ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL
(5 Items)

1. AB-3 ROCK -- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING -- PUBLIC WORKS
(Request sent to 21 vendors)

RFB #19-0028  S/C Pending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cornejo Materials</th>
<th>Mid-States Materials, LLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ton, AB-3 Rock (Crème Colored), per KDOT Specifications, 2015 Edition, Division 1100, Section 1113</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>$16.50</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Availability</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
<td>Immediately</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of Plant Site</td>
<td>3300 S Hydraulic, Wichita, KS</td>
<td>Grand Summit Quarry 550 RD#1,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date of Availability | 3/12/2019 |
Location of Plant Site | 9423 SW 165th, Augusta, KS |

On the recommendation of Joseph Thomas, on behalf of Public Works, Jennifer Dombaugh moved to accept the overall low bid from South West Butler Quarry for an initial purchase in the amount of $180,000.00 and establish contract pricing for two (2) years with three (3) one (1) year options to renew. Angela Caudillo seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The additional mileage and labor involved in picking up from Mid-States Materials, LLC (Mid-States) would mean additional pick-up cost of $8.55/ton for their bid. Mid-States is 45 miles further to travel in each direction.

AB-3 Rock is used for making the edge of road pavements thus creating a rock shoulder edge. The bid from South West Butler Quarry represents a 9% price increase from the last contract established in 2015.

Angela Caudillo: Is the $7.20 is that then a delivered price or is that the price of fuel calculation?
Joseph Thomas: The county is picking up the materials. This is just the material itself.
Angela Caudillo: There is fuel calculation in that to or is the additional $8.55 the fuel calculation would've been for the other?
Lynn Packer: That's for us. Either way we would pick up.
Angela Caudillo: So they would charge you additional to pick up or is that your calculated fuel and travel expense?
Lynn Packer: That is our cost.
Kevin Stamper: Please go to the podium and state your name for the record.

Lynn Packer: The cost that we have is a pick-up cost from the actual location of the quarry for all cases. South West Butler Quarry being closer than Mid-States, less cost for us to pick up from them. So the overall projected cost of the contract was cheaper with South West.

Angela Caudillo: Okay, thank you.

Richard Powell: Is this product we're buying and with what we're using it for, is this part of the Public Works regular ongoing maintenance program?
Lynn Packer: Yes, we use it primarily for our shoulder, but we use in various fashions on a continual basis for all of our maintenance.

Richard Powell: On the very last line in our supporting information is says that the bid represented here represents a 9% increase over the last contract, which was some 4 years ago. Is that an acceptable figure?

Lynn Packer: Yes, aggregate across the board has increased.

Richard Powell: Do we have any other questions or discussion?

Angela Caudillo: Yes, with one quick question. With the options to renew are those then calculated with a specific increase per year or are they pre-calculated? Is that pre-negotiated?

Joseph Thomas: No, it's not. What we do at each year's renewal, we find out what that cost will be for the year. Generally we have a couple of years to hold it in place. That's why we leave these options in because we might chose not to go back out for bid again.
2. CM-B GRAVEL -- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING -- PUBLIC WORKS
(Request sent to 21 vendors)

RFB #19-0029  S/C Pending

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>EXT. PRICE</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>EXT. PRICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>$4.40</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
<td>$5.75</td>
<td>$28,750.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Location of Plant Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>EXT. PRICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>$4.48</td>
<td>$22,400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qty.</th>
<th>Location of Plant Site</th>
<th>Pickup Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5600 W 53 St., Wichita, KS</td>
<td>3/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>8000 W 77th St., Valley Center, KS</td>
<td>3/18/19, 1,000 tons per week afterwards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the recommendation Joseph Thomas, on behalf of Public Works, Richard Powell moved to accept the low bid from Associated Material & Supply Co., Inc. for an initial purchase in the amount of $22,000.00 and establish contract pricing for two (2) years with three (3) one (1) year options to renew. Jennifer Dombaugh seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

CM-B Gravel is one of many aggregate materials utilized by Public Works. The pricing for this material is the same as the previous contract established in 2015.

Angela Caudillo: On the options to renew those are not predetermined, is that correct?

Joseph Thomas: Correct.
3. 2019 BOND TEKK (R175-J) -- PUBLIC WORKS FUNDING -- R175 PREVENTIVE MX-16+

(Request sent to 46 vendors)

RFB #19-0015  S/C 8000143620

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>APAC - Kansas, Inc., Shears Division</th>
<th>Cornejo &amp; Sons, L.L.C.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 Bond Tekk (R175-J)</td>
<td>$964,820.87</td>
<td>$974,156.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid Bond</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledge Addendum</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No Bid

Bob Bergkamp Construction
Dondlinger & Sons Construction Co., Inc.
L & M Contractors, Inc.
Nowak Construction Co., Inc.
Pearson Construction, LLC
Unruh Excavating
Wildcat Construction Co., Inc.

On the recommendation of Joseph Thomas, on behalf of Public Works, Angela Caudillo moved to accept the low bid from APAC - Kansas, Inc. - Shears Division in the amount of $964,820.87. Richard Powell seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Bond Tekk is a dense-graded BM-1 (bituminous mix) of finer aggregate which creates a 1” overlay for a smoother driving surface. It will be used to fill ruts and revitalize surfaces for approximately 20 lane miles of selected county roads and 1.5 miles of a bike path.

Note:
K.S.A. 68-521 allows acceptance of a bid within 110% of Engineer's Estimate.

Richard Powell: In relation to that final note allowing for acceptance of up to 10% over the Engineer's Estimate. Why would there be a higher then estimated proposal from a vendor over what we give as our own estimated cost factor on this? Is there something that needs to be refined, looked at or does this just happen?

Lynn Packer: On our Engineer's Estimate we're using best information based on current market conditions and past bid prices. For us especially in the construction materials industry, it's very volatile. We do our best reasonable guess to provide an Engineer's Estimate that is within a cost we are willing to pay. It does occasionally happen that we aren't quite over that threshold. Given this being almost a million dollar contract and being within $2,000.00. That is why the State has written their statutes and for us to accept within the 10% because it is all dependent on how busy the contractors are, where they're coming from, who's got work going out, and the time of year that you're letting your contract.
4. MODERNIZE ELEVATORS 6 & 8 AT THE ADULT DETENTION FACILITY -- PROJECT SERVICES FUNDING -- MODERNIZE ELEVATORS at ADF
(Request sent to 15 vendors)

RFB #19-0038  S/C 8000143839

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minnesota Elevator, Inc.</th>
<th>ThyssenKrupp Elevator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days to Substantial Completion</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days to Final Completion</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Calendar Days</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS, Base Bid</td>
<td>$249,418.00</td>
<td>$135,641.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bid Bond</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledge Addendum</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Bid</td>
<td>Interstate Elevator, Inc.</td>
<td>Lins Elevator Service, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schindler Elevator Corporation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the recommendation of Joseph Thomas, on behalf of Project Services, Jennifer Dombaugh moved to accept the low bid from ThyssenKrupp Elevator in the amount of $135,641.00. Angela Caudillo seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

This project involves integrating elevator controls with Stanley Security Equipment along with installation of new fixtures, door equipment, machine room equipment, power equipment, hoist way and machine room wiring for elevators 6 and 8 at the Adult Detention Facility.

Richard Powell: I would ask counsel my usual question that I ask since the Sheriff's Office has an interest from the standpoint that we do run the Adult Detention Facility. Is there a reason for me to recuse myself from any action on this item?

Kevin Stamper: I don't believe you need to recuse yourself.

Richard Powell: Thank you. I notice it did not make mention of any type of ongoing maintenance agreement or contract. Can anyone elaborate on that if there is an answer?

Sandy Anguelov: There is an existing service contract and part of it is on the elevator controls. That is why we had requested that everything integrate with Stanley Equipment because they're the main contract. That's working well. The contractor that will be doing the upgrades on the elevator will also have a contract, but not a service contract. It will be like a warranty for one year.

Richard Powell: Then post warranty will that be integrated in the State contract? Will that go out to bid? Will that just be T&M?
On the recommendation of Josh Lauber, on behalf of Environmental Resources, Richard Powell moved to accept the low proposal from Resource Management Co., Inc. for $119.00 per scaled ton. Jennifer Dombaugh seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A committee consisting of Susan Erlenwein and Cindy Le - Environmental Resources, Jon Medlam - Public Works and Josh Lauber - Purchasing reviewed and evaluated each proposal based on qualifications, cost and previous experience. Resource Management Co., Inc. was agreed upon unanimously.

The County will provide waste tire transportation service for all residents and certain businesses in Sedgwick County. Businesses that collect fees for the disposal of tires will not be eligible to participate.

The collection for government agencies and eligible businesses will be on Thursday, April 18, 2019. The public collection of waste tires will be Friday, April 19, 2019 and Saturday, April 20, 2019.

Note:
The waste tire event held in 2017 lasted three (3) days and collected 101,193 tire units. This 2017 contract was awarded to Resource Management Co., Inc. at $89.00 per scaled ton.

Richard Powell: I noticed in our supporting document that in 2017, I noticed that the cost per scaled ton using the terminology was $89.00. The charge on this bid per scaled ton is $119.00. Could we have some thoughts on why this went up?

Susan Erlenwein: Part of that is because of the insurance requirements we have for the company to cover the county for any liability. That wasn't the case back then, so that increased the cost per ton.

Richard Powell: The insurance requirement back then didn't exist at least to the extent in 2017?

Susan Erlenwein: That is correct.

Richard Powell: Okay. Do we have an anticipated amount of tires?

Susan Erlenwein: We do it by the weight and then we convert it to how many tires based on the weight. Last time in 2017 we had 101,000 brought to us and that's down from previous years. First time we did it, it was over 200,000. This will be the 6th time we've had this Waste Tire event for the public.