

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JULY 3, 2019

**ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL
(5 Items)**

**1. BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS -- PUBLIC WORKS
FUNDING -- PUBLIC WORKS**

(Request sent to 46 vendors)

RFB #19-0059 S/C #Pending

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Engineer's Estimate: \$1,517,270.00 | King Construction Co., Inc. |
| 823-G-170; Bridge on Hydraulic between 69th & 77th Street North (B482) | \$1,495,163.25 |
| Bid Bond | Yes |
| | Wildcat Construction, Inc. |
| 823-G-170; Bridge on Hydraulic between 69th & 77th Street North (B482) | \$1,612,159.00 |
| Bid Bond | Yes |
| No Bid | B & B Bridge Co., Inc. |
| | Bridge, Inc. |
| | Bob Bergkamp Construction |
| | Dondlinger Construction |
| | L & M Contractors, Inc. |
| | Nowak Construction Co., Inc. |
| | Reece Construction Co., Inc. |
| | Unruh Excavating |

On the recommendation of Josh Lauber, on behalf of Public Works, Richard Powell moved to **accept the low bid from King Construction Co., Inc. in the amount of \$1,495,163.25**. Jennifer Dombaugh seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

This project involves re-decking of bridge on Hydraulic between 69th and 77th St. North. The current structure has a sufficiency rating of 42.5%, below the minimum standard of 50%. Delaying work could eventually result in bridge failure and closure of the road, which currently has traffic counts of 1,292 vehicles per day.

Note:

The approval of this item will be contingent on the approval of a CIP amendment to be considered at the July 10th BoCC meeting - Consent Agenda.

Questions and Answers

Richard Powell: The need to redeck the bridge, is this due to normal wear and tear?

Jim Weber: This is kind of unusual. This bridge is not as old as some others. Nowadays we use epoxy coated steel rebar. This had black rebar. For whatever reasons, the deck itself has deteriorated and cracking. You probably drive it all the time and wouldn't notice it. It's really only in the deck. In some place we do deck repairs where we might take off the top layer of the deck and replace. Everything you drive on is coming off of this bridge and would be down to the piers and pier caps and would replace everything above that. Based on what we think the total replacement cost would be, this is about \$900,000.00 cheaper than tearing everything down to the ground and starting over again. It's a significant savings and replaces most of the deck and should bring this bridge rating back up. It should be almost a new bridge.

Richard Powell: Can you explain to me what sufficiency rating is, how it's obtained or what the measuring stick is?

Jim Weber: There is a federal bridge inspection program that states to make sure bridges 20' in length get an inspection every other year and there's a book like this that tells you how to do that with a whole bunch of numerical calculations. This rating is from 0 to 100. 100 is brand new and 0s don't survive. To get federal money to do rehab work on a bridge has to be below 80. To get federal funding to do a replacement has to be below 50. This is where the 50 standard comes in. It would be eligible for federal replacement it's a pretty important thing to do. Being below 50 doesn't mean you are in danger that it has to be shut down. It means it's something you should be looking at. This one is 42 and it's primarily problems in the deck itself.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JULY 3, 2019

**2. ON-CALL ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES -- FACILITIES PROJECT SERVICES
FUNDING -- VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS**

(Request sent to 102 vendors)

RFP #18-0061 Contract

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Title of Staff Position and Hourly Rate | Hanney & Associates Architects | Krehbiel Architecture | LK Architecture |
| Project Architect | \$65.00 | \$65.00 | \$100.00 |
| Project Manager | \$60.00 | \$85.00 | \$110.00 |
| Interior Design | \$50.00 | \$55.00 | \$90.00 |
| Landscape Design | \$75.00 | \$75.00 | \$105.00 |
| Electrical Engineer | \$100.00 | \$85.00 | \$100.00 |
| Mechanical Engineer | \$60.00 | \$85.00 | \$100.00 |
| Structural Engineer | \$85.00 | \$110.00 | \$95.00 |
| Civil Engineer | \$145.00 | \$90.00 | \$90.00 |
| Title of Staff Position and Hourly Rate | Schaefer Johnson Cox Frey Architecture | GLMV Architecture, Inc. | Spangenberg Phillips Tice Architecture |
| Project Architect | \$100.00 | \$135.00 | \$100.00 |
| Project Manager | \$120.00 | \$145.00 | \$110.00 |
| Interior Design | \$80.00 | \$105.00 | \$95.00 |
| Landscape Design | \$80.00 | \$105.00 | \$85.00 |
| Electrical Engineer | \$100.00 | \$119.00 | \$100.00 |
| Mechanical Engineer | \$105.00 | \$148.00 | \$100.00 |
| Structural Engineer | \$110.00 | \$110.00 | \$110.00 |
| Civil Engineer | \$90.00 | \$130.00 | \$120.00 |
| No Bids | Alloy Architecture | Cathcart Architects, LLC | Clarkitecture |
| | Evans Building Co., Inc. | Folger & Associates, Inc. | Graycon Building Group, Inc. |
| | HMN Architects | Hoefer Wysocki Architecture | Incite Design Studio, LLC |
| | Leo A. Daly | Randal Steiner Architect | Roth Environmental Consultants, Inc. |
| | SFS Architecture | Shelden Architecture, Inc. | The Law Company, Inc. |
| | Wildcat Construction Co., Inc. | Wohler Custom Woods, LLC | |

On the recommendation of Josh Lauber, on behalf of Facilities Project Services, Tim Kaufman moved to **accept the proposals from Hanney & Associates Architects, Schaefer Johnson Cox Frey Architecture, and Spangenberg Phillips Tice, LLC dba Spangenberg Phillips Tice Architecture and establish contracted hourly rates as identified above for a period of three (3) years with two (2) one (1) year options to renew.**

Linda Kizzire seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

An evaluation committee comprised of Tania Cole - Assistant County Manager; Valerie Kaster - Project Services Manager; Kortney Capello - Assistant Director - MABCD; and Joe Thomas - Purchasing reviewed the proposals and unanimously agreed to the selection of Hanney & Associates Architects, Schaefer Johnson Cox Frey Architecture, and Spangenberg Phillips Tice Architecture for award. Recommendation considerations included qualifications, experience, depth of staff, previous county or other municipal projects and various cost factors. The three (3) firms selected are small and large in their depth of staff, vary in experience both with the county and in the private sector, and provided competitive hourly rates. All firms have worked on projects with the county before in a successful manner.

Services acquired under this contract will include cost estimating, preparation of schematic drawings, bidding assistance, and other typical architectural and engineering services for various county projects including Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) upgrades, interior remodel, space planning, etc. Three (3) firms have been recommended for contract award to allow flexibility for the Project Manager to consider experience, availability, cost, and depth of staff for various projects.

For projects with a construction budget projected at greater than \$50,000.00 but less than \$350,000.00, each firm will submit a quote. Projects greater than \$350,000.00 may be bid through the traditional process.

Questions and Answers

Angela Caudillo: It does look like Krehbiel Architecture had fairly competitive prices as well. Can you speak to why they were not chosen?

Valerie Kaster: We looked at the general criteria we have every time we do an on-call evaluation for proposals and while the costs came into the equation to what we were looking at, we also wanted to look at the product we were getting and the reliability. We've had much better luck with these firms and other experiences have been a little bit better in that regard.

Tim Kaufman: This last sentence talks about projects greater than \$350,000.00 may be bid through the traditional process. That bid would not be limited to just these three on-call?

Valerie Kaster: That's correct. It would go out for open bids.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JULY 3, 2019

**3. MRO SUPPLIES CATALOG -- FACILITIES MAINTENANCE
FUNDING -- VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS**

(JGP University of California Purchasing Agreement # 2018.000208)

#19-2029 Contract

| | Fastenal Company |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Category | Discount |
| Abrasives | 25% |
| Adhesives, Sealants, & Tape | 25% |
| Cutting Tools & Metalworking | 25% |
| Electrical | 30% |
| Electronics & Batteries | 25% |
| -Batteries | 30% |
| Fasteners | 57% |
| Fleet & Automotive | 25% |
| HVAC & Refrigeration | 30% |
| -Filters | 35% |
| Hardware | 25% |
| Hydraulics | 25% |
| Janitorial & Cleaning | 25% |
| Lighting | 30% |
| Lubricants, Coolants & Fluids | 25% |
| Machinery | 25% |
| Material Handling, Lifting & Rigging | 25% |
| Motors | 30% |
| Office & Breakroom Supplies | 25% |
| Outdoor Products & Equipment | 25% |
| Packaging & Shipping Products | 25% |
| Paint & Painting Supplies | 25% |
| Plumbing | 30% |
| Pneumatics | 25% |
| Power Transmission | 25% |
| Pumps | 25% |
| Raw Materials | 25% |
| Safety | 30% |
| Security | 30% |
| Test & Measurement | 25% |
| Tools & Equipment | 25% |
| Welding | 25% |

On the recommendation of Jack Regehr, on behalf of Facilities Maintenance, Angela Caudillo moved to **accept the University of California Purchasing Agreement #2018.000208 with Fastenal Company for Maintenance, Repair, and Operations (MRO) Supplies and Related Services.** The initial term of the Agreement is good through June 30, 2023. Jennifer Dombaugh seconded the motion. The motion passed

unanimously.

Under this contract, both Facilities Maintenance and other county departments will be encouraged to purchase maintenance supplies, equipment, and replacement parts from a single contract, thus decreasing administrative burden, ensuring competitive pricing, and creating economies of scale. Historical purchases included motors, lighting, hand tools, shelving, safety, and raw materials.

Vendor has hundreds of thousands of items, and provides competitive brands, prices and materials. Vendor provides supply catalogs, has warehouses in Kansas, and does not have minimum order requirements.

The contract was competitively bid with responses from vendors such as W.W. Grainger, Inc., Home Depot, McMaster-Carr and Ferguson.

Notes:

Contract (400 pages):

<S:\Buyers\ALL or MULTI DEPARTMENTS\19-2029 MRO Supplies\University of California-Fastenal Official Signed Contract 2018.000208.pdf>

Contract Website:

<https://www.omniapartners.com/publicsector/contract/supplier-contracts/fastenal>

Questions and Answers

Richard Powell: Is this available to all the county departments? Will this be posted as a part of PPS?

Jack Regehr: It will be a punch-out catalog in PPS.

Linda Kizzire: I noticed they do not have a minimum ordering requirement but are we going to be required to pay the shipping costs on these items?

Jack Regehr: I believe shipping is required.

Linda Kizzire: On some of these larger items, the shipping could be pretty significant. I know Grainger has a location here in Wichita and Home Depot does to. I don't know if that would be something the departments would be able to check to find out what freight would be and still be able to get the better price locally by the time you add freight in.

Josh Lauber: Jack, is this a vendor we are currently using?

Jack Regehr: Yes it is.

Tim Kaufman: Is there a warehouse here in town?

Jack Regehr: Yes there is.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JULY 3, 2019

**4. FOOD STAPLES FOR JUVENILE DETENTION FACILITY -- DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
FUNDING -- DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

(Request sent to 20 vendors)

RFP #19-0002 Contract

| | | | |
|--------------|---|---|------------------------|
| | Emporia Wholesale Coffee dba EVCO Wholesale Food Corp. | SGC Foodservice | |
| Food Staples | Pricing based on fixed fee rate of \$1.92 per case or \$0.10 per pound on all catch weight items | Pricing based on fixed fee rate of \$2.00 - \$2.50 per case | |
| No Bid | Bob Barker Company | Premier Food Service | Trinity Services Group |

On the recommendation of Britt Rosencutter, on behalf of the Department of Corrections, Jennifer Dombaugh move to **accept the best proposal from Emporia Wholesale Coffee dba EVCO Wholesale Food Corp. and establish contract pricing for two (2) years with three (3) one (1) year options to renew.** Angela Caudillo seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A committee consisting of Steve Stonehouse, Jessica Warrender, and Stephanie Lane - Corrections; and Britt Rosencutter - Purchasing evaluated all proposal responses based on the criteria set forth in the RFP. The committee agreed unanimously that EVCO Wholesale Food Corp. offered the best proposal to the county based on cost and services offered.

Cost evaluations were based on historical usages for 111 items.

Notes:

Fixed fee rates are based on the actual product cost plus a fixed dollar fee per specified unit. This method allows a distributor to change the cost of the product based on market fluctuations but locks in a fixed fee for markup that will remain the same for the duration of the contract.

In the food industry, “catch weight” refers to the exact weight of a food item. It’s used for pricing food items that vary in sizes such as meats, blocks of cheeses, fruits, and vegetables, and are typically sold in bulk.

Questions and Answers

Tim Kaufman: Who is the current vendor?

Britt Rosencutter: US Foods. They neglected to get a bid in on time to participate in this.

Tim Kaufman: They were notified?

Britt Rosencutter: Yes. They had plenty of notification. I believe he said it had just slipped his mind.

Richard Powell: Are these for meal preparation or are they commissary items bought by the residents?

Stephanie Lane: The majority of that is for food preparation. There is minimal items as canteen for programming. I would say 80% is food preparation.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JULY 3, 2019

**5. 2019 DODGE DURANGO PURSUIT VEHICLE -- FLEET MANAGEMENT/SHERIFF'S OFFICE
FUNDING -- 2019 DODGE DURANGO**

(Joint Governmental Purchase - State of Kansas #45698)

19-2047 S/C #8000149434

| | Qty. | Davis Moore Inc. | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | Unit Price | Total Price |
| 2019 Dodge Durango Pursuit Vehicles | 2 Each | \$30,889.68 | \$61,779.36 |
| Skid Plate Group | 2 Each | \$263.00 | \$526.00 |
| Blind Spot Cross Path Detection | 2 Each | \$352.00 | \$704.00 |
| Grand Total | | | \$63,009.36 |

On the recommendation of Britt Rosencutter, on behalf of Fleet Management and the Sheriff's Office, Angela Caudillo moved to **utilize the State of Kansas Contract #45698 and accept the pricing from Davis Moore Inc. in the amount of \$63,009.36.** Jennifer Dombaugh seconded the motion with Richard Powell abstaining from the vote. The motion passed unanimously.

These requested unmarked vehicles will be assigned to the Sheriff's Office detectives working within the Investigation Division/Law Enforcement Bureau. All detectives have the responsibility of individual case follow-up and spend a great deal of time in vehicles going to and from interviews of crime victims, witnesses, handling of evidence, traveling to the Regional Forensic Science Center, other outside agencies, various judicial facilities, etc. In addition, all detectives are on scheduled rotational after hours call duty and are also subject to after hour call backs for significant crimes or other major events that may occur or require a large law enforcement presence.

Notes:

| | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
| Vehicle 3210 | Chevrolet | Impala | 2GIWB55K169361868 | 19.1 | Mileage - 139,820 |
| Vehicle 3423 | Ford | Freestar | 2B3KA43R18H333260 | 19.7 | Mileage - 149,700 |

Vehicles were competitively bid and awarded by the State of Kansas to Davis Moore Inc. and we are utilizing this awarded contract as a Joint Governmental Purchase competitive exception per Charter 68 - Section IV (C).

These are replacement vehicles. Surplus will be sold on Purple Wave.

Questions and Answers

Richard Powell: Being involved in the review process personally even though I may not be an end user of the actual vehicle. Simply under fair disclosure I had talked to the government representative at Davis Moore and also other sales people at Chrysler dealerships trying to get clarification on points of specifications and such, would I need to recuse myself on this?

Mike Fessinger: My recommendation is that you abstain.

Linda Kizzire: I didn't see a delivery date on this proposal.

Penny Poland: We expect delivery within 30 to 60 days.

Tim Kaufman: It looks like this is purchased off the state contract. Would you talk about the difference between using the state contract and doing an individual bid for these cars?

Penny Poland: As in regard to a price difference?

Tim Kaufman: The reason you are using the state contract this time.

Penny Poland: For flexibility of delivery times.

Britt Rosencutter: More expediency of putting it through the process.