MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

REGULAR MEETING

July 12, 2000

The Regular Meeting of the Board of the County Commissioners of Sedgwick County, Kansas, was called to order at 9:00 A.M., on Wednesday, July 12th, 2000 in the County Commission Meeting Room in the Courthouse in Wichita, Kansas, by Chairman Thomas G. Winters; with the following present: Chair Pro Tem Carolyn McGinn; Commissioner Betsy Gwin; Commissioner Bill Hancock; Commissioner Ben Sciortino; Mr. William P. Buchanan, County Manager; Mr. Rich Euson, County Counselor; Sergeant Michael Stover, Sheriff’s Department Training Center; Mr. Marvin Krout, Director, Metropolitan Area Planning Department; Mr. Tom Pollan, Director, Emergency Medical Service; Mr. Randy Duncan, Director, Emergency Management; Ms. Jeanette Clary, Executive Officer, District Attorney’s Office; Mr. John Nath, Director, Kansas Coliseum; Ms. Jan Kennedy, County Treasurer; Mr. David Spears, Director, Bureau of Public Works; Mr. Darren Muci, Director, Purchasing Department; Ms. Kristi Zukovich, Director, Communications; and, Lisa Davis, Deputy County Clerk.

GUESTS

Mr. Billy McCray, 2801 N. Rock Rd., Wichita, Ks.

INVOCATION

The Invocation was led by Reverend Eddie Jusino of First Presbyterian Church.

FLAG SALUTE

ROLL CALL

The Clerk reported, after calling roll, that all Commissioners were present.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES: Regular Meeting, June 14, 2000

The Clerk reported that all Commissioners were present at the Regular Meeting of June 14th, 2000.

Chairman Winters said, “Commissioners, you’ve had a opportunity to review the Minutes of June 14th. What’s the will of the Board?”
MOTION

Commissioner McGinn moved to approve the Minutes of the Regular Meeting of June 14th, 2000.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye  
Commissioner Bill Hancock  Aye  
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn  Aye  
Commissioner Ben Sciortino  Aye  
Chairman Thomas G. Winters  Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Commissioners, it’s been the policy of this Board that citizens can make a request to be heard on the Board of County Commissioners or address the Board of County Commissioners. I have had such a request from former Commissioner, Billy McCray.”

MOTION

Chairman Winters moved to take an Off Agenda item concerning a citizens inquiry item to receive comments from former Senator Billy McCray."

Commissioner Hancock seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye  
Commissioner Bill Hancock  Aye  
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn  Aye  
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Commissioner Ben Sciortino  Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters  Aye

OFF AGENDA ITEM

Chairman Winters said, “At this time I would welcome former County Commissioner, Billy McCray. Mr. McCray has been a State Senator for the State of Kansas for twelve years. He was in the House of Representatives for six years and was a Sedgwick County Commissioner for seven years. Mr. McCray, this community does look to you, as a senior statesman, with certainly the interests of this community and we welcome you to our County Commission Meeting."

Mr. Billy McCray, 2801 N. Rock Road, Wichita, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Mr. Chairman, I want you to know that it’s a honor for me to come back into the Chambers and have an opportunity to just make a few comments. I also want you to know I appreciate you allowing me to make these comments at the late request that I gave you. I did, we had a chat and I talked about it and I appreciate your allowing this.

“I want to first comment, or at least compliment the County Commission for the work that you are doing, or I’ve read in the paper, that the work will be done on the old Ark Valley Lodge. It’s commendable that you’ve taken a step. I understand that there’s some money in the budget and you’re thinking of placing some money, thanks to Commissioner McGinn, in the budget for the next year. I think this is significant, because it’s a landmark that really needs to be saved and once upon a time, if you could have seen Main Street and seen the businesses that were owned by African Americans along that street, you would understand why so many people have worked diligently, for so many years, trying to save at least one or two of the buildings and the old Masonic Lodge would be one of those buildings.

“I also want to just caution, maybe make some comments about, as you plan and as you allow this city to grow and as . . . different things that are happening, as it relates to changes in the growth of the City and the growth of the County and you’re working together, as a County and City together, you’ve done a marvelous job in working together on Old Town. I understand there’s some things that are exciting that’s planned to be happening out in the later, and that’s part of an inter-city area and we’re looking at another area. We call it McAdams. I was talking to a gentleman by the name of Orlandus McGraw who is working with a group of people over there and I took a little bus tour and I had the opportunity to ride that bus tour and look at some of the buildings in that area. You know, as you plan the growth of the County, as things happen within the City and also the County, I just think that it would be great if you can allow for some incentives for developers to develop inside of the City, at the same time you allow them to develop
“I know that later on you will be considering what is called a Comprehensive Plan and I won’t get into that at all. I merely wanted you to know that there are individuals who are thinking about the inter-city. I looked at the vote over at the City and I read some of the remarks of one of the Council persons there and it meant something to me that one person would take a strong position about it.

“I also want to mention one other thing. The canal that goes down through the center... everybody knows about the Martin Luther King Highway but very few people know about that canal from 21st Street, roughly 21st Street down to Douglas. The canal has no borders. It has no sides. It has no bottom and of course a lot of things happen along that canal. I just wondered and hoped that during your planning, as you plan, as I said the growth of the City and the growth of the County, and as you cooperate with the City, I would hope that you would consider taking a look at that and taking it under consideration. I know that this plan is evolving. I know that you will be making changes and adjustments. I’ve read a lot about it. I’ve gone to a lot of meetings but I would appreciate it very much if you would take those thoughts under consideration because there are people in northeast central Wichita that are watching. We’re rather quiet out there. We don’t make a lot of noise. But I can tell you, every once in a while we get upset and of course we’re watching. And you’re doing a marvelous job, at this point, and I just want you to keep on doing it and doing better and doing more of it, especially in northeast central Wichita. Thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “Mr. McCray, thank you very much. I don’t see any questions right now but let me express, from the Board, that we certainly do value your opinions and respect the comments that you make. We know that you certainly have the interest of this entire County and community in mind and, as we go through this process, I think you are correct in that we will see adjustments and changes and an osmosis of this plan, as we continue to look for the very best way in which we can serve the citizens of the interior confines of the City of Wichita, those that live on the edges and those that live in the unincorporated and the small communities of the County. But we certainly appreciate your effort to come and visit with us today and remind us that everybody is watching and some from, perhaps, a different perspective. Your public service to this State and to this County has been very much appreciated and we will respect your continued input.”

Mr. McCray said, “Again, I appreciate your remarks and I appreciate your allowing me to make some comments. Thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you. Madam Clerk, would you call the next item.”
YOUR COUNTY SERVICES

A. SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT.

Chairman Winters said, “Commissioners, each week we’ve been taking a few minutes to talk about various County departments and hear from some of the employees who work for Sedgwick County tell us about what they do and why they do it. And so, today we’re pleased to have the Sheriff’s Department represented by Michael Stover, Sergeant at the Training Center.”

Sergeant Michael Stover, Sedgwick County Sheriff’s Department Training Center, greeted the Commissioners and said, “My name is Michael Stover. I’m a sergeant with the Sedgwick County Sheriff’s Department, assigned to the Training section. I’d like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to tell you a little bit about one of the most progressive law enforcement agencies in the Nation today. In order to do that, I’d like to take a few minutes to show you a recently completed recruiting video that we use to showcase the Sedgwick County Sheriff’s Department.

(Recruiting video is shown)

“Mr. Chairman, I’d be happy to answer any questions that you or any of the Commissioners have concerning the Sheriff’s Department.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. Could you just briefly tell us where you use this recruiting film, where and how.”

Sergeant Stover said, “This film could be used at job fairs. Any recruiting function that we send recruiting deputies to attend, they would display this video.”

Chairman Winters said, “And where is the Training Facility located? And it’s a joint project with the City of Wichita, is that correct?”

Sergeant Stover said, “Yes, sir. It’s the Wichita/Sedgwick County Law Enforcement Training Center, located at 2235 West 37th Street North. That’s in the area of 235 North and Meridian.”
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Chairman Winters said, “All right, very good. Commissioner Gwin.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “You showed the training. Do detention deputies and commissioned deputies receive different types of training?”

Sergeant Stover said, “Some of the training is the same, Commissioner, such as defensive tactics, cultural diversity training. However, the Detention Academy is ten weeks long. The Commissioned Academy is twenty-two weeks long. The commissioned recruits would receive additional training in areas such as firearms training, driving emergency vehicles, case law and things of that nature.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “And if there’s someone watching who’s interested again, can you tell them how to contact the County or the organization to complete an application?”

Sergeant Stover said, “The very first step is to contact County Personnel to complete an application and begin the entire process.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you. Commissioner Sciortino.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Are we presently short of deputies? Are we actively recruiting deputies? Are you short of deputies right now?”

Sergeant Stover said, “Yes, sir. We’re constantly recruiting deputies. Unfortunately, I don’t have the number with me of deputies that we are currently seeking to fill positions, but I would be more than happy to research that and get that information to you as soon as possible.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Is it the detention deputies that we’re short of, or is it the commissioned deputies?”

Sergeant Stover said, “There are opening in both at this time. Currently, we have a academy class of 14 detention deputies and 7 commissioned deputies and we constantly recruit for the Detention Facility.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “I’m saying this mostly for the public. What’s the starting salary of a deputy?”
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Sergeant Stover said, “It depends, Commissioner, whether it’s a commissioned deputy or a detention deputy and, once again, I’m sorry, I apologize. I don’t have the exact figures with me.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Okay, thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. And thank you, Sergeant Stover. We appreciate your presentation. I think the recruiting film was very well done and hope it leads to more success.”

Sergeant Stover said, “Thank you for your time, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners.”

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you, Sergeant. Next item.”

PROCLAMATION

B. PROCLAMATION DECLARING JULY 17 - 21, 2000 AS "SEDGWICK COUNTY LAW CAMP DAYS."

Chairman Winters said, “Commissioners, I have a proclamation I’d like to read for your consideration.

PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, approximately one hundred fifty youth between the ages of 11 and 15 will attend the fifth annual Sedgwick County Law Camp July 17-21, 2000 at Lake Afton Park; and

WHEREAS, the mission of the Law Camp is to create a partnership between law enforcement and youth by providing a positive experience using law enforcement personnel as role models- building self-esteem, confidence and trust; and

WHEREAS, a squad of 10 youth will be assigned to a mentor who will keep track of the activity schedule. The mentors will operate in teams of two, consisting of one Deputy and one Guardsman from the Kansas Army National Guard; and

WHEREAS, Law Camp is a partnership involving over 25 public and private sector organizations;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that I, Tom Winters, Chairman of the Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners, do hereby proclaim July 17-21, 2000 as

“SEDGWICK COUNTY LAW CAMP DAYS”

in recognition of this effort to involve our youth in alternatives to crime and violence.


“Commissioners, that’s the proclamation. What’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to Adopt the Proclamation and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner McGinn seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “To accept the Proclamation, we have two representatives from the Sedgwick County Sheriff’s Department.”

Major Elaine Mitchell, Sheriff’s Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “This is Lieutenant George Mason. We’d like to thank you very much for this proclamation. This is very important and we’d like to accept this, on behalf of Sheriff Mike Hill and the Deputies of Sedgwick County. This will be our sixth year and I’ve been very fortunate to be involved every year. Every year, it’s so hot out there, I say, ‘next year I won’t do this’. Then, when it gets close, it gets exciting because working with the youth is important. And it’s important that we remember the youth. So, we do want to thank you very much, for
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this and we could not do this without community support.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, Major Mitchell and Lieutenant, thank you very much, for your work
in this. If I remember correctly, this, again, being the sixth year, this is an event that Sheriff Mike Hill
certainly supported and got behind. I remember the first year that Sheriff Hill wanted to try this to see if
it would work and apparently, with this record, it has been a successful event.”

Major Mitchell said, “And you’re very welcome to come out on Thursday evening for the barbecue.
If you’d like to come spend any other time, in the heat, you’re most welcome.”
Chairman Winters said, “Thank you very much. Next item.”

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

C. METROPOLITAN AREA PLANNING DEPARTMENT (MAPD).

1. ITEM NUMBER VAC2000-00015 - VACATION OF A PORTION OF ACCESS
CONTROL GENERALLY LOCATED NORTH OF 63RD STREET SOUTH
AND WEST OF 263RD STREET WEST.

Mr. Marvin Krout, Director, Metropolitan Area Planning Department, greeted the Commissioners and
said, “We have two vacation items on the Agenda this morning. Recently, we’ve been handling these,
generally, as consent items and so, I’m not appearing to present them and I was surprised, actually, to see
them on the Agenda with the planning items today. So, I don’t have any slides, but I can talk to you about
them briefly.

“This first case involves a rural plat, out in the southwest part of the County. A plat with five acre lots and
it was originally platted with four lots, all taking their access from a cul-de-sac street, that’s named 263rd
Street Court and complete access control to the mile-line street, 263rd Street. The County Fire
Department’s request, in terms of our subdivision street policy, is that a street that is a cul-de-sac, that has
one way in and out and has more than three lots should be designed with wider pavement, in order to
provide better access in and out, for emergency services. So, the developer, in this case, has asked that
he be permitted one point of access for one of the lots that has frontage onto 263rd Street, the mile-line
street. That access point would be at least 300 feet away from the cul-de-sac and based on that and the
low densities and the low traffic volumes in that area, we recommended approval to the Planning
Commission. The Planning Commission recommended approval. Adjoining owners, who were notified,
had no objections. It met with County Engineer’s approval and so, we suggest that you approve the
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Vacation Order.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right. You’ve heard that report, Commissioners. Any questions? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the Vacation Order and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Absent
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Next item.”

2. ITEM NUMBER VAC2000-00018 - VACATION OF A 5-FOOT UTILITY EASEMENT AND A PORTION OF A 35-FOOT DRAINAGE EASEMENT, GENERALLY LOCATED SOUTH OF 63RD STREET SOUTH AND WEST OF 127TH STREET EAST.

Mr. Krout said, “Commissioners, this is a request for a lot in the suburban Fairway Meadows Addition,
in the southeast portion of the County. It was originally platted with a 35 foot drainage easement on one side of the lot and a five foot utility easement added to that. So, it was not normal encumbrance that’s placed on a lot for easements. In this case, some time ago the owner in error placed a corner of the building into the five foot utility easement and extending slightly a few feet into the drainage easement, requested to vacate those portions of the easement, so that this property could be cleared, for title purposes. The County Engineer reviewed the request. Private utilities also reviewed the request, had no need for the utility easement and did not see the encroachment into the drainage area as being a problem and so they recommended approval, as has the Planning Commission and we recommend that you approve the vacation order.”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the Vacation Order and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Before we take the next item, I would like to just recognize a person visiting in the audience today. We have Boy Scout Matthew Young with us today. Matthew, would you stand up. Matthew is working on his Citizenship and Community badge. And so, Matthew, you can write down on your report that you were here and we appreciate your attendance and glad you’re here, watching County government in action. I’m sure it may look like, in a few minutes, we don’t know what we’re doing, but we pretty much do. So, we’re glad you’re here. Thank you.
"Madam Clerk, will you call the next item."

3. **UPDATE OF COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND RESOLUTION APPROVING CERTAIN AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.**

Mr. **Krout** said, “Commissioners, as I told the City Council yesterday, I probably have already said too much about the Comprehensive Plan over the past couple of years, so I’ll try to be brief. The City Council and the County Commission have held workshops in recent months about the Plan. You’ve held several workshops in recent months, to try to get a handle on it.

“As you know, in March the Planning Commission voted, by eight to three, to recommend amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and to the 2030 Transportation Plan. Actually, it’s the 2020 Transportation Plan that would become the 2030 Transportation Plan if it’s adopted. In April, Chairman Garafalo presented that plan to an En Banc Meeting of the City Council and the County Commission. The staff has tried to sort out areas of consensus, for both of the governing bodies over the past couple of months, and I hope we’ve done that and as far as the City Council was concerned, they voted six to one yesterday to approve a Motion dealing with the Comprehensive Plan and we’ve prepared that same Motion for your approval this morning.

“Number one, there are some language changes in the Comprehensive Plan, as recommended by the Planning Commission. These have been discussed by the Commissioners, in workshop sessions. One of them deals with the idea of passing a Right-to-Farm resolution that would mirror the State statute and also, initiating a public education program that would let people know, who move out to rural areas, that there are some agricultural practices that people have a right to and that they should tolerate when they’re moving out to areas like that.

“Second, there is some strengthening and focusing of the objectives and strategies in the plan that deal with revitalization of older neighborhoods. Some of those came from the Planning Commission. They wanted to be sure that we were taking a broader view of what’s an older neighborhood, in terms of geography. That we were going to be looking at programs that assist all kinds of income groups, so that we can have mixed socio-economic population in those older neighborhoods, which I think is an ingredient for their vitality. So, those are, basically, the suggested changes. The exact wording is in the backup to your
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Agenda report.

“There have also been a number of contentious issues, as we went through the planning process and I believe it has been the consensus of both the City Council and the County Commission that those issues deserve some more discussion and they deserve the kind of discussion that maybe we haven’t had yet in this process, which is a true dialogue between parties who hold different kinds of interests and views on this issue.

“And so, I believe that both bodies have indicated their interest in appointing an advisory committee that will deal with several issues. The mission that we’ve identified for that advisory group that will report to the Planning Commission are to look at large lot rural subdivisions and whether or not to change some of the rules, as recommended by the Planning Commission. To look at agricultural preservation techniques, other than agricultural zoning, and there are a number of techniques that may be applicable here in Sedgwick County and, third, to look at the issue of how we finance growth and how new infrastructure is created and whether or not there are some lessons to be learned from other communities, in that regard. In that sense, in order to help accomplish that, we’re looking at the possibility of hiring some outside assistance to help look at that issues objectively and present information to the committee.

“So, beyond the advisory committee, there is one other issue that came up late in the process. Was really not discussed much by the Planning Commission but we think it’s an area that deserves some attention, and that is if and when and how to notify property owners who are near by to subdivision plats of those plats so that they can look over our shoulders and review how issues like drainage and water and sewer are being handled and see if they believe they affect the property and have some input into that process.

“As I said, the City Council adopted the Motion that would modify the Comprehensive Plan and adopt it, would initiate the idea of this committee and its mission and would send the subdivision issue to the Planning Commission. They voted six to one, yesterday, to approve it. Any modifications to the Comprehensive Plan itself, those language changes do require a two thirds vote of the County Commission
or the City Council on your first consideration, so those language changes would require four votes, in order to incorporate them into the Comprehensive Plan.

“I guess I’d just say that I think it is important for us to bring this planning process, not to an end, but to some kind of closure. You have a plan that’s been approved by the Planning Commission and it would be very awkward not to have the Planning Commission and the governing bodies in sync and have one unified voice on what we think the future of the community holds, in terms of needs and priorities. This is not the plan that the planning director or the planning staff would have written and it shouldn’t be. I think our job is to challenge people to think about the future and to get involved in the process and I think, maybe we’ve been more successful than some people would like, on that score, but I think that we have generated some interest and I think that as Council Member Pisciotta said yesterday, the plan in front of you is a serviceable plan.

“It’s not going to meet everyone’s expectations. No plan does. Planning is a continuing process. I think, as planners, we tend to overlook the fact that this community really is fairly well planned. Growth is pretty orderly. It takes going to some other community sometimes to remind us of that. I do that occasionally, and I come back here and I think that sometimes we take for granted the positive things that we are doing and that we are planning, in terms of the future, and this plan is certainly in the tradition of the way that we have been planning positively in the past.

“So, I would urge you to vote to approve the plan, set up a committee and sent the subdivision issues to the Planning Commission for further consideration.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, Marvin, thank you for that brief report of how we’ve got to where we are today. Commissioners, comments? Commissioner McGinn. I know Commissioner McGinn has been very active in this process, particularly bringing two aspects, that of rural Sedgwick County and the smaller communities and the involvement of agriculture and then as she represents certainly a part of the inter-city of Wichita, has been very challenged by that aspect. So, the Commission has looked, on a number of occasions, for input from Commissioner McGinn and we appreciate that very much, Carolyn. So, if you’d like to begin the discussion.”

Commissioner McGinn said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I’d just like to make a few opening comments and then talk about a few items that, I guess, are most important to me in this plan. Before I begin though,
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I do want to thank Marvin for all the work he’s done. It seems like we had our first En Banc, wasn’t it last summer, and I was telling people that it was going to get adopted in November, December. And here we are today. But I think some good things have come from taking some time, as I’m going to talk about here in a minute. But thank you for all the work that you’ve done, Marvin. Also want to thank the work of the Metropolitan Planning Commission. They spend lots of hours, every Thursday, dealing with this issue and issues that come before us every day and every week. So, I want to thank them for their time.

“But there is another group that I want to thank and that is the people that came forth and became involved in this process. We had the hearings and, how many people that came from the community that we counted? Was it 700?”

Mr. Krout said, “800 to the last three hearings.”

Commissioner McGinn said, “And we received numerous phone calls and letters, of which I read them all and our job is to try to find a balance. But I guess the thing that inspired me the most was the fact that we had people from our community become involved in the process. And I think that’s what makes us a better community. You see communities where people don’t get involved and those communities are communities that tend to die, over time, and from what I’ve experienced in my life in Sedgwick County, when there’s contentious issues, people come out of the woodwork and share their opinion and I think bringing our opinions together is what makes us have a better plan.

“Today we have a plan before us that some would say is a good plan and others would say it doesn’t go far enough. And I think that it’s a plan that we can begin with and make better over time. As I said, I’d like to visit a little bit about some objectives of the plan that I feel are important pieces. About a year ago, there was increased attention given to ground water contamination and along with this was also a concern about development out in the County. As most people know, when you live out in the County, there’s generally no public water or sewer in the area and so you have to use private wells and septic tanks or lagoons. And one of the things that I noticed in the plan is we didn’t have anything in the plan that identified putting developments close to well heads. And in particular, I’m talking public water supplies such as the City of Wichita’s. So, today we do have, in this plan, an item that identifies those areas so that we won’t have, like say 80 septic tanks around these areas.
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“The second thing has to do with the agricultural portion. I know a lot of ag producers were offended that the acknowledgment of the agricultural industry was taken out and we have since put that back in. And we do acknowledge them and we do appreciate the folks that are working hard today in the 100 degree weather to raise food for our communities. So, we have that in there but another item had to do with ag preservation. But before I go there, I want to talk a little bit about how we came to putting these types of things in the plan. It started with, over a year ago, we went out into the country, Commissioner Sciortino, Commissioner Winters and myself, attended three different meetings and talked to ag producers about their concerns and those kinds of things. And one of the things that came out had to do with not only are we appreciated, but there are people that move to the country that don’t understand the lifestyle out in the agricultural area and so people might call in and complain about some guy who is farming at 2 o’clock in the morning making noise, or he’s causing some dust in my area and that type of thing. So, we wanted to protect them from those types of things and trying to figure out how we could best do that. We came up with a County Right-to-Farm resolution, which we will be passing, I believe at the end of this month. And what this is, is a tool to inform and educate people that move to the country what kinds of things that they’ll encounter from the agricultural community and it also gives the farmer a little more protection from any kind of nuisance violations that may come before them.

“The second is agricultural preservation. And this was a very contentious item. You heard a lot about Ag Zoning, voluntary Ag Zoning and as we studied it, we’re not sure that was the catch-all item that was going to fix everything and so, I’m very pleased with the fact that we put together this community group of stakeholders that are going to come together and study how can we preserve agriculture, because some of the information that I’ve received about the things that they’re doing on the east coast, Maryland, Pennsylvania, etcetera it has to do with buying development rights, easements and those types of things. Well, where do you get the money? Those dollars come from State funds and most generally they put in the biggest chunk of the money, where counties will put in a small portion, to help have funds to do those types of things. So, that’s why I feel like this is something that we shouldn’t make a decision today, maybe on Ag Zoning, because this is something that needs more time to explore and I think it’s great that we have this community group to take a look at this. They also need to be talking to their legislators, because it also entails State dollars as well. So, I’m glad that we’re doing that. The other item in that area had to do with impact fees and I’m glad we’re looking at that. I get a lot of calls from people out in the community about township roads. You call the township, we don’t have any money, that type of thing. And so, I’m glad to see that this group is going to explore that and find out whether that’s the answer or not.

“Before I go to the third item, though, as Chairman Winters said, I guess I get leaned on a little bit because my background is in agriculture, but I would just like to say thank you to Chairman Winters for the work he’s done, prior to my being a Commissioner. As you know, Marvin, we met prior to my being a
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Commissioner on issues that had to do with agriculture as well and other things and I think of the big issues that came up, and Tom Winters was there. I know he thinks a lot about agriculture and the thing that most impressed me was his ability to listen to our concerns. Well, now as we started dealing with this a year ago, Tom was the first to say ‘you know, I want to be involved in those meetings’ and he participated in about everything that I had participated in and so, I just want to thank Tom for the extra time he gave to help me out in that area.

“The third item, and I’ll finally be quiet here, so the rest of them can talk, has to do with neighborhoods. That’s very important to me and I sit on the CORE group that’s looking to revitalize Midtown. Another area, as Mr. McCray talked about, was the McAdam’s area. I, too, was on that bus tour. I’ve seen that neighborhood. It’s a very unique neighborhood and it’s a neighborhood that’s worth preserving and I feel strongly about that. I’ve been in discussion with Sunflower people, just trying to figure out what tools we might use to help them out. I hope that the City of Wichita takes the lead on revitalizing neighborhoods.

“We’ve done a great job of revitalizing Old Town but I think now it’s time to start looking at some of our neighborhoods who have historically been here for a long time. As, last week, our Manager talked about our budget and in that budget we have allocated $200,000 to do something and we haven’t figured out what that something is yet. Kind of waiting on the City of Wichita to see what they might do and then see how we can help in that.

“Final thing I want to just say, Marvin, it’s the Partners for Development Research, is that the proper name?”

Mr. Krout said, “Partners in Development Research, right.”

Commissioner McGinn said, “PDR, they had a bill of particulars and I went back and looked at that and I just want to name a few things here that I feel that we are addressing. ‘Strongly suggest inclusion of goals and objectives that would serve to preserve prime farmland and address the value of agri-business to our local economy, request naming of a special task force to explore options and methods for preserving prime farmland. Such methods may include Ag Zoning, transferable development rights and purchase of development rights’. And then an objective to explore financing options for townships. We’re doing those things in this plan and I just wanted that to be noted, because I sometimes feel that people
think that we’re not listening to anything and I think that we are listening. Are we doing everything on this list. Perhaps not, but we’ve started this process and we’re going to continue it, with this group of community leaders that all have different backgrounds and to me, I think the best way to fine tune any plan is to have all the stakeholders at the table. I see good things coming out of this plan and I look forward to hearing what their recommendations are. So, that’s all I had, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. Commissioner Sciortino.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It’s kind of a hard act to follow, after Commissioner McGinn has stated everything that she’s stated. First of all, I want to say that I am very proud of the work that the MAPC has done. I think that no one got 100% of what they wanted. I think this was a consensus. I think they did listen, and listen very intently, to the 700 or 800 people that came and made presentations to them. I’ve heard a lot of times that the MAPC is controlled by just one faction and I don’t believe that. I believe that they worked very, very hard and very diligently to try to come up with a plan that at least addressed the majority of the issues and concerns that everyone presented to them. I applaud them for that.

“This is a work in progress. It’s a vision. I think that this new committee that we’re going to form to advise the MAPC is a good one, because it will continue to involve the public. It would be my hope that if there’s anything that’s going to be discussed about agricultural and how to preserve farmland that we have a good number of farmers on the board, because it’s their land that we’re going to be talking about. I, for one, if I were a farmer would be uncomfortable if an inter-city dweller was trying to design a plan that would affect farmers and I would hope that we would get a sizable number of farmers involved in that area.

“Overall, I’m very comfortable with the plan. I will support the plan. I think that the MAPC did listen and I think they worked very diligently to come up with a plan that was actually a consensus, like my friend Joe Pisciotte indicated yesterday. I’m very comfortable with it and I plan to support the plan as presented. Thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you, Commissioner. I’d make just a few comments. I certainly want to share in the appreciation to the Metropolitan Area Planning Commission and all the work that they do. If you would think about all the meetings and time they spend, other than the Comprehensive Plan, it’s a big job and so, when you put this work over the the last year and a half in there, it really is a lot of
work and we appreciate it. One of their representatives, former County Commissioner Bud Hentzen, is here in the Meeting Room today and Mr. Hentzen, we certainly appreciate the work that you’ve put in on that planning process. Marvin, I’d certainly thank you and the staff for the work that you’ve done on the plan.

“I guess, in my humble estimation there was a bit of good and bad about it and maybe it was mixed in there together. I think we got off looking at two extremes and I think, on one hand, you can say you started us off kind of on polar opposites of the world but maybe we wouldn’t have looked at all of those issues if we hadn’t have started at an extreme position. But I think what happens a lot of times here in Kansas is we get back to the middle someplace. We get back to something that is really going to work for this community. I did listen to the City Council yesterday and I couldn’t agree with Councilman Pisciotte more, when he talked about we need to work on a plan that works for our community. We’re not necessarily intent on worrying about what somebody else is doing in some other town, some other community, but we need something that’s serviceable for our community.

“As you picked up on that word serviceable, so did I and I think this is a workable plan. As Joe mentioned yesterday, this is a plan that’s required by State and Federal law and we need to get it finalized. Get it completed. As with Commissioner McGinn, I thought we were going to have it wrapped up last fall. But as we continue to talk about the issues, but I think it is time now to bring closure to this part of it. We’ve heard this issue about the makeup of the folks that are on the Planning Commission. I agree with Councilman Pisciotte. That’s a different issue. If we need to talk about that or do something, let’s separate it from this one. I think this group has produced a workable plan that we can work with.

“Again, as I look at the Motion that we have, we’re talking about, the Motion says ‘to adopt the plan, amendments as recommended by the Metropolitan Area Planning Commission, subject to certain language changes. Well, the Planning Commissions part is very important but those language changes are very important, too. Because there are some changes in the thrust of this and the acknowledgment of agriculture and how we’re going to deal with agriculture, I think, is an important part, along with revitalization issues. I’m glad that we have been able to stay connected to the majority of the City Council. They seem to be comfortable with moving forward. I think the task force that we’re going to commission here in a bit is going to be very important. It will have six members appointed by City Council and six
members appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, two folks from Metropolitan Planning and then nine at-large people on the committee. I’ve visited with several folks about being on that committee and I think we can get a good group of people together.

“Again, planning process is a long term, continuing effort, in my estimation and nobody wants us to grow in a haphazard and unruly and unmanageable way. Developers, builders don’t want that because it’s harder to market their product. Citizens don’t want that because they don’t want to live in communities like that. But once we try to start manipulating markets and saying ‘well, citizens, we really know best of what you want and we’re going to direct this, direct you here’ I think we really get on treacherous ground and so, I think the market has a lot to do with what we’re trying to do but at the same time we need to not forget older, established neighborhoods and we need to do things in a way. I think this plan is a good start. To me this is going to bring closure on this segment, but not closure on the issue of how do we help build a great community and a great place to live.

“So, Marvin, on the one hand I’m going to say you’ve brought many questions that I’m not sure we would have thought about but, boy, we sure got off on kind of an end of the spectrum kind of a position, but I’m glad we’re back today someplace close, where we can come to some conclusions. Commissioner Gwin.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I had a call just before the Meeting from a woman who wanted to know how I was going to vote on this item this morning and I told her that I had decided to support the amendments and the modifications that we were going to be considering and before I could even finish the sentence she gave me a really kind of a curt ‘why?’ So, I spent, probably not a lot of time, not as much as I would like to, but I would like to try to explain some of that now.

“First of all, we’ve had a Comprehensive Plan before. I mean, this is not the first one that this community has ever adopted. What we’re doing is amending a plan that we had previously set before us and making amendments, adjusting course if you will, to address the way this community changes and the way we adapt things. So, even these amendments today are not etched in concrete. We do not live by these for the rest of their lives. They will continue to be amended and to be changed as this community directs us to do so. It is in fact a guide as to where we want to go.

“Also, keep in mind that we do have zoning laws in this County and those control a lot of the growth and how it occurs. We have codes that, again, direct us in what happens throughout this community. And those are in concert with this plan. We use them together to try to figure out how we want our community to grow.
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“...the decisions in the end about where growth occurs, what kind of growth it is, those rules and regulations in the end will be made by the governing bodies that the people of this County elect. We are ultimately responsible to those people who elect us and they will tell us whether or not they agree with the decisions that we make on their behalf. Whether or how this County grows, whether it’s the biggest city in the County, whether it’s the little bitty cities, whether it’s the changes in the unincorporated areas of this County it depends upon the will of the people of this County and this is a step in this process.

“As I said, it sure seems like we have an awful hard time making amendments to a plan that’s existed for a long time but it may not be pretty but we get there. As I see them, these are not catastrophic changes, but these are course adjustments to reflect the will of this community and the problems they see or the opportunities that they see and so, I would hope that the people would understand my support of it. Understand that I support this, in conjunction with the rest of the decision making that the governing bodies and the constituency in this County help us make. So, I’m supportive of it today and appreciate the comments of my colleagues. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. Commissioners, we’re at the point where we need to think about the Motion to approve this and, Marvin, once again I don’t want you to think that I was not appreciative of the way we started the plan, at the polar ends. Again, I’m going to say, we have talked about a lot of issues and I think it has been a good discussion and I think it will lead us into the next phase. So, I don’t want you to think that I am unappreciative of how we got started. I just wanted to acknowledge the rockiness of it.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “One more comment comes to mind. Marvin, on the bottom, under Item B, items for further review and down at the bottom there’s 1-b-9 that talks about ‘protect the potential for future urban growth’. Talks about the large lot subdivision and the verbiage in that says ‘throughout the County’. Now, I think that’s what the Planning Commission talked about. Is that the way it’s going to be proceeded to be discussed because we had discussed about within the growth area?”

Mr. Krout said, “That was our suggestion. I think, because you’re throwing it to the task force, I think you can give them the discretion to say should it be countywide, which the Planning Commission suggested or less or somewhere in between.”

Commissioner Gwin said, “Okay, all right, that was a change that I had seen from our last discussion.
Chairman Winters said, “Before we talk about a Motion here, let’s talk just for a second about the task force and the timing situation. Has the City Council begun the process of their appointments or are we just now beginning that?”

Mr. Krout said, “Begun is the right word. There were two appointments that the City Council members made from their district advisory boards at yesterday’s meeting, but they still have a ways to go.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, so we could kind of take the challenge that we need to have our appointees in two weeks?”

Mr. Krout said, “I think that’s the City Council’s objective also.”

Chairman Winters said, “Okay, and how often will this task force meet? Have you a sense of that yet?”

Mr. Krout said, “Not for sure and the task force would probably have something to say about that, once they’re assembled, but my guess is once or twice a month.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right. Commissioner McGinn.”

Commissioner McGinn said, “Thank you. I just wanted to tag on to what Tom said. Probably about nine months to a year ago, Marvin, I wouldn’t have thanked you for the extreme positions because, as Tom also said, because of the rockiness in between, but today I want to say thank you and the reason is because we may not have thought about all these different things that we are moving on to the community group to take a look at and so I think, looking back now, I think it was a good thing. So, anyway, thank you for expanding our abilities.”

Mr. Krout said, “Thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, Commissioners. Are we ready for a Motion? Any other questions or comments, discussion? What’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION
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Commissioner Hancock moved to Adopt the Plan amendments as recommended by the Metropolitan Area Planning Commission, subject to certain language changes, adopt the Resolution and refer several issues for further study.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you very much, Marvin. On to the next stage. Commissioners, I’d like to request just a ten minute recess.”

The Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners recessed at 10:10 a.m. and returned at 10:22 a.m.

Chairman Winters said, “I’ll bring back to order the Regular Meeting of July 12th. If you’ve just tuned in, we’re part way into our Meeting. I might take this opportunity, right at this point, to remind citizens, we didn’t do this at the beginning of the program, that the Sedgwick County Fair starts today, out in Cheney and will be going on this evening, Thursday, Friday and Saturday. Events all week. This is just a great small town, county fair. If you’ve envisioned what it would be like to go to a county fair and have fun, see the livestock, the exhibits, the parades, the softball, basketball, it’s a great fair and I’d encourage all of you to attend, if possible.

“All right, Madam Clerk, would you please call the next item.”

NEW BUSINESS

D. AGREEMENT WITH RANDALL STEARNS TRAINING PROGRAM FOR SEDGWICK COUNTY EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE (EMS) TO PROVIDE
FIELD INTERNSHIPS FOR TRAINING PROGRAM STUDENTS.

Mr. Tom Pollan, Director, Emergency Medical Service, greeted the Commissioners and said, “The agreement before you today is with Randall Stearns and we have done this a number of times with his training program. He has asked for a waiver of liability insurance, which would cover those who would be providing services at an advanced life support level. These are basic life support training students. They would not be doing those particular invasive maneuvers. So, I would recommend, based on our past experience, to approve this and allow the Chair to sign.”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you, Tom. Next item.”

E. EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT.

1. AGREEMENT WITH KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE & HOUSING FOR USE OF PROJECT IMPACT GRANT FUNDS TO DEVELOP A HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN.
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Mr. Randy Duncan, Director, Emergency Management, greeted the Commissioners and said, “I come before you this morning to ask your consideration to approve an agreement with the Kansas Department of Commerce and Housing and authorize Commissioner Winters to sign it. The purpose of this agreement will be to allow us to get a $25,000 grant to create a hazard mitigation plan. The concept of this is, when we have future disasters, we will have a plan in place that will already have prioritized and listed out those things that we can do to help make ourselves more disaster resistant the next time around. I’d be happy to answer any questions you might have, relating to this.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you, Randy. Commissioners, you have questions?”

MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to Approve the Agreement and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Next item.”

2. ADDITION OF ONE MITIGATION PLAN COORDINATOR POSITION, RANGE 20, TO THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT STAFFING TABLE.

Mr. Duncan said, “In the previous item, having authorized the Chairman to allow us to accept this funding,
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I’d like you to now consider modifying our staffing table to allow us to hire a person who’s tenure in this project would be for the length of time that it’s funded. So, this is a grant funded position that we’re asking you to authorize in our staffing table. I’d be happy to answer any questions you might have, with regard to that.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. And Randy, this would be a person who would work in your department for you, then?”

Mr. Duncan said, “Yes, sir.”

Chairman Winters said, “And they would be hired, knowing that this was a grant-funded position for a specific period of time?”

Mr. Duncan said, “Yes, sir. That’s correct.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right. Commissioners, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the addition to the Emergency Management Staffing Table.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
F. GRANT APPLICATION TO KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE FOR FEDERAL S.T.O.P. VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN GRANT FUNDS.

Ms. Jeanette Clary, Executive Officer, District Attorney’s Office, greeted the Commissioners and said, “You have before you today a grant application for a continuation of funding, this would be the third year of funding for a position within our office, one full-time employee as a Case Coordinator to work with victims of domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking crimes. This person, additionally, gathers statistical data for use within the office that is relative to criminal history, victim/offender treatment, those types of data. The Coordinator’s Office currently employs approximately seven people, in both the Juvenile and the Adult divisions. This individual works exclusively with those special types of victims. The position is currently funded, through September 30th of this year, and we’re asking for another year’s funding. The actual cost of the project is $43,415. Our match will be $10,854.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. Commissioners, questions of Jeanette? If not, what’s the will of the Board?”

MOTION

Commissioner Sciortino moved to Approve the Grant Application and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner McGinn seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE
Chairman Winters said, “Thank you, Jeanette. Next item.”

G. KANSAS COLISEUM.

1. AGREEMENTS (TWO) PROVIDING SELECT-A-SEAT TICKET OUTLET STATUS.

! DILLON COMPANIES

! ENTERTAINMENT ENTERPRISES, INC.

Mr. John Nath, Director, Kansas Coliseum, greeted the Commissioners and said, “We have two agreements. One is with Dillons to become our main ticket outlet system again. As you know, Dillons was our system up until last year and then we went for a year with Blockbuster and now we’re more than happy to be back with Dillons. We think it’s going to be a good partnership.

“The second agreement is with Entertainment Enterprises, which is the operating company of the Cotillion. They are hiring Select-a-Seat to provide ticket distribution services to all their events. We recommend approval.”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the Agreements and authorize the Chairman to sign.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE
Chairman Winters said, “Next item.”

2. **WAIVER OF POLICY TO HIRE AN ASSISTANT COMPUTER TICKET ADMINISTRATOR AT RANGE 20, STEP 5.**

Mr. Nath said, “We took a national search to find a replacement for John Hale, when he retired as our Computer Ticket Administrator. We found that the best candidate for that position was Jim Sachs, who was then serving as John’s assistant. So, Jimmy is now our Administrator, so we are looking for a very strong second-in-command so we could actually have a succession plan, should anything happen, in place. We were looking for somebody who had experience, not only in operations of a box office, but somebody who had experience in the Select-a-Seat software. Those candidates are pretty rare. We were pretty fortunate in the fact that Janie, the person that we are requesting to hire, is the former box office manager for the Myriad in Oklahoma City. Her son and daughter just moved up here to Wichita, along with the adorable grandchild and she’s more than happy to follow along. We recommend approval.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. John, if I remember correctly, we had a former employee out there who is now with the Olympics, in their ticket operations. So, that is a complicated business. It’s not an easy position to fill, is that correct?”

Mr. Nath said, “It’s a very unique type of a business, operating in a box office and handling a computer ticket system. Dee Dee Hill, who is now up with DataTics in Salt Lake City, is going to be selling tickets for the Olympics, for this coming Winter Olympics in Salt Lake, yes.”

Chairman Winters said, “So, we have lost an employee to probably one of the biggest events, every four years.”

Mr. Nath said, “That’s right.”

Chairman Winters said, “Commissioners, you’ve heard John’s report. What’s the will of the Board?”
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Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye
MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to Approve the policy waiver.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Next item.”

3. KANSAS COLISEUM MONTHLY REPORT.

POWERPOINT PRESENTATION

Mr. Nath said, “The report is on June of 2000. As you know, this is the time of the year we really start slowing down. Most of our events are staged in the winter months. But we did have some highlights in June.

“We had over 26,000 people attend 12 events, 25 individual performances. Our net revenues were slightly over $84,000 for the month. Some of the highlights we had was the Annual Kansas Junior Quarter Horse Show, with 8,500 people in attendance. We finished the Wichita Warlords’ season, with two games, over 7,100 people. As you know, they’ve averaged about 3,400 people a game this season. Pretty encouraging. The league is really pleased with the operation so far. It was the first year of a five year agreement. We’re really looking forward to solidifying things and doing much better next year. We had the U.S. Weapons Gun and Knife Show, with nearly 3,000 people in attendance. The Wheat State Morgan Horse Show, 2,400 people in attendance and Charlie Daniels performed the annual Starkey Benefit Concert, with a fund raiser that he does annually with the Starkey group. They have relocated from Century II and they’re using our facilities now for the event.
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“Since we just finished the Warlords’ season, it’s time to go back and maybe take a look and see what . . . it may be interesting just to see what sports does to our event calendar. As we look at January through June of this year, 32% of all our attendance was directly related to sporting events, and that’s the Wings and the Thunder, high school wrestling, the Park City Wrestling Classic, which is the little kids, and of course the Warlords. But a more interesting and a telling statistic is revenue wise. Over half of our revenues, in concessions, is directly attributable to sports. Twenty six percent for hockey, in which we average $4.77 a head for everybody who comes to a hockey game. We average $5.32 a head at the concession stands for everybody who comes to a football game. High school wrestling is $4.85. Those kids like to eat when they come down to the wrestling tournament. Three dollars and thirty-four cents for the little kids, over at the Park City Classic, and $2.00, nearly $3.00 a head for the Wings. So, they have a major impact on our revenue streams. Food and beverage is a big part of how we operate. As you know, our rental agreements are designed to have a very effective and a very reasonable rental rate for the client and we’re looking at that just to cover our hard cost, but this is how we make our revenue is through food and beverage. And it’s kind of interesting to see what the impact of just sports has on our annual calendar.

“If there’s any questions at all, I’ll be happy to answer them at this time.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right. Thank you. John, just leave that there for a second, or even the previous two. Maybe at your next month’s report, in the other events, I’d be interested in knowing what livestock/animal component is and I would assume then, the other part of that would be concerts . . .”

Mr. Nath said, “High school graduations, concerts, business meetings, Sport, Boat and Travel Show. We’ve got quite the blend.”

Chairman Winters said, “Well, could you make another chart, just similar to this and break out for us, in a little more detail, all the other events and then how it relates to the revenue stream, too. I think that would be helpful.”

Mr. Nath said, “Be happy to.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, Commissioners? Commissioner Sciortino.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. John, on the Warlords, because I know that was something that people that I know that went out there were very excited about it. Are they pleased with the attendance that they received?”
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Mr. Nath said, “They’re very encouraged. You know, it was a four and ten team this year. I think attendance certainly would have been different if it was ten and four, their record. They went through some personnel changes, in the front office and the coaching staff. But the operation still cash flowed, so the league is very encouraged by that.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Okay, so they will be back next year. Great.”

Mr. Nath said, “We have a five year deal. They’ll be here for five years.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Okay, thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. Commissioners, other questions of John? Seeing none, what’s the will of the Board on this report?”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to receive and file.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Keep up the good work, John. Next item.”
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H. TAX ESTIMATOR DEMONSTRATION.

POWERPOINT PRESENTATION

Ms. Jan Kennedy, County Treasurer, greeted the Commissioners and said, “It’s my privilege to present to you a new application on our web page. It’s estimating personal property taxes on your automobiles. This has been put together by our own DIO people. I would particularly like to thank the Division of Information and Operations for their development, specifically, Gary Logan, Greg Faber, Jeff Piper, John Montgomery and Kathy Sexton. This is a premier product. If you’ve had an opportunity to see this anywhere else... You can get to the motor vehicle property tax estimator... Again, this makes it possible for people to do this themselves before they come to talk to us. I’m going to walk you through a transaction, so you can see how you would do it. We’ll have a press conference and do some dealer training this afternoon.

“Patrick has for you some examples, so that those things that I do, you can see. These are recent transactions. We, however, took all of the personal information off of them because we didn’t think that was appropriate. But for you, Commissioners, you can see that this is what the transaction was and the results of the estimator are shown also, so that we have worked very hard to make sure that these are fairly accurate.

“They are, of course, only as good as the input and the output. Okay, the first one, the vehicle type is a light truck. This is something that a citizen would be asked to do. It’s a 1998. It’s a Ford. You can cheat on this, if you put in, when you get to that, if you put in the first letter, like F, it will go to the Fs, or C it will go to the Cs. So, if you have a Mitsubishi, you don’t have to go through quite so many of them. So, that’s somewhat better. The first letter of their last name is C. The purchase date is 6-17-2000. 06, yeah, you have to do 06, 2000. In this case, because this is a new vehicle and there’s a trade-in, we’re going to go in and say, okay, we also have a traded in vehicle. That traded vehicle was a light truck. It was a 1989. Now, if you would just tab and hit F. Yeah, now it’s easier to find Ford because this is also
a Ford. And then you submit it. There’s some other information that has to come.

“What this will do is empower citizens to be able to do . . . figure their own taxes, particularly if they’re out trying to locate a new automobile. It will give citizens 24/7 access to the information. It will assist the dealers and give them a better understanding of how to conduct their business. That will make our job a little easier, because when they bring in titles, they’ll be able to bring in the right amount of money.

“The next step for us is to put registration fees on with this application, so they can come up with the full toll, if you will. Because those are not incorporated, although we do have another portion of this, where it outlines those things.

“This will reduce some of our staff time, particularly that we do for dealerships and title services. Citizens that move into Sedgwick County, or who anticipate moving into Sedgwick County can get on here and see, as a component to the cost of living, what it’s going to be.

“We will be exploring making this technology available to other counties. We think the next step is to get to the point where we can put registrations on-line and we’re committed to that, with or without the cooperation of the Department of Revenue. This entire thing, along with the delinquent taxes and everything else on the web page, will be completely available for anybody to come and try and I will be walking them through it, as many people as possible, at the Sedgwick County Fair, because we have a booth down there so that we can provide this information to anybody who comes to see us.

“Do you want to go through another one? You’re done. Thank you, Allison. Sorry about that. I’m so used to a mouse, I obviously can’t use the little . . .”

Chairman Winters said, “That’s fine. We’ve got a couple of questions. Commissioner McGinn.”

Commissioner McGinn said, “Thank you. This is up and running today, right?”

Ms. Kennedy said, “Yes it is.”

Commissioner McGinn said, “Okay. I was out helping my mother find a car last night and the next questions is, ‘how much is insurance and how much will the taxes be’. So, mom, if you’re listening, I hope you go to sedgwickcounty.org.”

Ms. Kennedy said, “Yes, I am sorry, Commissioner McGinn, that I don’t see anywhere in the future
being able to put that information about insurance premiums on our website but I certainly . . . if anybody can figure out a way to do it, I’d be happy to do it.”

**Commissioner McGinn** said, “Thank you.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Kind of a follow up question. You are going to work with dealers, because I know a lot of people ask the dealer, ‘now, I know I’ve got to go get my tag and registration and property tax’. How much do you think that will be? And often they say, ‘we don’t have a clue’. So, do you have a process out there now to inform dealers about the whole project?”

**Ms. Kennedy** said, “Actually, what they normally do is they pick up the phone and call us, which increases, at least the Appraiser’s Office, and they have been a joint participant in this. The Appraiser’s Office estimates that 30% of their phone calls to their phone bank are people wanting to know about auto property taxes, which is a pretty large volume of calls. What we’re doing this afternoon is we have invited the dealers to come and we’ll do training. We’ll take them through it. We’ll give them several examples. We’ll let them come up with ideas. We hope that it will stick. Of course there’s no way of knowing if the dealers will actually participate. We also, as part of our strategic plan, have worked on multiple brochures, so that we can make information available at the car dealers, with their cooperation, that would provide the citizens with what they would need to bring, if the dealers sometimes don’t give them the correct information.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “Okay, thank you. Commissioner Sciortino.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “Jan, I’m sure I know the answer, but I think for the public to know, there’s no charge for them accessing this and using this service?”

**Ms. Kennedy** said, “No, not at all. It’s just a service of our website.”

**Commissioner Sciortino** said, “And also for the dealers there would be no charge?”

**Ms. Kennedy** said, “No, there is no charge.”

**Chairman Winters** said, “All right, thank you, Jan, for the presentation. Commissioners, do I have a Motion to receive and file this report?”

**MOTION**
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Commissioner McGinn moved to receive and file.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

**VOTE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner Betsy Gwin</th>
<th>Aye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Bill Hancock</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Carolyn McGinn</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner Ben Sciortino</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairman Thomas G. Winters</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chairman Winters said, “Thanks, Jan. Next item.”

I. PURCHASING DEPARTMENT.

1. **RECONSIDERATION OF ITEM SIX OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS’ JUNE 29, 2000 REGULAR MEETING: PUBLICATION OF LEGAL NOTICES - BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, COUNTY COUNSELOR, TREASURER AND DIVISION OF FINANCE.**

Mr. Darren Muci, Director, Purchasing Department, greeted the Commissioners and said, “As noted, this Item was deferred for one week for consideration. As you recall, the original recommendation was to accept the proposal of the Derby Daily Reporter, which was reversed by the Board of Bids and Contracts. The recommendation on the table now is to accept the proposal of the Wichita Eagle. I would be happy to take questions and you have information in your backup.”

Mr. William P. Buchanan, County Manager, greeted the Commissioners and said, “Commissioner Hancock.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “I’m just curious. Give me your reasons for wanting to accept the bid from the Wichita Eagle? It’s the highest one.”
Mr. Muci said, “Mr. Chairman, that was the recommendation of the Board of Bids and Contracts. The original recommendation from Purchasing and staff, County Counselor and the County Manager’s Office was to accept the proposal of the Daily Reporter, out of Derby. After discussion, and reviewing the matrix that was provided in you backup, the Board of Bids and Contracts elected to accept the proposal of the Wichita Eagle as best meeting the needs of the County. Discussion last week centered around a potential reversal of that.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Okay.”

Mr. William P. Buchanan, County Manager, “Commissioners, you recall that the thinking of the Bid Board was that we would be better served by a daily newspaper that had a large circulation. The difference was in the overwhelming circulation by the Wichita Eagle, compared to the Derby Daily Reporter. That was the basis for and the thinking of the Bid Boards decision making process. We know that, although that seems to have a great thread of logic to it, in fact, folks do not usually search the news . . . if they know what the paper is, the designated paper, they will search that paper, if they are in the business to find legal ads. But people don’t usually buy newspapers. As a matter of fact, the surveys done by newspapers and why people purchase them is not to research the legal ads and therefore, we’ve had a relationship with the Derby paper for a number of years. They have serviced us well. There is no apparent reason to change. The cost of the daily paper is significantly lower with Derby and that’s the reason we need to proceed with that.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Thank you. Thank you, Darren.”

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you, Mr. Buchanan. I would like to make just a couple of comments. Please, do not think that I am indicating that we have not gotten service from the Derby Daily Reporter. I believe we have gotten service from the Daily Reporter. My comments are no reflection on that newspaper. I believe that we’ve received a qualified bid that is lower than the Daily Reporter or the Wichita Eagle. I’m going to give serious consideration to the low bid that we have received, because I think this paper is capable of handling our legal work. I think the two issues that I’m looking at are the low bid, and I think it might be appropriate to send some of this business to other papers in the County. So, I’m looking at low bid here. Commissioner Sciortino.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate that. The difference between
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the . . . and I believe you must be referring to the Times Sentinel, because that was the low bid. The difference between the Daily Reporter’s bid and the Times Sentinel, just for public knowledge, is $1,448 a year. I think there’s two issues why I’m going to support recommending that we stay with the Daily Reporter. One, I heard from staff, I heard from other departments that use the public notice section, that there is a need for a daily paper. There are times when people . . . a death notice or a divorce decree where people just need to get on with their lives and to make them wait another week before this could happen, I think, is wrong and I know that the courts like the daily. The idea that you could call a newspaper and get it in the next day or in a very timely manner and it was a very strong recommendation from staff that we look very strongly at a daily paper, as opposed to a weekly. Normally, you would find me not objecting to wanting to go to a lower bid and if it was really significant, I might be swayed a little bit on that one. But I don’t think that $1,448 is sufficient to us to change from a daily paper to a weekly.

“And the other thing that you mentioned, and I appreciate those nice words that you said, we’ve had a relationship I think approaching close to twenty years with this newspaper and the conversations that I’ve had with staff is that they could maybe count on one hand, with two fingers missing, two or three times over that period of times where they’ve had to ask for a reprint and I think that’s very good service that this newspaper has given to us and I am going to be a very strong supporter that we continue working with the Daily Reporter. Thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you, Commissioner. Commissioner McGinn.”

Commissioner McGinn said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Darren, when we talked about this a few months ago I was thrilled that we were giving the opportunity to these other papers in the County. Some of them have come a long ways in recent years and the ones I see here, the five papers all have very good publications. So, anyway my first thought was to look at low bid and if I did that I could look at the Daily Reporter, the Times Sentinel and the Ark Valley News. That was my first thought and then, last week, we had some very good discussion about who goes and gets the papers for legal publications. And then, also what I learned last week was sometimes we need that capability of somebody that has something more than a weekly paper. So, given that information, it kind of made me go back to looking at the two daily papers. I guess given what the Manager said about who looks at legal publications, those folks know how to get it. They know we’ve had a twenty year history with the Daily Reporter. I guess, I have to lean towards them, because if we went with the other paper it was $33,000 more and I guess I can’t ask the taxpayers to fund that, when the folks that need these publications already know where to get it. So, I’m going to have to lean towards supporting the Daily Reporter.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. Commissioner Gwin.”
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Commissioner Gwin said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate your comments about the Times Sentinel and as has been pointed out, the other daily newspapers have done a really nice job of improving their services and bringing those papers up to being fine papers. However, I think I said a week ago that the purpose of this is to comply with legal notification requirements, that the County must do. And my choice of the Derby Daily Reporter, obviously, is not so much about circulation but I think it’s imperative that we use a daily publication, to comply with those requirements and I certainly think it’s imperative, given the two daily papers, that we go with the less expensive of the two. So, I continue to support the Derby Daily Reporter. Thank you.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. Well, I guess just to follow up a bit on Commissioner McGinn’s comments. I agree with her, in the fact that we have seen the quality, I think, of particularly the small community newspapers, improve dramatically over the past seven or eight years. And I think we’ve got some quality publications out there and I was just looking at the fact that I think it might be appropriate to move some of that business around and I know that statutes don’t require a daily publication. I hear what you’re saying, that evidently is an important fact, as you all make your decision. I think I’m going to continue to think that it’s time to make a change but, if I can count what I hear people saying, that might not be going to happen. So, I guess I’ll wait for a motion then on Item I.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Mr. Chairman, could I possibly ask the Attorney how to make this motion. This one says ‘approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts but their recommendation is for a different paper. So, how do I make that motion?”

Mr. Rich Euson, County Counselor, greeted the Commissioners and said, “I would suggest that you make the motion to approve the Manager’s recommendation.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “All right. And the Manager’s recommendation was the Daily Reporter, is that correct?”

MOTION

Commissioner Sciortino moved to approve the Manager’s recommendation, retaining the Daily Reporter as the official county newspaper.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

Chairman Winters said, “I guess I would inquire of the Manager. I think I can obviously see how this
vote is going to go. Is there a way that we could consider, through Kristi Zukovich’s office or some other office, a way to . . . you know, we continue to think about how we communicate with our citizens. And I think I can probably make a strong point that we need legal publications and you need to make sure that you’re legally right. But is there a way that we could think about utilizing some of these other papers in a informational way, as a . . . you know, we pass an inherently dangerous animal ordinance and instead of publishing the whole ordinance in more than one paper, is there a way that we could utilize, by purchasing some kind of space in some of these other publications, to really communicate with citizens what County government is doing. And legal notices is probably not a good way to do that, on just a communication with citizens effort. Is there any possibility that we could at least have somebody look at that, Mr. Manager?’’

Mr. Buchanan said, “Yes, Mr. Chairman, all the compliments that were given to Marvin earlier about pushing the envelope in the way we think should be given to Darren Muci for pushing the envelope in the way we think about how we advertise and how we spend our dollars for legal ads. It is a need for us to communicate with citizens in any way that we can and legal ads is not the way to do that. That’s . . . it fulfills the requirements. We do have a budget for Communications to examine. To spend some dollars in talking to citizens and through media so that they know . . . inform them what’s occurring. I’m not prepared to have a formal plan to you yet. We are still working on it. This whole process has caused us to examine that, realizing how much some of the smaller publications, what a great circulation they have. We’re missing an opportunity to get the various segments of the County. So, we will examine that and we’ll be back to you sometime in the month of September with a plan to do that.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. We have a Motion on the floor which is to approve the Manager’s recommendation of the daily Derby paper. Commissioner Hancock.”

Commissioner Hancock said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I can pretty much count, too, see how this is going to go today. But I do want to say that this is my third time around on newspaper stuff here in Sedgwick County. And as I’ve been forced to pay attention, because we need a legal publication and I’ve been able to observe the other newspapers who have faithfully bid on this work and put their best effort forth to try to get some of the business in Sedgwick County. And watching that and watching how they’ve improved over the years and become very good publications, small publications, been very impressive.
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“I would echo what Commissioner Winters is trying to do, and that is take a look at some of the business that we give out, that we award, and maybe it’s time that we share some of that business with some of the other publications. We need them and if we’re going to spend our tax dollars out there with newspapers, whether they be daily or weekly, it’s important that we share some of that business with some of those newspapers. Plus, we’ll be hitting a whole new group of clientele that we haven’t touched on before. And that’s important. So, I’d like to emphasize what Commissioner Winters is proposing.

“It’s been an interesting process, this newspaper business. I understand in the legislature sometimes it gets very contentious there also. So, this is nothing new but it is a very difficult decision because I don’t know of any of the individuals who operate these dailies and weeklies, these small papers, and the Eagle as well, they’re all our friends and we know many of them and we’ve dealt with many of them and they’ve helped us in the past get the good news out about the County and I just wish that we could do business with all of them equally. It would be a nice thing to do. They’ve been good for folks to have around in our corner. So, that’s all, Mr. Chairman.”

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you, Commissioner. Commissioner Sciortino.”

Commissioner Sciortino said, “Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to echo what Commissioner Hancock just said and also your statement, too. My reason for supporting the Daily Reporter has nothing to do with my thinking process on these other newspapers and I think this idea of communicating, you know we’ve expanded our Communications Department and I would certainly support anything that we can do in the way of communicating to our citizens and I think that some of these smaller town newspapers would be an excellent medium to use and I would hope that we will be able to come up with a plan to use them. So, just wanted to make sure that I support your position.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, thank you. Again, we have a Motion on the Floor to approve the Daily Reporter as the County’s official legal publication source. I’m going to be voting no on the Motion. I believe we could be serviced by a weekly newspaper in an acceptable fashion. Commissioners, is there any other discussion? Seeing none, call the vote.”
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VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin       Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock      Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn    Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino     Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters     No

Chairman Winters said, “Next item.”

2. REPORT OF THE BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS' JULY 6, 2000
   REGULAR MEETING.

Mr. Muci said, “There are three items for consideration.

(1) FIRE GEAR- FIRE DEPARTMENT
    FUNDING: FIRE DEPARTMENT

"Item one, fire gear for the Fire Department. It was recommended to accept the low complete bid,
meeting specifications, of Danko Emergency Equipment. That amount is $18,720.23.

(2) TOILET TISSUE- DIVISION OF INFORMATION AND OPERATIONS
    FUNDING: DIVISION OF INFORMATION AND OPERATIONS

"Item two, toilet tissue for the Division of Information and Operations. It was recommended to accept
the low bid of Southwest Paper, $26,250.

(3) TRANSPORTATION SERVICES- FLEET MANAGEMENT
    FUNDING: FLEET MANAGEMENT

"And Item three, transportation services for Fleet Management and the Division of Finance. This is an
extension of an agreement with Transportation Consultants. This item was previously presented to you
at the end of 1999. This is for an additional phase of the project. It was recommended to accept that
additional phase with Transportation Consultants for $7,000. Essentially, that’s a modification to an
existing contract. There is a memo outlining the recommendation attached.
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“I’ll be happy to take questions and recommend approval of the Minutes from the Board of Bids and Contracts July 6th meeting.”

Chairman Winters said, “Commissioners, are there questions or comments?”

MOTION

Commissioner Hancock moved to Approve the recommendations of the Board of Bids and Contracts.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye  
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye  
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye  
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye  
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Thank you, Darren. Next item.”

CONSENT AGENDA

J. CONSENT AGENDA.

1. Right-of-Way Agreement.

One Temporary Construction Easement for Sedgwick County Project - Brookhaven Sanitary Sewer - Phase I; Minneha Township. District #1.
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2. Section 8 Housing Assistance Payment Contracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Number</th>
<th>Rent Subsidy</th>
<th>Landlord</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V2049</td>
<td>$156.00</td>
<td>Lawndale Residences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2047</td>
<td>$242.00</td>
<td>Sharp Rentals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The following Section 8 Housing Contracts are being amended to reflect a revised monthly amount due to a change in the income level of the participating client.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Number</th>
<th>Old Amount</th>
<th>New Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V98039</td>
<td>$206.00</td>
<td>$211.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2039</td>
<td>$377.00</td>
<td>$332.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V97059</td>
<td>$449.00</td>
<td>$174.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Agreement with Lender's Service, Inc. to provide on-line access to Sedgwick County's electronic data.

5. Order dated July 5, 2000 to correct tax roll for change of assessment.


8. Budget Adjustment Requests.

Mr. Buchanan said, “You have the Consent Agenda before you and I would recommend that you approve it.”
MOTION

Commissioner Gwin moved to Approve the Consent Agenda as presented.

Commissioner Sciortino seconded the Motion.

There was no discussion on the Motion, the vote was called.

VOTE

Commissioner Betsy Gwin Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters Aye

Chairman Winters said, “Now, we do not need a Fire District Meeting today, do we?”

Mr. Buchanan said, “We do not need a Fire District meeting.”

Chairman Winters said, “All right, and we’ve covered all the Items on the Agenda. Is there other business to come before this Meeting? I think we do need an Executive Session. I think we had schedule that for an hour.”

K. OTHER

MOTION

Commissioner McGinn moved that the Board of County Commissioners recess into Executive Session for 45 minutes to consider consultation with Legal Counsel on matters privileged in the Attorney/Client relationship relating to legal advice in personnel matters of non-elected personnel and that the Board of County Commissioners return from Executive Session no sooner than 11:50 a.m.

Commissioner Gwin seconded the Motion.
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There was no discussion on the Motion. The vote was called.

**VOTE**

Commissioner Betsy Gwin  Aye
Commissioner Bill Hancock  Aye
Commissioner Carolyn McGinn  Aye
Commissioner Ben Sciortino  Aye
Chairman Thomas G. Winters  Aye

Chairman Winters said, "We're in Executive Session."

The Board of Sedgwick County Commissioners recessed into Executive Session at 10:50 a.m. and returned at 12:10 p.m.

Chairman Winters said, “I will call the Meeting back to order. Let the record show that there was no binding action taken while in Executive Session. Is there any other business to come before this Board? Seeing none, this Meeting is adjourned.”

L. **ADJOURNMENT**
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There being no other business to come before the Board, the Meeting was adjourned at 12:13 a.m.
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