

ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL
September 8, 2022
(4 Items)

1. AV EQUIPMENT RELOCATION PROJECT -- 18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
FUNDING -- BACKLOG - COURTS ARPA

(Single Source)

#22-2062 SC #8000206211

| | McClelland Sound, Inc. | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Quantity | Unit | Price |
| 1. Middle Atlantic UPSS500R Power Supply | 10 | Each | \$27,325.00 |
| 2. Middle Atlantic PD915R Power Distribution | 10 | Each | |
| 3. Lowell LWR2419 Rack | 10 | Each | |
| 4. Lowell LFD24FV Door | 10 | Each | |
| 5. 15' Extension cord | 4 | Each | |
| 6. Shielded Category 6 | 1 | Lot | |
| 7. Category 6 | 1 | Lot | |
| 8. Speaker Wire | 1 | Lot | |
| 9. Microphone Wire | 1 | Lot | |
| 10. Project Installation Labor | 320 | \$100.00 Per Hour | \$32,000.00 |
| Grand Total | | | \$59,325.00 |

On the recommendation of Theresa Rhodes, on behalf of 18th Judicial District Court, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to **accept the quote from McClelland Sound, Inc. in the amount of \$59,325.00**. Brandi Baily seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

McClelland Sound, Inc. will move the AV equipment located in courtrooms 6-1, 6-2, 7-1, 7-2, 8-1, 8-2, 9-1, 9-2, 10-1, and 10-2 to a new rack located in the breakroom. This includes installation of a new wall mounted equipment rack to house the network switch, all video distribution equipment, UPS, and audio amplifier. The audio DSP (Digital Signal Processor) and video windowing units will remain in the existing location. New wire will be pulled to each endpoint for all relocated equipment.

Notes:

McClelland Sound, Inc. installed the original equipment and carry the current warranty.

Funding will be provided by the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA).

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: I see this is a single source but how is moving AV equipment a single source?

Joe Thomas: We termed this single source meaning there were other options but the reason we chose McClelland Sound is they put the equipment in initially and they would be the ones to move it to protect the warranty of the work they had done.

Brandi Baily: \$100.00 an hour is kind of expensive. So there really isn't anyone else that can come move the equipment for us?

Joe Thomas: We didn't do a check to see because I don't know the complexity of this equipment. Maybe we could do that later and see what they would charge per hour. I'm assuming this is their particular technician hourly rate. I'll just say we could check and see if there are comparable rates but we would still use McClelland Sound.

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: Is it in their contract if anyone else moved their equipment it would void the warranty?

Joe Thomas: It's not explicitly said but if someone else came in and moved it and it broke, McClelland could say I'm not coming to fix it, you had someone else move it, it was our equipment, we loaded it first and why didn't you call us, we were the ones....we don't do that. We make sure and stay with the ones who provided the warranty to begin with.

Brandi Baily: That makes sense. It's just the price per hour and looking at the estimated 320 hours. That's just a lot of money to move equipment.

Joe Thomas: There's quite a few courtrooms here too. I'm not sure how much equipment there is to move. I don't have an answer to that. Is there someone on the line that wants to provide additional information?

Kenneth Kerr: Philip Davolt is on his way down and he's the one who would provide that answer.

Russell Leeds: Is this part of the overall remodel project we have going on in the courthouse?

Kenneth Kerr: Yes. Currently two (2) years ago we placed AV equipment beneath the judges' desk area due to heating issues and noise issues. We're having to move that equipment away from that area into the adjacent jury room or break room. So this is in addition to any remodeling that's going on.

Russell Leeds: So for protection of the optimal equipment, it needs to be relocated.

Kenneth Kerr: Exactly, and some issues we're having right now due to its placement, there's not adequate air flow to keep the equipment cool enough. So the equipment will overheat and we've had those issues. We're going to move it outside of the judges' area where IT can check the equipment without disturbing the court, as well as if they do have problems we can address the issues without interrupting the judges' area.

Russell Leeds: This is physically moving the equipment, pulling new lines, reconnecting everything, and electrical work. It's more involved than just moving some hardware, setting it on a table, and plugging it in.

Kenneth Kerr: That is correct.

Brandi Baily: We show there is an estimated 320 hours at \$100.00 per hour. Is that appropriate pricing? Is that reasonable? To me, it seems that is really expensive. With his explanation of why it's being moved, that makes sense. I'm still questioning the \$100.00 per hour.

Philip Davolt: That's within line. I know we did electrical work at \$85.00 an hour just to put in an outlet. So this being audio/video technicians seems to be reasonable.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS SEPTEMBER 8, 2022

**2. CONDUCTIVE ENERGY WEAPONS AND CAMERAS -- SHERIFF'S OFFICE
FUNDING -- SHERIFF'S OFFICE**

(Sole Source)

#22-2060 Contract

| | Axon Enterprises, Inc. |
|--|-------------------------------|
| | Annual Cost |
| Service from September 2022 thru August 2023 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2023 thru August 2024 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2024 thru August 2025 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2025 thru August 2026 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2026 thru August 2027 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2027 thru August 2028 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2028 thru August 2029 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2029 thru August 2030 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2030 thru August 2031 | \$517,685.64 |
| Service from September 2031 thru August 2032 | \$517,685.64 |
| 10 Years Estimated Total | \$5,176,856.89 |

On the recommendation of Britt Rosencutter, on behalf of the Sheriff's Office, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to **accept the quote from Axon Enterprises, Inc. at the rates listed above for a contract period of 10 years for an estimated total cost of \$5,176,856.89.** Brandi Baily seconded the motion. The motion passed 3-4 with Tim Myers abstaining.

The Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office currently utilizes Axon body worn cameras in the Detention Facility and the Patrol Division. The Sheriff's Office also deploys the Axon Taser, Conductive Energy Weapon (CEW) System as a less lethal control option throughout the agency.

The current body worn cameras are being replaced with the Axon Flex 2 system and deployment is being expanded across the Sheriff's Office. The Sheriff's Office currently uses the Fleet 2 system for the in-car camera system, and will be upgrading to the Fleet 3 in-car camera system to continue with the collection of evidence from the in-car camera system, eliminate connectivity issues, and any time spent troubleshooting.

The Sheriff's Office conducted a test and evaluation of the Axon Flex 2 body worn cameras and the Fleet video system from January 22, 2018 to February 28, 2018. After testing, the Axon system was deployed agency wide and has been operating as expected and as demonstrated during testing. The integration of the Fleet system with the Axon Flex body worn cameras through the Evidence.com data management console allows for the synchronization and simultaneous viewing of up to four (4) separate videos to aid in the prosecution of criminal defendants, internal reviews, and assessment for training or policy changes.

The Axon Fleet 3, Flex 2, and Taser CEW all have remote camera activation capability. This allows for the activation of the camera to coincide with the activation of a Taser CEW, the activation of vehicles emergency equipment (lights, siren), and the activation of another camera system nearby. It can be expanded to include the sidearm signaling system, which activates the camera when a deputy's side arm is drawn from a signal device equipped holster.

The use of a single platform video collection system is needed to ensure the Sheriff's Office can adequately document, retain, and release video as required by policy and applicable statute. With the recent changes in statute authorizing the viewing of video by certain parties within the required timelines, the use of the Evidence.com data management system gives the Sheriff's Office a secure environment to respond to legal requests for video review. The built-in redaction and editing features also allow for the public release of video, when deemed appropriate, while protecting the identities of bystanders, juveniles, and unrelated parties.

The Axon Fleet 3 and Flex 2 is the only system reviewed by the Sheriff's Office which has all of the aforementioned functionality and meets the needs of the organization to provide the level of public safety consistent with the values of the Sheriff's Office and the responsibilities this office has to the public.

Notes:

The 10 year agreement includes the Axon Technology Assurance Plan (TAP). The TAP provides warranty coverage for existing Axon cameras for two and a half (2-1/2) years, after which they are replaced by the newest model at no cost. Additional on-site spares and up-to-date access to Evidence.com management features are also included.

Annual price includes the following hardware and software:

Full VR Taser 7 add-on user access
Evidence.com Unlimited Axon Device Storage
CEW Full Service with Instructor Training
Basic License Bundle
Body Worn Camera TAP 10 Year Bundle
Flex 2 Camera Bundle
Flex 2 Multi-Bay Dock Bundle
2021 - Officer Safety Plan 7 10 Year
Pro License Bundle
Interview - Software - Client Maintenance
Interview - Software - Streaming Server Maintenance
Unlimited Interview Room Cloud Storage
Basic License Bundle
Body Worn Camera Multi-Bay Dock TAP 10 Year Bundle
2021 Taser 7 Certification 10 Year Bundle
Cradlepoint, Netcloud, Renewal, 5YR
Fleet 3, ALPR License, 1 Camera
Fleet 3 Basic Renewal

Axon Enterprise, Inc. was formerly known as TASER International, Inc.

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: So this is a collection system that includes the body cams that are worn, that includes all the cameras?

Greg Pollock: Yes. This contract does include the body cameras and also includes an option where the body cameras are replaced with current technology every 2.5 years for the life of the contract.

Brandi Baily: That was my next question. What about replacement? It does include replacement cost?

Greg Pollock: Yes.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS SEPTEMBER 8, 2022

3. SEDGWICK COUNTY RADIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM -- EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE (EMS)

FUNDING -- EMS OPERATIONS

(Single Source)

#22-2063 S/C #8000206365

| | | Motorola Solutions, Inc. | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Item Number | Description | Quantity | Item Price | Item Total |
| M25URS9PW1BN | APX6500 Enhanced 7/800 MHZ Mobile | 25 | \$4,349.12 | \$108,728.00 |
| M25URS9PW1BN | APX6500 Enhanced 7/800 MHZ Mobile | 30 | \$4,918.64 | \$147,559.20 |
| TOTAL | | | | \$256,287.20 |

On the recommendation of Tammy Culley, on behalf of Emergency Medical Service (EMS), Tim Myers moved to **accept the quote from Motorola Solutions, Inc. in the amount of \$256,287.20**. Anna Meyerhoff-Cole seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

This Motorola Solutions, Inc. proposal is from a single source vendor that will provide quality mobile radio equipment and service for EMS. The original contract (34915) proposal that was presented to Sedgwick County by Motorola expired before EMS could take advantage of the cost savings. This was an error on behalf of EMS to not produce a purchase order prior to the expiration of the contract.

The original contract provided 65 Motorola mobile radios for all EMS ambulances, operational support vehicles, and base radios for EMS Posts. The radios that are being replaced have reached their end of life and are no longer supported by the manufacturer. The price from Motorola to replace these 65 radios would have cost \$256,930.65. Since EMS missed the opportunity to purchase these 65 mobile radios with the incentive cost savings, there was a need to modify the original contract quantity.

In working with Motorola First Wireless, EMS was able to modify the quantity of mobile radios from 65 to 55, to put the pricing at \$256,287.20. This will be a cost savings of \$643.45 from the original contract. EMS will be able to eliminate several of its mobile/base radio stations and some of its dual vehicle radios to keep the quantity of 55 radios. Since moving to the digital radio system, the mobile/base radio stations are not as critical as they once were. EMS can also substitute handheld portable radios in the place of the base/mobile radios if needed. These portable radios have proven to be an effective substitution of some of our mobile radios.

Questions and Answers

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: For the portable radios, if we are needing to use some of them to replace the additional base radios that we are not purchasing, do we have enough of the portable radios to do so or are we going to have to make an additional purchase later?

Paul Gibson: We have a substantial amount of portable radios in our inventory we can substitute a portable in place of a mobile radio. Our future plan is if we do need mobile radios we will incorporate that cost into our new ambulance purchases and we can absorb that into our new ambulance purchases. With the quantity of 55 radios, that will more than meet the needs of our service at this time.

Tim Myers: Are there differences in the two (2) different radios that are listed? I see they have the same description, are there actual differences?

Paul Gibson: Yes. The 30 radios that are listed are actually a dual head radio that we carry in our ambulances. One radio is in the cab of the ambulance and the second head of the radio is in the module (box) of the ambulance. The 25 are just single head radios that we carry in our support vehicles or mobile base stations.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS SEPTEMBER 8, 2022

**4. LAB SERVICES FOR SARS-COV-2 TESTING – HEALTH DEPARTMENT
 FUNDING -- HEALTH DEPARTMENT (AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT - ARPA)
 (Request sent to 10 vendors)**

RFP #22-0043 Contract

| | Wichita State University | Affiliated Medical Services Laboratory, Inc. dba AMS | Alphadera Labs LLC dba Alphadera Labs | BioReference Laboratories |
|--|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| Number of Tests Per Week | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test |
| 400-999 | \$50.00 | \$500.00 | \$75.00 | \$70.00 |
| 1,000-2,499 | \$50.00 | \$500.00 | \$69.50 | \$70.00 |
| 2,500-4,999 | \$50.00 | \$500.00 | \$65.50 | \$70.00 |
| 5,000+ | \$50.00 | \$500.00 | \$62.50 | \$70.00 |
| | CorePath Laboratories, PA | Cytocheck Laboratory, LLC | Dynamic DNA Laboratories dba Dynamic DNA Labs | GenelQ, LLC dba GenelQ |
| Number of Tests Per Week | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test |
| 400-999 | \$100.00 | \$79.00 | \$125.00 | \$79.00 |
| 1,000-2,499 | \$85.00 | \$79.00 | \$125.00 | \$76.00 |
| 2,500-4,999 | \$75.00 | \$79.00 | \$125.00 | \$73.00 |
| 5,000+ | \$70.00 | \$79.00 | \$125.00 | \$69.00 |
| | Innovative Genomics, LLC dba Innovative GX Laboratories | MAWD Pathology Group, PS | MCI Diagnostic Center, LLC | Mirimus |
| Number of Tests Per Week | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test |
| 400-999 | \$35.00 | \$90.19 | \$55.00 | \$150.00 |
| 1,000-2,499 | \$35.00 | \$89.48 | \$55.00 | \$100.00 |
| 2,500-4,999 | \$35.00 | \$89.20 | \$55.00 | \$100.00 |
| 5,000+ | \$35.00 | \$89.10 | \$55.00 | \$100.00 |
| | Patriot Medical Laboratories, LLC dba CIAN Diagnostics | Phamatech, Inc | Premier Medical Inc dba Premier Medical Laboratory Services | Qualitox Laboratories, LLC |
| Number of Tests Per Week | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test |
| 400-999 | \$88.74 | \$55.00 | \$80.00 | \$35.00 |
| 1,000-2,499 | \$87.74 | \$55.00 | \$77.00 | \$35.00 |
| 2,500-4,999 | \$86.74 | \$53.00 | \$75.00 | \$35.00 |
| 5,000+ | \$84.13 | \$50.00 | \$72.00 | \$35.00 |
| Sinochips Kansas LLC dba Sinochips Diagnostics | | | | |
| Number of Tests Per Week | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | Price Per Test | |
| | Option #1 | Option #2 | Option #3 | |
| 400-999 | \$59.88 | \$56.00 | \$55.31 | |
| 1,000-2,499 | \$53.00 | \$53.00 | \$53.00 | |
| 2,500-4,999 | \$50.00 | \$50.00 | \$50.00 | |
| 5,000+ | \$45.00 | \$45.00 | \$45.00 | |
| No Bid | Bioplastics/Cyclertest, Inc. | | Quest Diagnostics | |

On the recommendation of Theresa Rhodes, on behalf of Health Department, Brandi Baily moved to **accept the proposal from Wichita State University at the rates listed above and establish contract pricing for two (2) years with a one (1) year option to renew.** Anna Meyerhoff-Cole seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A review committee comprised of Brad Ashens, Christian Lamielle, Corey Johnson, and Kaylee Hervey - Health Department; Joe Thomas and Theresa Rhodes - Purchasing, evaluated all proposal responses based on criteria set forth in the RFP. The committee unanimously agreed to accept the proposal from Wichita State University.

The Sedgwick County Health Department (SCHD) laboratory can internally process up to 400 respiratory samples for SARS-CoV-2 testing per week. Beyond that, if there is an increased demand for testing, other laboratory services will be needed.

Notes:

This is a proposal and not a bid. Proposals are scored based on criteria set forth in the RFP. There were five (5) components to evaluate:

| Component | Points |
|--|------------|
| A. Responses to Operations Form | 30 |
| B. Ability to meet or exceed scope of work | 25 |
| C. Qualifications and expertise | 25 |
| D. References | 10 |
| E. Pricing | 10 |
| Total Points | 100 |

The Sedgwick County Health Department (SCHD) has contracted with Wichita State University for laboratory services previously.

Funding will be provided by the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA).

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: Our offices can do up to 400, are we exceeding that and how much are we sending out on a weekly basis, to our current vendor Wichita State?

Brad Ashens: I would have to check with Corey, I don't think we are above at this point. This is more anticipation that the testing will go above that current count per week in the foreseeable future.

Corey Johnson: Currently we are well below our capabilities. We are not seeing the need for any testing in the near future. This is to cover us if we get another surge that needs that testing again.

Tim Myers: Corey, we would only use this if we needed to, correct?

Corey Johnson: That is correct. This would be on an as-needed basis.

Russell Leeds: Just for clarification who would benefit from this? Is this testing for the public in general or a particular population of the public? Who would benefit from this testing?

Corey Johnson: The general population.

Russell Leeds: Everyone can go?

Corey Johnson: Yes.

Brandi Baily: Currently, in the county we do saliva tests and send those to Wichita State. Is that the same thing as this or is it something totally different?

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: It is different.

Russell Leeds: How is it different?

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: That is managed through Human Resources and it is using funding from I believe KDHE.

Russell Leeds: What we are doing internally has nothing to do with this contract?

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: Correct.

Russell Leeds: We determined this was the best value selection based on the scoring and the evaluation of the proposals?

Joe Thomas: Yes sir, I would agree. Brad, would you agree? Cory?

Corey Johnson: Oh yes we all agreed.