

District 2 CAB Haysville Community
Library

October 12, 2021 Meeting Minutes

Board Members in Attendance: Martha Pint, Dr. John Burke, Ashlie Brockleman, Manual Lopez, Dr. William Hammers, Tabitha Lehman, Dr. Susan Norton, Nikki Helms, Spencer Dean

1. Call to Order:

a. Nikki Helms called the meeting to order at 6:03 pm

2. Roll Call Vote:

a. Spencer Dean, Susan Norton, Nikki Helms, Martha Pint, Tabitha Lehman, Bill Hammers, John Burke, Ashlie Brockleman, Manual Lopez

3. Approval of Minutes:

a. Tabitha Lehman moved to approve the August and September meeting minutes. Martha pint seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

4. Public Agenda:

a. No public comment

5. New Business:

- a. Redistricting Presentation—Michael Fessinger, Assistant County Counselor
 - i. The staff goal was to assist the Board of County Commissioners in a way that was beyond reproach
 - ii. The restricting process is conducted every 10 years
 - 1. In concert with United States Census
 - iii. There are two primary factors
 - 1. Population equity
 - a. The goal is +/- 1% difference between districts

2. Compactness

a. This means territorial integrity

iv. Population equity is legally more important than compactness

- 1. District 3 is geographically very large
 - a. But, it's population is equitable in relation to the other, more compact districts
- v. Another key factor, voting precincts cannot be split
- vi. In 2010 Sedgwick County staff presented 8 to 10 proposed redistricting plans to the Board of County Commissioners
 - 1. They picked a plan and passed a resolution establishing the new districts
- vii. The Board of County Commissioners is looking to have an evening public meeting to ensure that all residents can address the redistricting issue
- viii. Population growth was surprising
 - 1. Districts 1,3, and 5 all grew at roughly the same amount
 - 2. District 2 grew more slowly

- 3. District 4 grew very rapidly
- ix. Population growth (rate)
 - 1. Districts 1,3, and 5 grew approximately 5%
 - 2. District 4 grew over 9%
 - 3. District 2 grew approximately 2%
- x. Population
 - 1. District 1 (105,567)
 - 2. District 2 (99,804)
 - 3. District 3 (104,504)
 - 4. District 4 (109,341)
 - 5. District 5 (104,908)
- xi. It appears likely that District 2 will expand into District 4
 - 1. Approximately 4,800 residents will shift districts
 - 2. This would ensure population equity in the five commissioner districts
- xii. Cities within Sedgwick County can be split between districts
 - 1. Some CAB members felt that splitting cities would not be ideal
- xiii. Redistricting can be a polarizing issue
 - 1. Sedgwick County staff worked to ensure that the process occurred in a "sterile environment" (free from political influence)
- xiv. Some of the plans would create radically different maps
 - 1. No commissioners would find themselves outside of their district with these plans
- xv. There will be a public hearing and eventually, sometime before the end of the year, the Board of County Commissioners will pass a resolution approving a redistricting plan
- xvi. A CAB member asked Michael Fessinger about his preference for the process of passing the resolution
 - 1. Fessinger answered that he would like to have eight resolutions prepared, one for each Redistricting Plan, and have the Board of County Commissioners pick a Redistricting Plan and vote on that resolution.

b. Redistricting Discussion amongst CAB member-Commissioner Lopez

- i. Commissioner Lopez wanted to find out the CAB's view on the various plans
- ii. Plan 06 seemed to have the most support
- iii. Susan Norton asked if future growth was considered
 - 1. Tabitha answered that it was a factor
- iv. A discussion on each Plan was held

1. Plan 01 (not viable)

- 2. Plan 02 (viable)
- 3. Plan 03 (viable)
- 4. Plan 04 (viable)

5. Plan 05 (not viable)

- 6. Plan 06 (viable)
- 7. Plan 07 (viable)
- 8. Plan 08 (viable)
- c. Discussion of BOCC Staff Meeting-Commissioner Lopez

- Commissioner Lopez mentioned that the BOCC is considering issuing a request for proposals (RFP) to explore the possibility of converting the elected row offices to appointed positions
 - 1. Row Offices include:
 - a. County Clerk
 - b. County Treasurer
 - c. Register of Deeds
- ii. There are a number of duplicated roles between these three offices
 - 1. There is the possibility of streamlining operations and improving efficiency within the County by making these appointed positions
- iii. Johnson County has already made this change through its Charter Commission
 - 1. Increased efficiencies
 - 2. Reduction in redundancy
- iv. Sedgwick County is experiencing difficulty in several departments
 - 1. Sedgwick County Health Department
 - 2. COMCARE
- v. Increases in efficiency in the row offices could help these vital departments
- vi. The Board of County Commissioners has the authority to conduct this audit of the row offices
- vii. Additionally, the Board of County Commissioners has the legal authority to make these changes
 - 1. The changes must be on the ballot during a gubernatorial election
 - a. The next Kansas gubernatorial election is in 2022
 - 2. This is a statutory requirement from the State of Kansas
- viii. The County Treasurer, the Deputy County Clerk, and the Register of Deeds all presented to the Board of County Commissioners and stated their preference for a one-year long delay
 - 1. This would enable to conduct internal reviews of duplication
 - 2. Additionally, several of the row office holders pointed out that they were in the process of planning to move office space
- ix. Several CAB members noted that a one-year delay would effectively be a fiveyear delay due to the requirement that the change take place during a gubernatorial election
- x. Dr. William Hammers supported the RFP to explore inefficiencies and duplications in these offices
 - He noted that many voters are unaware of the roles performed by these elected officials
 - 2. Transitioning these roles to an appointed position would ensure that only well-qualified people would be employed in these vital roles
- 6. Other
 - a. N/A
- 7. Adjournment
 - a. The meeting was adjourned at 7:21