



**SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS
DIVISION OF FINANCE
PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**

525 N. Main, Suite 823 ~ Wichita, KS 67203

Phone: 316 660-7255 Fax: 316 383-7055

<http://sedgwickcounty.org/finance/purchasing.asp>

**Request for Proposal
#14-0050
Traffic Control Device Inventory and Management System
Addendum #1**

May 23, 2014

The following is to ensure that vendors have complete information prior to submitting a proposal. Here are some clarifications regarding the Traffic Control Device Inventory and Management System proposal.

Questions and/or statements of clarification are in bold font, answers to specific questions are italicized.

1) Task 3 – Can the County provide the locations, pdf map or Shapefile for the bridges? If not, can the County please provide additional centerline miles associated with the 2,550 signs located at county-maintained bridges on township roads?

Answer: These structures are indicated on the County map on the “outlined” (====) roads in the unincorporated areas of the County. These roads are not solid as are the blue or red (paved) or semi-solid as are the dashed (unpaved) County roads.

2) For the evaluation criteria, Item 7, page 4, can the County provide the maximum number of points to be awarded for each of the five (5) criteria listed?

Answer: 10 point maximum per criterion.

3) On page 19, Section 1.2 Field Assessment Computer, the County requests two (2) field units. Are the costs for these units to be included in pricing for Task 1, Lump Sum on page 23?

Answer: Yes, the cost of the two (2) field assessment computers are to be included in Task 1 pricing.

4) Could the County please clarify what it means by “Traffic Control Devices”? Is this terminology meant to refer to traffic signs only or does it include other traffic control devices? If other devices are included, could the County please include a list of those devices and their quantities?

Answer: “Traffic Control Devices” are all signs or types of signs that are contained in subsections 2B, 2C, 2D, 2H, 2I, 2K and 2M of the 2009 edition of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways as published by the USDOT FHWA.

5) On page 20, Task 2, third and fourth open bullets, could the County please define the difference between “Traffic Control Device Conditions” and “Visual Condition Rating”?

Answer: “Traffic Control Device Conditions” refers to the overall appearance of the sign with respect to meeting the installation height, minimum of 2 ft. offset from the edge of the roadway or shoulder, verticality of post both side-to-side and front-to-back, sign color (faded or not), legibility of legend/symbol and any other criteria believed appropriate by the rater to the overall general appearance of the sign and installation.

“Visual Condition Rating” refers more to acceptability of the device retro-reflectivity during nighttime or retroreflectometer evaluation of a particular sign or retro-reflective device.

6) Page 19, Section 1.2 – Field Assessment Computers, in paragraph 1 the County asks for one field assessment computer and in paragraph 4 the County asks for two field assessment computers. Please clarify whether the County desires one, two or three field computers under this project?

Answer: The paragraphs prior to paragraph 4 describe the field assessment computer and they do NOT specify a quantity. We are looking for two (2) computers to be included in Task 1. Optional computers are to be proposed at unit prices as included in the table on page 23.

7) How many total users of the system, field and office, will there be?

Answer: As this will be a web-based system, we will have as many as six users with full access and up to 20 more with read-only access.

8) Is or has the County been working with a preferred vendor in preparation of this RFP and project? If so, who?

Answer: We have reviewed several options and we like the 3M model the best.

9) Has the County spoken with any vendors in preparation for this project? If so, who?

Answer: We have been working on this for about 3 years. 3M is the vendor model we have always come back to as a model of choice.

10) Has the County had any vendor presentations for sign collection or sign management systems or software? If so, who?

Answer: We have viewed the operational 3M system in Norman, OK.

11) Does the County currently use an Asset Management System for work and asset management? If so, what is the system?

Answer: No.

12) If not, are you interested in a software system that can manage more than just signs and supports; a system that provides inventory, work, request and resource management for signs, signals, lighting, markings, pavement and bridges too?

Answer: No. We will be incorporating signals into the system we purchase; we do not own/maintain street lighting; markings are in another system; and bridges are inventoried using a state DOT mandated system.

13) The specifications used for the Traffic Control Device Inventory and Management System software specifications in the RFP are for the 3M software system, and is only provided by 3M and is not available to offer by other data collection vendors who may be interested in responding to this opportunity. Please advise as to how the vendors should interpret this. Does a vendor need to propose the 3M solution?

Answer: Interested vendors can propose any system they wish. We will give consideration to similar systems that meet Sedgwick County's long-term objectives.

14) How much is the established budget for this project?

Answer: The budget is negotiable.

15) Is the County interested in a complete sign inventory for all MUTCD and non-MUTCD County signs or just MUTCD or just Regulatory, Warning and Guide within MUTCD?

Answer: All post-mounted TCDs maintained by Sedgwick County will be included in the inventory. Most, if not all, are MUTCD compliant.

16) Has the County established a preferred sign assessment and/or management method per MUTCD for managing signs long-term? If so, what is that approach? If not, are you leaning one way or another?

Answer: The County will use the expected life method.

Firms interested in submitting a proposal, must respond with complete information and all supplementary materials and **deliver on or before 1:45 p.m. (CDT), Tuesday, June 3, 2014**. Late proposals will not be accepted and will not receive consideration for final award.

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS ADDENDUM ON THE PROPOSAL RESPONSE PAGE.

Joe Thomas, C.P.M.
Purchasing Director