



SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS  
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

*Purchasing Section*

525 N. Main, Suite 823 ~ Wichita, KS 67203

Phone: 316 660-7255 Fax: 316 383-7055

<http://sedgwickcounty.org/finance/purchasing.asp>

**ADDENDUM #1**

**RFP #19-0001**

**COMPUTER AIDED DISPATCH (CAD)/RECORDS MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE (RMS)/JAIL  
MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE (JMS)**

June 21, 2019

The following is to ensure that vendors have complete information prior to submitting a proposal. Here are some clarifications regarding the proposal for **Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD)/Records Management Software (RMS)/Jail Management Software (JMS)** for Sedgwick County Emergency Communications and the Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office.

Questions and/or statements of clarification are in **bold** font, and answers to specific questions are *italicized*.

**Statement: Please find attached Revised Scopes of Work for CAD/RMS/JMS below. The CAD scope of work has been updated to remove several interfaces. Please note that the CAD interface list is robust in order to determine which interfaces are available but a vendor does not have to be able to provide every interface to be considered. The RMS-JMS has been revised to remove some CAD requirements that should not have been included. Both documents have the change(s) marked in red with a strikethrough.**

**1. Question: Page 3, Does County required a Web Based System?**

*Answer:*

*CAD - As far as for in-dispatch use; no. CAD Web is currently used to allow access to incident information from remote locations. If another suitable alternative is available it can be used.*

*RMS - No, but will need mobile application*

*JMS - No*

**2. Question: Page 13 Data Conversion - What is the size of the database? What type of database is currently used? Is the expectation to convert both RMS and CAD data?**

*Answer:*

*CAD – Both of these are generous estimates to account for future accumulation of data:*

*CAD data - ~30 GB .dmp file*

*AVL data - ~20 GB .dmp file*

*It is undetermined at this point whether or not we will convert legacy CAD data but we would like to know that it is an option if we decide to do so.*

*RMS/JMS – 79 Gb; SQL 2005 - RMS is Integraph ILEADS, JMS is built in-house database; Yes, we do want conversion of RMS and JMS data.*

*ADAM/JMS (production db size – 22 Gb included in the 79Gb)*

Fileserver items (ADAM):

- Mugs – 12.3 Gb
- Attachments – 1.5 Gb

Fileserver items (ILeads):

- Mugs – 97 Gb
- Attachments – 840 Mb
- LiveScan – 4.31 Gb

**3. Question: Page 4 - SO Metrics chart... Is it possible to get the same kind of metrics table for the Wichita PD and the Fire/EMS?**

*Answer:*

*Sedgwick County Fire Department*

*139 total employees*

*26 pieces of equipment/apparatus tracked in CAD*

*40 MDTs*

*67 CAD desktop workstations*

*Wichita Fire Department*

*450 total employees*

*55 pieces of equipment/apparatus tracked in CAD*

*55 MDTs*

*60 CAD desktop workstations*

*Wichita Police Department*

*850 total employees, civilian and commissioned staff*

*650 approximate sworn officers*

*114,500 approximate cases per year*

*60,000 approximate citations issued per year*

*296 MDTs*

*214 CAD desktop workstations*

*200 approximate marked patrol units tracked in CAD*

*Sedgwick County EMS*

*220 total employees*

*39 pieces of equipment/vehicles tracked in CAD*

*46 MDTs*

*15 CAD desktop workstations*

**4. Question: Appendix B - CAD Scope of Work within Appendix B - CAD Scope of Work - multiple places ask for descriptions of processes. Where, and how, in the Response Format do you want these descriptions provided?**

*Answer: In the columns next to the individual points, please note if the system is fully compliant in column C, if the function would require modification or custom software in column D, or if the function isn't available in the system in column E. Please use column F to include any comments to define the response.*

**5. Question: Security Tab - What "non-public safety agency" are interfaces needed to?**

*Answer: A complete list of required interfaces is listed under the Data Exchange and Integration tab.*

**6. Question: System Record Access Tab # 8 - Browser-style hyperlinks must be supported to allow transfer of display between incident details, related incident details, assigned unit details without requiring new commands. Please clarify the intent of this requirement.**

*Answer: The intent would be to have the ability to click on a cross referenced call in order to display that incident's information or to click on the assigned units and display their roster and history information.*

**7. Question: Tab - Entry of Non-Dispatch Calls: Item 3 It must be possible to open an incident entered in this fashion for subsequent dispatch. Can you please clarify this question? Is this a call that is on the waiting screen coded as a non-dispatch and you want the users to have the ability to change that code and then dispatch or are you wanting to re-open a closed non dispatch call and then dispatch that call?**

*Answer: A non-dispatch call would be a call entered for records that did not have a field unit dispatched on. This is sometimes also referred to as an "advised" call. This includes, but is not limited to, calls that are transferred to an expediter unit where reports are taken over the phone, or a caller is calling 911 for a situation that does not warrant a response; e.g., citizen calling to verify the current time. The function specified here would be similar to re-opening a closed non-dispatch call and then having that call available for dispatch.*

**8. Question: Tab - Duplicate Incident Detection - Can the county please give an example of a non-dispatchable call?**

*Answer: See above.*

**9. Question: Tab - Data Exchange what information is the county currently sending to Niche?**

*Answer: WPD has not officially made the switch yet but will be in the near future. Their current requirements are: Case number, Unit that case number is assigned to, Unit's ID number (who is logged on as that unit).*

**10. Question: Tab - Data Exchange How is the county currently using E-Seek with their CAD?**

*Answer: The current system in use does not support integration with E-Seek and we are unable to utilize it.*

**11. Question: Tab - Data Exchange How is the county currently using Lock View with their CAD?**

*Answer: Lock View is not currently utilized.*

**12. Question: Tab - Data Exchange - Can the county please describe this integration "Arrived to auto turn on?"**

*Answer: If CAD shows an officer dispatched to a call, once they arrive on scene (either determined through GPS coordinates or through an entry in CAD indicating they are on scene) the interface would automatically turn on the Axon camera of that officer.*

**13. Question: Tab - Entry of Non-Dispatch Calls item 3. It must be possible to open an incident entered in this fashion for subsequent dispatch. - Can the county please clarify is this a call that is showing on the waiting call screen as a non-dispatch and now it needs to be changed and dispatched or is there a different example?**

*Answer: This question was answered above.*

**14. Question: Who is the current legacy Vendor?**

*Answer: CAD - Northrup Grumman.  
RMS - Integrgraph/ILEADS  
JMS – Built in-house*

**15. Question: How many different CAD systems are you considering and what is the name of each CAD vendor and locations of each. What is your expectation for using CAD-to-CAD?**

*Answer: We would like to have CAD-to-CAD capability with our neighboring PSAPs, of which there are 5. Caliber Public Safety CAD-NG, located at Harvey County 911 in Newton. Tyler (New World) eCAD at Butler County 911 in El Dorado. Enterpol at Kingman County 911 in Kingman. Enterpol at Sumner County 911 in Wellington. Tyler Technologies at Reno County 911 in Hutchinson.*

**16. Question: Which LEA's are you dispatching for and what FD's are you dispatching for?**

*Answer: Andale Police, Bel Aire Police, Bentley Fire, Bentley Police, Cheney Fire, Cheney Police, Clearwater EMS, Clearwater Fire, Clearwater Police, Colwich Fire, Colwich Police, Derby Fire, Derby Police, Eastborough Police, Garden Plain Police, Goddard Police, Haysville Police, Kechi Police, Maize Police, Mt Hope Fire, Mt Hope Police, Park City Police, Valley Center Fire, Valley Center Police, Viola Fire, Wichita Fire, Wichita Police, Sedgwick County EMS, Sedgwick County Sheriff, Sedgwick County Fire*

**17. Question: Pg 9 of RFP, states "Insurance Requirements that the proof of insurance shall be provided prior to award of the contract" Pg 11, #6 – states "proof of insurance requirement and in bold type following it states that all documents must be included" Please clarify.**

*Answer: Certificate of Insurance (COI) is preferable with submission of vendor proposal. Any proposal that is received by a vendor will not be formally recommended and accepted without proof of insurance at the time of recommendation.*

**18. Question: Please identify how many agencies need each of the interfaces. For example, how many FD's will need CAD data for Firehouse RMS?**

*Answer: See attachment.*

**19. Question: How many FD's use Zetron?**

*Answer: Three FDs use Zetron across 32 stations with 34 receivers.*

**20. Question: On page 14 of the RFP, Training #3 seems to ask for both Train the Trainer and End User training. Please clarify.**

*Answer: This is open to the vendor to provide a suggestion on how they would provide the training described. Item 3 states that the county envisions the use of a "train-the-trainer" approach but that is not the only approach that will be accepted. As long as each end user receives training, the County is open to suggestions or ideas from the vendor on how to accomplish that.*

**21. Question: Is this printing of the actual card with the fingerprints on the card or the blank card for taking ink prints?**

*Answer: Printing of fingerprint images on state issued blank fingerprint card stock.*

**22. Question: Could you provide some examples of the types of events and fees associated?**

*Answer: If an inmate goes to sick call they are automatically charged a copay. If an inmate requests a notary they are automatically charged the fee*

**23. Question: Could you explain what the Doc count sheet and DOC type are?**

*Answer: This has been removed from the requested interfaces, updated in Appendix E – RMS-JMS Scope of Work.*

**24. Question: Is the seal for the officer activity or is this part of a court ordered seal of the record? Could you explain the intent of this requirement?**

*Answer: It is intended to keep the log “confidential” if there is a major incident that we do not want all staff having access to.*

**25. Question: Can you define what ERMA is?**

*Answer: Electronic Medical Record software with current vendor Wellpath.*

**26. Question: Is it conceivable the County will ultimately contract with 1 firm/team’s CAD and another firm/team’s RMS/JMS if the high scoring for each reflects different teams?**

*Answer: Not based off of this RFP. If there is a not a vendor that provides a satisfactory solution to the CAD, RMS, and JMS needs of the County then no vendor will be selected and separate RFPs may be issued for each system to satisfy those needs.*

**27. Question: Top of Page 8 of RFP, indicates scoring calculated by total 5 year cost (impl + 5 yrs of annual support) and section E – contract period & payment terms (page 8) says period of 10 years. Please clarify term and option years.**

*Answer: Please remove the following sentence found on top of page 8, “\*Scoring for cost will be calculated by using the total 5 year cost (total implementation and 5 years of annual support) provided in Attachment C.*

*Please note VII. Proposal Terms, Section E. Contract Period and Payment Terms for Contract Term.*

**28. Question: We understand this to be a software contract and not considered a “construction services contract”? Please clarify the intent of inclusion of this section.**

*Answer: Sedgwick County’s Request for Proposal (RFP) document includes standard legal language unedited to ensure consistency and uniformity. **CONTRACTOR IS PROVIDING CONSTRUCTION SERVICES** requires Builder’s Risk Insurance when providing construction services. This Request for Proposal (RFP) is not related to any construction services requiring Builder’s Risk Insurance.*

**29. Question: Does County have a preference as to on-premise, vendor hosted or cloud hosted?**

*Answer: All options will be entertained. Vendor/cloud hosted is preferable to on premise hosting.*

**30. Question: Please provide a list of the thirty-one agencies SCEC provides dispatch services for? How many first responders are there in total?**

*Answer: Andale Police, Bel Aire Police, Bentley Fire, Bentley Police, Cheney Fire, Cheney Police, Clearwater EMS, Clearwater Fire, Clearwater Police, Colwich Fire, Colwich Police, Derby Fire, Derby Police, Eastborough Police, Garden Plain Police, Goddard Police, Haysville Police, Kechi Police, Maize Police, Mt Hope Fire, Mt Hope Police, Park City Police, Valley Center Fire, Valley Center Police, Viola Fire, Wichita Fire, Wichita Police, Sedgwick County EMS, Sedgwick County Sheriff, Sedgwick County Fire*

**31. Question: Can vendors respond with a solution to a portion of the RFP meaning for only the CAD, RMS+JMS only, or CAD+RMS only?**

*Answer: Not based off of this RFP. We will only be considering RFP responses that provide for a solution to CAD, RMS, and JMS.*

**32. Question: What type of integration is being requested between the new CAD and RapidDeploy? Please provide details for the RapidDeploy product and version that the integration is for.**

*Answer: We don't have details on RapidDeploy yet to answer those questions. The State of Kansas, through the 911 Coordinating Council, is planning on utilizing RapidDeploy as their mapping solution for those on the statewide hosted phone solution, of which Sedgwick County is a part of. Sedgwick County would like to leverage that technology and would prefer it to be integrated with our CAD system in a useable way. At this point we are not familiar enough with the technology, or even when/if it would be available, to answer specifics but we are interested in learning which CAD vendors have worked with RapidDeploy and what interfaces are available for that system.*

**33. Question: When is the projected go-live date? Does the County prefer a phased approach for each system or a big bang launch?**

*Answer: We would like to be live by the end of the first quarter in 2021 with all systems. We are not interested in a phased approach.*

**34. Question: What are the data conversion requirements for the CAD, RMS, and JMS?**

*Answer: The County is requesting that the vendor provide historical practices for converting data from other CAD, RMS, or JMS systems to the systems they provide. What format does that data need to be in, how much data can be input, etc.*

**35. Question: Is there a pricing form the County prefers vendors use when submitting a response?**

*Answer: No*

**36. Question: Which integrations are mandatory?**

*Answer: Rather than specifying which integrations are mandatory, we would prefer vendors speak to which integrations are available or could be made available with their solution. Sedgwick County understands that vendors will not have interfaces, or integrations, available for all items listed.*

**37. Question: How many users at the department need direct data access?**

*Answer: It is unclear what this question is asking or referring to.*

**38. Question: How many users at the department require access to create analytic dashboards (such as trend analysis, compstat reports, etc.) within Mark43?**

*Answer: CAD – Approximately 15 users*

*RMS/JMS – Approximately 100 users*

*The County would request that this be permissions based in regard to how much data the user has access to.*

**39. Question: How many users at the department require the ability to view the analytic dashboards?**

*Answer: CAD – Approximately 25 users*

*RMS/JMS – Approximately 250-300 users*

*The County would request that this be permissions based in regard to how much data the user has access to.*

**40. Question: How are traffic collision reports entered and submitted currently?**

*Answer: The Sheriff's Office currently uses a program written by the Kansas Highway Patrol, called KLER. KLER utilizes html files that are completed by the Deputy and submitted electronically to Records who then sends them on, electronically, to the State.*

**41. Question: Is the agency currently reporting traffic crashes electronically?**

*Answer: Yes.*

**42. Question: What is the total number of first responders? (Across all disciplines for which the PSAP dispatches.)**

*Answer: There are 3,388 public safety users on the CAD system currently.*

**43. Question: How many users require the ability to inquiry KCJIS/NLETS?**

*Answer: CAD – There are currently 1,422 KCJIS users on our system with the ability to inquiry.*

*RMS/JMS – Between 200 and 250 users*

**44. Question: How many workstations require the ability to do entries to KCJIS/NLETS?**

*Answer:*

*CAD – There are no workstations that require the ability to do entries through KCJIS.*

*RMS – The Sheriff's Office requests 83 workstations, or terminals, to have the ability to enter data into KCJIS through the*

*RMS software.*

*JMS – Detention Facility has 8 workstations*

**45. Question: What specific state, local, and NCIC databases need to be queried?**

*Answer: CAD – Inquiries should query the KCJIS database, the WPD Niche RMS, and the Sheriff's Office RMS.*

*RMS – NCIC, Odyssey, Kansas Department of Motor Vehicles, GIS, KCJIS*

*JMS – NCIC, Odyssey, Kansas Department of Motor Vehicles*

**46. Question: What other paging systems (besides Zetron) is the agency using?**

*Answer: Additional paging is done through CAD, via SMTP/SMS service.*

**47. Question: Is the current CAD integrated with any paging system(s)? If so, could you list which ones?**

*Answer: All paging is done through Zetron, via CAD.*

**48. Question: Are any paging system integrations currently 2-way integrations?**

*Answer: No.*

**49. Question: Are the desired paging system integration(s) all 2-way integrations?**

*Answer: No, but the possibility would be considered.*

**50. Question: Is IAMResponding currently integrated with the CAD?**

*Answer: No, this is not a current integration.*

**51. Question: Which way is the integration for IAMResponding? (Is IAMResponding sending information to CAD or CAD sending information to IAMResponding)**

*Answer: Both, it would be a two way integration. More can be found out at their website, <https://www.iamresponding.com/v3/Pages/Default.aspx>.*

**52. Question: What fire station alerting system(s) is used?**

*Answer: Zetron Fire Station Alerting.*

**53. Question: What web business intelligence vendor is the department using?**

*Answer: SAP Business Objects.*

**54. Question: What type of database is the web business intelligence using?**

*Answer: Business Warehouse and Universe.*

**55. Question: Would the department be open to replacing the web business intelligence with Mark43?**

*Answer: No.*

**56. Question: What service(s) is Axon providing for which an integration is requested?**

*Answer: Axon is a camera system used by law enforcement entities. The request is for an integration that, when CAD shows an officer dispatched to a call and they arrive on scene, either determined through GPS coordinates or an entry in CAD indicating they are on scene, the interface would automatically turn on the Axon camera for that officer.*

**57. Question: What service(s) is LexisNexis providing for which an integration is requested?**

*Answer: The interface requested would allow for CAD data, i.e. call type, location, officers dispatched, etc. to be displayed in LexisNexis. This is done through an XML transfer.*

**58. Question: What is smartsheets used for? What is the desired smartsheet integration?**

*Answer: This has been removed from the requested interfaces, updated in Appendix B – CAD scope of work.*

**59. Question: What is the desired integration with TrackEMS?**

*Answer: This has been removed from the requested interfaces, updated in Appendix B – CAD scope of work.*

**60. Question: Is there already an integration with FirstWatch in CAD?**

*Answer: Yes, there is a current interface between CAD and FirstWatch.*

**61. Question: Is ProQA already integrated with FirstWatch?**

*Answer: Yes, it is.*

**62. Question: What is the desired integration with Webic? Is there a place where we can get more information on Webic?**

*Answer: This was a typographical error and has been corrected on the Appendix B, CAD Scope of Work spreadsheet.*

**63. Question: Would Star Chase send Mark43 GPS data or grant Mark43 SQL database access to pull GPS data?**

*Answer: That question would have to be presented to StarChase for response.*

**64. Question: What is the desired integration with Gentec Camera Systems?**

*Answer: The system integrates with License Plate Readers, Shot Spotter, Building and System Access controls, and Camera systems. The desired integration would be for CAD to pick up alarms and/or notifications through the Gentec system.*

**65. Question: Is there a GPS feed from Gentec Camera System that can be push the camera location to the CAD?**

*Answer: We are not sure, that would be a question that Gentec would have to answer.*

**66. Question: What is the desired integration with Location Camera? Is it currently integrated with the CAD?**

*Answer: No, it does not currently interface. The goal would be to have CAD correlate calls for service with identified camera locations and either pull that camera into view for the dispatcher or simply provide the dispatcher an alert that there is a camera in that vicinity that could be used to gather more information.*

**67. Question: Is there a GPS feed from Location Camera that can be push the camera location to the CAD?**

*Answer: We are not certain but don't believe so.*

**68. Question: What is the desired integration for Niche Reporting? Is the department planning on using their RMS?**

*Answer: The desire is to be able to query their databases from CAD and to push data from CAD to the Niche system. The Niche system will be used by the Wichita Police Department.*

**69. Question: What is the desired integration with Lock View? Is it currently integrated with the CAD?**

*Answer: No, it is not currently integrated. The desire is to create a dual authentication for paramedics when accessing certain medication. The Lock View device would verify, through the interface, that the user was logged on to CAD before allowing them access to the medication.*

**70. Question: Is MARVLIS currently integrated with CAD?**

*Answer: Yes, but it is a one-way integration with CAD pushing data to MARVLIS but not pulling data from MARVLIS. A two-way integration is requested.*

**71. Question: Do you want MARVLIS to overlay on the CAD map or to be able to display next to, and at the same time as the CAD map?**

*Answer: No, the request is not to have MARVLIS overlay on the CAD map. A side-by-side display would be an agreeable option.*

**72. Question: Is the agency currently using PulsePoint?**

*Answer: No but the County plans on having PulsePoint integrated with their current system within the next year.*

**73. Question: What is the software/vendor used for the train signal system?**

*Answer: There is no software or vendor identified. The request is to see if any CAD vendors currently interface with any railroad/train signaling systems in order to reroute emergency personnel due to road blockages.*

**74. Question: Is the train signal system capable of sending train information to the CAD or would they grant Mark43 SQL database access to pull train information?**

*Answer: N/A*

**75. Question: What is the desired integration with e-Justice and the CAD?**

*Answer: The desire is to be able to query their databases from CAD, for example looking for records associated to a suspect name or vehicle tag.*

**76. Question: Is the department using ASAP to PSAP right now?**

*Answer: No, the department is not currently using ASAP to PSAP but it is an integration that is strongly requested.*

**77. Question: Is the department using WebI right now?**

*Answer: Yes.*

**78. Question: Are any of the WebI-created widgets external facing or just internal?**

*Answer: Internal.*

**79. Question: Is Emergency Reporting the Fire RMS that is and will continue to be used?**

*Answer: Emergency Reporting is an RMS solution that several fire departments have expressed interest in using. It is not currently in use.*

**80. Question: Is there another CAD that is currently integrated? Or another CAD system that the department wants to be integrated with for the CAD to CAD integration? If so, what vendor?**

*Answer: We would like to have CAD-to-CAD capability with our neighboring PSAPs, of which there are 5. Caliber Public Safety CAD-NG, located at Harvey County 911 in Newton. Tyler (New World) eCAD at Butler County 911 in El Dorado. Enterpol at Kingman County 911 in Kingman. Enterpol at Sumner County 911 in Wellington. Tyler Technologies at Reno County 911 in Hutchinson.*

**81. Question: The RFP states that the "Judicial Book must have ability to function as independent CAD dispatcher from inside courthouse." What is the use case for the court to need a CAD dispatcher? Would this be on the same instance as the CAD in the PSAP or a totally separate instance?**

*Answer: This has been deleted from Appendix E – RMS-JMS Scope of work. It is covered through the CAD portion of the RFP.*

**82. Question: Has the agency integrated with KIBRS before?**

*Answer: No*

**83. Question: Has the agency integrated with Justware before?**

*Answer: No*

**84. Question: Are both court systems currently in use? (TylerTech's Odyssey and Justware)?**

*Answer: Justware and Fullcourt currently. Fullcourt is being replaced with Odyssey.*

**85. Question: Are both court systems planned to be in use at go live?**

*Answer: No, but that project is managed by the State.*

**86. Question: The RFP requires Identix, CrossMatch, Printrak, Sagem Morpho, Cogent and ID Networks integrations to be supported. Does the agency know which LiveScan vendor will be used at go live?**

*Answer: Crossmatch*

**87. Question: Does the agency expect there to be more than one vendor in use and therefore more than one LiveScan integration?**

*Answer: No*

**88. Question: Is the agency using Offender Watch?**

*Answer: Yes*

**89. Question: Has the agency integrated with Offender Watch before?**

*Answer: No*

**90. Question: Is there a list of current queries for VINE?**

*Answer: No*

**91. Question: Is the agency currently using IA Pro and Blue Team?**

*Answer: Yes, both*

**92. Question: What GIS software is being used/what is the integration requested for “GIS”?**

*Answer: Esri is the current GIS software. The integration is with Esri geodatabases and/or web services. The integration allows for updates to CAD mapping and addressing.*

**93. Question: What is the desired integration with INK?**

*Answer: With the implementation of Odyssey, INK will be discontinued.*

**94. Question: How are Farm Cards handled today?**

*Answer: Farm cards are sent to us via Laserfiche from the Wichita Police Department. Detention Corporals receive notification via email that cards have been sent. The cards are opened and printed from file path [\\Scsoftp\farmcards\\$](#). Once printed they are moved to the completed folder.*

**95. Question: Is there a system used for Farm Cards? If so, what vendor?**

*Answer: See previous response*

**96. Question: What information is desired to pull over from “mug legacy information”? Is this an integration or migration? Where/in what system is this information currently residing?**

*Answer: Yes, we prefer integrated to include: name, race, sex, ethnicity, date of birth, height, weight, hair color, eye color, build, complexion, agency mug number.*

**97. Question: What mugshot system is currently in use?**

*Answer: It is part of the in-house built JMS (ADAM)*

**98. Question: Is there currently an integration with the mugshot system?**

*Answer: Only with the type of camera*

**99. Question: Is there software or accounting system currently in place for “Sheriff billing to outside agencies?” If so, what? If not, is there going to be one or is the JMS to provide this capability?**

*Answer: Currently our JMS creates a report that is emailed out monthly to municipalities for payment. Users have the ability to change the fee rate via GUI.*

**100. Question: Is the desired integration for Telestaff/Kronos on the RMS or JMS? What is the desired integration workflow for Telestaff/Kronos?**

*Answer: This has been removed from the requested interfaces, updated in Appendix E – RMS-JMS Scope of Work.*

**101. Question: Is the NICS firearms database query capability not included in the NCIC databases integration?**

*Answer: Yes, it is in the NCIC database*

**102. Question: Is the Regional Forensics Science Center Database currently integrated with the property and evidence solution? RMS? JMS?**

*Answer: No*

**103. Question: Are vendors allow to query CLEAR directly?**

*Answer: This has been removed from the requested interfaces, updated in Appendix E – RMS-JMS Scope of Work.*

**104. Question: Is the department currently using ERMA?**

*Answer: Yes*

**105. Question: What sort of information is stored in ERMA?**

*Answer: Used by current medical vendor (Wellpath) for inmate medical records.*

**106. Question: What type of alerts/information would be pushed or pulled into the JMS?**

*Answer: Active wants/warrants, registered offenders, change of status of domestic violence arrestees, gang affiliation, agency defined previous history flags, court dates/times, probation/parole arrestees, civil process*

**107. Question: What type of database is ERMA?**

*Answer: SQL*

**108. Question: How would the vendor access the ERMA database?**

*Answer: Through medical vendor Wellpath*

**109. Question: What type of database is the legacy JMS (i.e. MS SQL Server, Oracle, etc.)?**

*Answer: MS SQL*

**110. Question: What type of database is the legacy CAD (i.e. MS SQL Server, Oracle, etc.)?**

*Answer: Oracle.*

**111. Question: Is there documentation on the legacy system data models for the RMS, JMS, and CAD (Data Dictionary, ERD...)?**

*Answer: CAD – We will see if we can obtain documentation that would be helpful to the selected vendor.*

*RMS/JMS – No*

**112. Question: Could you send us samples of all forms that are desired for auto-fill? (For example, in the Case Management requirements it states, “Ability to auto fill multiple forms, ie. Case clearances, LOP sheets, Warrant sheets, ext...”)**

*Answer: Person data, vehicle data, property data, evidence data. The Sheriff’s Office is interested in having the forms currently in use replaced and would like to see options available from responding vendors.*

**113. Question: Appendix E – RMS-JMS Scope of Work, JMS Incident Tracking tab, item 3 asks for the ability to configure the incident entry wizard, i.e., determine which screens (containing different control sets) appear in the wizard, based on the selected incident category. Could the County please specify what the “different control sets” would be?**

*Answer: Control sets would be lockdowns (24, 48, 72 hours), DD ( 5, 7, 10, 15, 20 , 25 days), and restrictive housing (7 days) and the appropriate rule violations*

**114. Question: Appendix E – RMS-JMS Scope of Work, JMS Inmate Scheduling and Tracking tab, item 7 asks for the ability to enable the automatic scheduling of certain event types when inmates are booked via the booking wizard. Could the County please specify what those “certain event types” would be?**

*Answer: Court dates, first appearance, video court, medical screening, 14 day physicals*

**115. Question: Appendix E – RMS-JMS Scope of Work, JMS Inmate Activity Log tab, item 7 asks for the ability to include the following booking related information in a search: Procedures. Could the County please clarify its meaning of the term “Procedures”?**

*Answer: Procedures is meant for outside medical appointments*

**116. Question: Could the County please provide the following information:**

- a. **Total number of CAD workstations**
  - i. **Current**
  - ii. **Anticipated**
- b. **Total number of RMS workstations**
  - i. **Current**
  - ii. **Anticipated**
- c. **Total number of Police and Sheriff mobile computer terminals (MCTs) deployed**
- d. **Total number of Fire mobile units deployed**
- e. **Total number of Police Department field-based reporting units**
- f. **Total number of Sheriff’s Office field-based reporting units**
- g. **Total number of Police Department squad-room workstations for report completion**
- h. **Total number of Sheriff’s Office squad-room workstations for report completion**
- i. **Total number of Police Department MCTs used for completing accident reports**
- j. **Total number of Sheriff’s Office MCTs used for completing accident reports**

*Answer:*

- a. *Total number of CAD workstations*
  - i. *Current. 53*
  - ii. *Anticipated. 72*
- b. *Total number of RMS workstations*
  - i. *Current. 300*
  - ii. *Anticipated. 300*
- c. *Total number of Police and Sheriff mobile computer terminals (MCTs) deployed. Approximately 525 law enforcement MCTs*
- d. *Total number of Fire mobile units deployed. Approximately 115 fire MCTs (not asked but approximately 50 EMS MCTs)*
- e. *Total number of Police Department field-based reporting units. 200 marked patrol units that would have field-based reporting functionality for the WPD, amongst the other police departments dispatched for another approximate 30 marked patrol units*
- f. *Total number of Sheriff’s Office field-based reporting units. 79 marked patrol units that would have field-based reporting functionality*

- g. *Total number of Police Department squad-room workstations for report completion. Amongst all police departments dispatched for, approximately 235 desktop workstations*
- h. *Total number of Sheriff's Office squad-room workstations for report completion. Approximately 300 desktop workstations*
- i. *Total number of Police Department MCTs used for completing accident reports. It is unclear how this differs from the other questions answered.*
- j. *Total number of Sheriff's Office MCTs used for completing accident reports. It is unclear how this differs from the other questions answered.*

Firms interested in submitting a **proposal**, must respond with complete information and **deliver on or before 1:45 pm CDT, July 16, 2019**. Late **proposals** will not be accepted and will not receive consideration for final award.

**“PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS ADDENDUM ON THE PROPOSAL RESPONSE PAGE.”**



---

Josh Lauber  
Purchasing Agent – Buyer

JL/ch