Criminal Justice Coordinating Council

NOTES

DATE 12/18/14

ATTENDEES

**Voting Members**
- Judge James Fleetwood
- Judge Jennifer Jones
- Judge John Kisner
- Sheriff Jeff Easter
- Chief Norman Williams
- District Attorney Marc Bennett
- Commissioner Karl Peterjohn
- Commissioner Dave Unruh
- Marilyn Cook
- City Council Member Lavonta Williams
- Sharon Dickgrafe
- Bill Buchanan
- Mark Masterson
- Steve Osburn
- Marv Duncan
- Mike Alumbaugh

**Ex Officio Members and Others in Attendance**
- Judge Jeffery Goering*
- Ann Swegle*
- Tom Struble*
- Jason Scheck*
- Gail Villalovos*
- Col. Richard Powell*
- Jama Mitchell*
- Lt. Daniel East*
- Capt. Scott Heimerman*
- Judge Ben Burgess
- Karen Powell
- Renfeng Ma
- Timothy Rohrig
- Carl Sanchez
- David Miller
- Roger Taylor
- Melinda Wilson
- Mike Crow
- Janice Bradley
* Voting designee

LOCATION
Detention Facility, 2nd Floor Training Room

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION ITEMS</th>
<th>PERSON RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>COMPLETION DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obtain data regarding the category of crimes/arrests</td>
<td>Carli Sanchez</td>
<td>N/A – the jail does not track this data so it would require considerable effort and cooperation from various law enforcement agencies to compile a one-time report with this data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide data regarding funding for the District Attorney's Office and the Public Defender</td>
<td>Marv Duncan / Carli Sanchez</td>
<td>January 22, 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Judge Fleetwood called the meeting to order. A quorum was not initially present, but several voting members arrived late thus a quorum was present before any votes were taken.

1. Approval of Minutes
   a. The minutes from October 23, 2014 were approved.

2. New Business
   a. Criminal Justice System Population Discussion
      i. It was reported that the drop in City of Wichita Drug Court numbers are due to the City implementing a new marijuana diversion program (slide 13).
      ii. It was pointed out that there is an anomaly on slide 3: Average Length of Stay in Booking. After some discussion, a motion was made and approved to remove the data point for the anomaly and provide an explanation at the bottom of the graph.
      iii. Mark Masterson addressed slide 9: Correlation Between Jail Average Daily Population and Pretrial Services Program (PSP). He did not have a conclusive answer regarding the decline in PSP numbers, but suggested that the average length of time in the program has declined from 72-73 days down to 56 days, which can affect the population numbers.
1. Tom Struble stated there has not been a lack of referrals to drug court, but there has been a decline in suitable clients for the program.

2. Mr. Struble reported that the decline in PSP numbers has a greater impact to PSP percentages in slide 9 than the jail percentages. When looking at the PSP numbers in relation to the jail population, it was noted that in 2010 PSP was 17.71% of the jail population; in 2011 PSP was 18.65% of the jail population; and in 2014 PSP was 15% of the jail population. Based on the information, it was agreed that the slide would be tweaked to provide a more equal comparison.

3. Mr. Struble noted that PSP serves both district court clients and municipal court clients, and there is a fairly equal decline across the board.

iv. It was pointed out that there is another anomaly on slide 10: Diversion – Active Caseload.

v. Mike Alumbaugh asked about obtaining a one-time report regarding the category of crimes/arrests, such as drugs versus prostitution. Carli Sanchez stated she would attempt to obtain the information from the adult detention facility, and provide the information to Judge Fleetwood first.

1. Judge Fleetwood cautioned that not all available data is useful for the purpose of the council. He said some data may be more useful for law enforcement and legislative purposes, and it may be outside the responsibilities of the council.

b. Presentation on Juvenile Detention Alternatives
   i. Mr. Masterson gave a brief presentation regarding Juvenile Detention Alternatives. He explained the current programs and reported that a Detention Utilization Committee meets monthly. Mr. Masterson reported there is a Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board that examines state funds, and Wichita State University evaluates programs and advises future practices.
   
   ii. Mr. Masterson pointed out that juvenile arrests for new offenses are declining in Sedgwick County.
   
   iii. Mr. Masterson provided a historical perspective regarding detention services (juvenile detention, juvenile residential, and home-based services), and noted that a spike in 1994 and 1995 can be attributed to gang violence and crack cocaine. When asked about a spike in 2006, Mr. Masterson reported that the opening of the new juvenile detention facility caused the detention numbers to increase, and there was a spike in December 2006.

3. Old Business
   a. None.

4. Other Business
   a. None.

5. Public Comment
   a. Janice Bradley followed up on Mary Dean’s question from October 2014 regarding a comparison of funding between the District Attorney’s Office and the public defender’s office. Data will be provided at the January 2015 meeting.
      i. Marc Bennett clarified that the Public Defender receives state funds and the District Attorney’s Office receives county funds.
      
      ii. Judge Kisner said there is somewhat of an imbalance between the two offices based on the number of cases the public defender has and the complexity of those cases.
      
      iii. Judge Fleetwood reiterated that the council has a specific mission, and he encouraged the group to take their concerns to the appropriate forums. He
explained that the council can address issues such as ensuring public defenders have access to their clients in detention, but said the council only has a very small part in providing input to the legislative platform regarding funding for public defenders.

Adjournment