

ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL
(4 ITEMS)

1. SEDGWICK COUNTY ZOO PROJECT - ZOO PARKING LOTS MILL & OVERLAY (R175-E) -- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING -- R175-E ZOO PARKING LOT

(Request sent to 42 vendors)

RFB #17-0049 S/C# 8000107678

Engineer's Estimate: \$873,092.25	Cornejo & Sons, LLC	Flint Hills Materials	APAC - Kansas, Inc., Shears Division	Conspec, Inc. dba Kansas Paving
Sedgwick County Zoo Project-Zoo Parking Lots Mill & Overlay (R175-E)	\$416,519.22	\$396,215.00	\$445,995.65	\$413,838.14
Bid Bond	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Acknowledge Addenda	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
No Bids	HWA Davis Construction & Supply, Inc.	Dondlinger & Sons Construction	L & M Contractors	Nowak Construction
	Unruh Excavating	Bridges, Inc.	B&B Bridge Company, LLC	PPJ Construction, Inc.

On the recommendation of Kristen McGovern, on behalf of Public Works, Linda Kizzire moved to **accept the low responsive bid from Conspec, Inc. dba Kansas Paving in the amount of \$413,838.14.** Talaya Schwartz seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

This improvement project includes approximately 81,000 square yards of milling, asphalt overlays and pavement marking for the Sedgwick County Zoo parking lots and access roads.

Note - Flint Hills Materials was deemed nonresponsive for not submitting the correct Schedule of Pricing sheet that was modified in Addendum 1 which replaced SOP-1 with SOP-1R. SOP-1R included additional work to be quoted that was not on the original Schedule of Pricing sheet.

Questions and Answers

Talaya Schwartz asked: "Do we know why all of the responding bids are so much lower than the engineer's estimate?"

Jim Weber (Public Works) answered: "We try to be conservative on our estimate's because the bids need to come in under the estimate or else we have to start all over again. The other thing that is happening is that the market is ever-changing, so since last year KDOT has cut way back and we are doing estimates ahead but what we are hearing is that KDOT is hardly doing anything. So the contractors are adjusting to the market forces and we're getting good prices. I'd like to put out twice as much, but it's hard to predict when that's going to happen."

Tom Stolz asked: "Jim, expound upon the Flint Hills bid and more specifically why it could not be considered?"

BOCC APPROVAL MAY 10, 2017

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS MAY 4, 2017

Jim Weber answered: "The addendum that went out added that what we are going to do on this job is that they are going to mill off the parking lot. An inch or an inch-and-a-half is going to come off of that parking lot. So we've decided to salvage all of that material and haul it out to the West Yard and it'll be good milling chips that we can use, recycle into something later. The change in the first addendum was to add that line in the specs and schedule of prices to do that work. So what happens is that if you don't use that, you're not bidding all of the specs. They are required to acknowledge that they have received the addendum and so forth. Purchasing opens the bids and all of that has to be verified. In this case, it is not as simple as they didn't acknowledge the addendum --they didn't use the right form, which would indicate they didn't even have it. So their number would be lower because they are not expecting to do all of the work."

Tom Stolz asked: "So the bottom line is if they would do all of that work, it would cost more?"

Jim Weber answered: "Yes, we'd have to add something back to it."