented to you for consideration by the Treasurer. I would still recommend it."

Chairman Schroeder said, "I need to find out what's going on here. I thought you said earlier we didn't have to do this, Rich?"

Mr. Richard Euson, County Counselor, greeted the Commissioners and said, "This item was approved as Item H, and I think it would be sufficient for the record to show that it was so approved and no action is necessary. We can continue to Item P-2."

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, "Okay. So at this time we'll withdraw Item P-1. Is that correct?"

Mr. Euson said, "I don't think any action is necessary."

Chairman Schroeder said, "No action is necessary. Okay. Thank you. We'll go on to Item P-2."

2. REPORT OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS' NOVEMBER 5 AND NOVEMBER 12, 1998 REGULAR MEETINGS.

Mr. Muci said, "First, November 5th Minutes. There are five items for consideration."

(1) ALUMINUM SIGN BLANKS- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING: PUBLIC WORKS

"Item one, aluminum sign blanks for the Bureau of Public Works, I'm sorry, Division of Public Works. It was recommended to accept the low total bid of Vulcan Aluminum. That amount is \$21,564.00. A complete tabulation follows.

(2) DELINEATORS- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING: PUBLIC WORKS

"Item two, delineators, also for the Division of Public Works. It was recommended to accept the low total bid of Unistrut Midwest. That amount, \$12,292.50.

(3) REFLECTIVE ROLL GOODS- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING: PUBLIC WORKS

"Item three, reflective roll goods, again for the Division of Public Works. It was recommended to accept the low total bid of 3M. That amount, \$71,575.11. A complete tabulation follows.

(4) REFLECTIVE SIGN FACES- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING: PUBLIC WORKS

"Item four, reflective sign faces, also for the Division of Public Works. It was recommended to accept the low total bid of Rocal. That amount, \$21,004.50. A complete tabulation follows.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

(5) PERSONAL COMPUTER HARDWARE & SOFTWARE- DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
FUNDING: DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

“Item five, personal computer hardware and software for the Department of Corrections. You’ll note, no items were received for this particular item.

ITEMS NOT REQUIRING BOCC ACTION

(6) BURIAL OF INDIGENTS- BUREAU OF FINANCE
FUNDING: BUREAU OF FINANCE

“There was one item that was tabled at that particular time. It was burial of deceased indigent persons. Those proposals are being reviewed. I’ll be happy to take questions on the Minutes for November 5th or if you like I could proceed with Minutes from November 12th.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Go ahead.”

Mr. Muci said, “There are four items for consideration for November 12th.

(1) BLANKETS & SHEETS- SHERIFF
FUNDING: CAPITAL PROJECTS

“Item one, blankets and sheets for the Sheriff’s Department, Capital Projects for the Detention Facility. It was recommended to accept the bid of Robinson Textile for certain items, totaling \$16,738.72 and another low bid of Bob Barker Company for additional items, \$774.38. Grand total purchases for this particular item, \$17,513.10.

(2) 3/4 TON TRUCK WITH FLATBED- FLEET MANAGEMENT
FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT

“Item two is a three quarter ton truck with flatbed for Fleet Management and Division of Public Works. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Don Hattan Chevrolet. That amount, \$19,410.00 includes trade-in.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

**(3) 6 x 4 UTILITY VEHICLE- FLEET MANAGEMENT
FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT**

“Item three, a six by four utility vehicle for Fleet Management and Division of Public Works. It was recommended to accept the low bid of Suburban Equipment. That amount, \$7,307.00.

ITEMS NOT REQUIRING BOCC ACTION

**(4) BURIAL OF INDIGENTS- REGIONAL SCIENCE CENTER
FUNDING: BUREAU OF FINANCE**

“There was one item which, again, did not require action at that particular time. It remains tabled, and it is the burial of deceased indigent persons. I expect a recommendation for next week’s consideration. I’d will be happy to take questions on Minutes provided by the Board of Bids and Contracts for November five and twelve.”

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Darren. Questions or comments? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts for November fifth and November twelfth.

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Chairman Schroeder said, “Thank you, Darren. Next item please.”

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

CONSENT AGENDA

Q. CONSENT AGENDA.

1. Right-of-Way Easements.

The following tracts of land have been granted by Easement for Right-of-Way at no cost to the County. The Director, Bureau of Public Works, requested these Easements as a condition of receiving a Platting Exemption on an unplatted tract.

- a. Road Number 628-13, Owners: Frederick D. Rice II and Amy Rice, located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 18, Township 28 South, Range 2 West, more specifically located on the south side of MacArthur Road and east of 215th Street West. Illinois Township. District #3.
- b. Road Number 795-DD, Owner: Sidney A. Mohr, located in the Southwest Quarter of Section 32, Township 29 South, Range 2 West, more specifically located on the east side 199th Street West and north of 119th Street South. Ninescah Township. District #3.

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

2. Floodway Reserve Easement.

The following tract of land was granted by Floodway Reserve Easement at no cost to the County. This Easement was requested by the Director, Bureau of Public Works, as a condition of receiving a Platting Exemption on an unplatted tract.

Owners: Frederick D. Rice II and Amy Rice, located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 18, Township 28 South, Range 2 West, more specifically located south of MacArthur Road and east of 215th Street West. Illinois Township. District #3.

3. Right-of-Way Instruments.

- a. One Temporary Construction Easement and one Easement for Drainage for Sedgwick County Project No. 827-V, W; Oliver between 47th and 63rd Streets South. CIP #R-212. District #5.
- b. One Easement for Right-of-Way for Sedgwick County Project No. 809-J, K, L; Tyler Road between 29th and 53rd Streets North. CIP #R-217. District #4.

4. Applications for License to Retail Cereal Malt Beverages.

| <u>Applicant Name</u> | <u>d/b/a</u> |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Jo L. Blood | Pine Bay Golf Course, Inc. |
| Samuel G. Eberly | Eberly Farms, Inc. |
| Richard W. Hall | 81 Speedway |
| Jay A. Johnson | Johnson's General Store #39 |
| Robert J. Sleeter | Kwik Shop #706 |
| Roy E. Brockmeier | Quiktrip #383 |
| Roy E. Brockmeier | Quiktrip #392 |
| Joyce I. Schmidt | Wichita Canteen Co., Inc. |
| Eugene J. Pelz | Siesta Steak & Lounge |

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

- 5. Resolution ratifying and confirming the actions and proceedings of the Sedgwick County Board of Canvassers' of November 6, 1998.**
- 6. Orders dated November 4 and November 11, 1998 to correct tax roll for change of assessment.**
- 7. Plat.**

Approved by the Bureau of Public Works. The County Treasurer has certified that taxes for the year 1997 and prior years are paid for the following plat:

Schuette's First Addition

- 8. Consideration of the Check Registers of November 6 and November 13, 1998.**
- 9. Budget Adjustment Requests.**

| <u>Number</u> | <u>Department</u> | <u>Type of Adjustment</u> |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 980567 | Treasurer | Transfer |
| 980568 | Finance General - 18th Judicial District | Transfer |
| 980569 | Personnel | Transfer |
| 980570 | Appraiser | Transfer |
| 980571 | Youth Services | Transfer |
| 980572 | Corrections | Transfer |
| 980573 | Housing Assistance | Transfer |
| 980574 | COMCARE - CDDO | Transfer |
| 980575 | Risk Management | Transfer |
| 980576 | Bureau of Public Works | Transfer |
| 980577 | Noxious Weed | Transfer |
| 980578 | Aging | Appropriation Reduction |
| 980579 | Aging | Supplemental Appropriation |
| 980580 | Aging | Appropriation Reduction |
| 980581 | Aging | Supplemental Appropriation |

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Budget Adjustment Requests (continued)

| | | |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 980582 | Aging | Appropriation Reduction |
| 980583 | Aging | Supplemental Appropriation |
| 980584 | COMCARE - CDDO | Transfer |
| 980585 | COMCARE-Topeka State Hospital-Partial Program | Transfer |
| 980586 | Corrections | Transfer |
| 980587 | Auto License | Transfer |
| 980588 | Capital Projects | Transfer |
| 980589 | Capital Projects | Supplemental Appropriation |
| 980590 | Capital Projects | Transfer |
| 980591 | Capital Projects | Transfer |
| 980592 | Capital Projects | Supplemental Appropriation |
| 980593 | Capital Projects | Transfer |
| 980594 | Corrections | Transfer |
| 980595 | Kansas Coliseum | Transfer |
| 980596 | Tara Falls (PH-1) Street | Supplemental Appropriation |

Mr. William Buchanan, County Manager, greeted the Commissioners and said, "Commissioners, you have the Consent Agenda before you and I would recommend you approve it."

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to approve the Consent Agenda as presented.

Commissioner Miller seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Betsy Gwin | Aye |
| Commissioner Paul W. Hancock | Aye |
| Commissioner Thomas G. Winters | Aye |
| Commissioner Melody C. Miller | Aye |
| Chairman Mark F. Schroeder | Aye |

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

Chairman Schroeder said, "Any other business to come before this Board? If not, we're adjourned."

R. OTHER

S. ADJOURNMENT

Regular Meeting, November 18, 1998

There being no other business to come before the Board, the Meeting was adjourned at 11:28 a.m.

**BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF
SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS**

MARK F SCHROEDER, Chairman
Fifth District

PAUL W. HANCOCK, Chairman Pro Tem
Second District

BETSY GWIN, Commissioner
First District

THOMAS G. WINTERS, Commissioner
Third District

MELODY C. MILLER, Commissioner
Fourth District

ATTEST:

James Alford, County Clerk

APPROVED:

_____, 1998