

SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS DIVISION OF FINANCE PURCHASING DEPARTMENT

525 N. Main, Suite 823 ~ Wichita, KS 67203 Phone: 316 660-7255 Fax: 316 383-7055

www.sedgwickcounty.org/purchasing

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL #13-0021 EMAIL ARCHIVING SOLUTION

ADDENDUM #1

March 22, 2013

The following information is in regard to RFP 13-0021

Questions are in bold, answers are in italics.

- 1. How many Exchange mailboxes would Sedgwick County like to have the proposal include? Initially the county would like to have 50 mailboxes licensed, with the ability to expand the system at a later time.
- 2. Should file archiving be included in the pricing? If so, is there an estimate on how much data will be archived (pricing for file archiving is typically per GB or per TB).

 Licensing should be based on a 'per user' basis.
- 3. Please clarify if it is the County's intention to migrate existing archived data to the new solution. If so, please provide the amount of data to be migrated and the systems currently hosting this data. Additionally, how much storage is anticipated for future growth to coincide with the County's archive and records management retention policies?

 Nothing will be existing. This will be a new implementation. We will provide the backend storage on our current SAN infrastructure.
- 4. What is the County's retention policy for managed data (e.g., 1 year, 10 year, unlimited)? Anywhere from 7 days to unknown.
- 5. Is it the County's intention to support the on-going operations of the system (e.g. maintaining configuration policies, provisioning new users, etc.) or does the County require these services to be provided as part of the contract?

We will support the on-going day to day maintenance but will need access to some sort of 'helpdesk' support.

6. In the RFP Section 11, Minimum Mandatory Requirement #3, the County states that the proposed solution must have the ability to implement a minimum of 50 users and be able to increase to 4,000. For pricing purposes, please provide the quantity of licenses required each year.

Fifty (50)

7. The pricing section indicates an on-premise model only. Given the desired requirements section contains questions about hosted models; can the vendor supplement the proposal with additional or alternative pricing information? (i.e., price both on-premise and hosted options).

The solution required should be on-site. We are not considering a hosted solution at this time.

- 8. SharePoint and other desired functionalities are not included in the pricing form. If pricing is desired, how much SharePoint data or how many users should be used for pricing? Fifty (50) users.
- **9.** Are DoD 5015.2 certified Records Management capabilities a requirement? This capability is not required, but would be desired by the county.
- 10. The requirements indicate a need for crawling email mailboxes to capture data. Can the County confirm that capturing the email journal is not currently a requirement?

 Journaling is not a requirement.
- 11. It is not explicitly clear if the County is only order a archiving solution, or also requires the responder to scope and include implementation/deployment services. Please clarify.

 No implementation or deployment is necessary. We would need year to year support on the product that would cover upgrades as well as phone support, (if needed), during the initial installation.
- 12. Regarding item 11.2, is this in reference to file attachments or archiving of file located on NTFS or SMB shared folders?

Optional but a one to one copy would be acceptable.

Purchasing Agent

- 13. Regarding item 11.13: What type of data does the criterion apply to? *At a minimum, PDF and XML.*
- 14. Regarding item 12.19: Is this in reference to historical data ingestion?

 Both historical and active.

Vendors are responsible for che response form .	cking the web site and	acknowledging any ad	dendums on the proposa
Kimberly Evans			