

ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL

July 31, 2025

(7 Items)

**1. BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS (B516) (REBID) -- PUBLIC WORKS**

**FUNDING -- PUBLIC WORKS**

(Request sent to 62 vendors)

RFB #25-0050 S/C #8000252828

Engineer's Estimate: \$932,258.75	<b>Klaver Construction Co., Inc.</b>	Reece Construction Co., Inc.
802-BB-1188: Diagonal Road Over a Tributary to the Ninescah River (B516)	<b>\$847,503.25</b>	\$1,067,227.41
Bid Bond	Yes	Yes
No Bid	None Submitted	

On the recommendation of Tammy Culley, on behalf of Public Works, Tim Myers moved to **accept the low bid from Klaver Construction Co., Inc. in the amount of \$847,503.25**. Greg Gann seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Sedgwick County CIP project B516 will reconstruct a box bridge culvert under Diagonal Road/Tracy Avenue in Clearwater, KS. In addition to improving hydraulic function by replacing the aging box culvert, this project will provide increased safety by re-aligning Diagonal Road and Tracy Avenue to provide an improved intersection angle. The project will also work closely with the K&O Railroad to coordinate a planned crossing upgrade to the adjacent railroad crossing to minimize road closures to the traveling public.

Klaver Construction Co., Inc. is a trusted contractor and has worked with Sedgwick County on numerous projects in the past.

**Questions and Answers**

Greg Gann: It's apparent that this is going to be a considerable collaboration among a number of entities, railroad, there's a river to be concerned. Is there any expectation on timeline and concern of delays due to demands of construction?

Lynn Packer: Anytime that there are railroads involved, there's again, anticipation that something could go wrong. However, we have collaborated with the railroad on this one. They were at a preconstruction meeting and they have some work that will actually occur. They're going to take advantage of the fact that we're going to be out there and they're going to do some additional work that they need to have done while we're out there. So we believe with that collaboration, there shouldn't be very much in delays at all. In fact, if anything else it is going to prevent future delays, because we're going to be able to do their part and ours all at once and with one (1) closing versus two (2) separate closings.

Greg Gann: Very good.

BOARD OF BIDS AND CONTRACTS JULY 31, 2025

**2. ON-CALL ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES -- VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS**

**FUNDING - VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS**

(Sent to 392 vendors)

RFP #25-0007 Contracts

	Dane Pletcher dba Innovative Groups, LLC	Dubois Consultants, Inc	Galloway & Company, Inc.	Hanney & Associates Architects	Incite Design Studio	JBC Landscape Architects
	Hourly Rates					
1. Project Architect	\$200.00	\$70.00	\$190.00	\$75.00	\$150.00	Incomplete
2. Project Manager	\$155.00	\$175.00	\$190.00	\$90.00	\$175.00	Incomplete
3. Interior Design	\$150.00	\$40.00	\$130.00	\$60.00	\$135.00	Incomplete
4. Landscape Design	\$145.00	\$201.00	\$185.00	\$60.00	n/a	Incomplete
5. Electrical Engineer	\$155.00	\$237.00	\$185.00	\$110.00	n/a	Incomplete
6. Mechanical Engineer	\$155.00	\$237.00	\$200.00	\$110.00	n/a	Incomplete
7. Structural Engineer	\$155.00	\$155.00	\$185.00	\$120.00	n/a	Incomplete
8. Civil Engineer	\$155.00	\$155.00	\$190.00	\$120.00	n/a	Incomplete
	LK Architecture, Inc.	McAfee3 Architecture, Inc.	Schaefer Architecture Inc., dba Schaefer Architecture	Spangenberg Phillips Tice, LLC dba Spangenberg Phillips Tice Architecture and SPT Architecture	TESSERE, Inc.	ASM Engineering Consultants, LLC
	Hourly Rates					
1. Project Architect	\$140.00	\$60.00	\$130.00	\$140.00	\$125.00	Incomplete
2. Project Manager	\$175.00	\$70.00	\$158.00	\$160.00	\$150.00	Incomplete
3. Interior Design	\$100.00	\$45.00	\$110.00	\$120.00	\$105.00	Incomplete
4. Landscape Design	\$180.00	\$130.00	\$165.00	\$115.00	\$110.00	Incomplete
5. Electrical Engineer	\$115.00	\$175.00	\$135.00	\$110.00	\$125.00	Incomplete
6. Mechanical Engineer	\$115.00	\$175.00	\$135.00	\$110.00	\$125.00	Incomplete
7. Structural Engineer	\$175.00	\$139.00	\$165.00	\$175.00	\$135.00	Incomplete
8. Civil Engineer	\$180.00	\$139.00	\$160.00	\$180.00	\$145.00	Incomplete
No Submission	American Control & Engineering Services	Central Consolidated, Inc.	Civil Construction	Clarkitecture LLC	Cybertron	
	Daymark Solutions Inc.	Denzel Pearce & Sons	Draw Architecture +Urban	Express Employment	HFG Architecture	
	Kirkham, Michael & Associates, Inc	Murray & Sons Construction Co., Inc	Netsystems LLC	New Windows for America	Tec Systems Group	
	Temporary Wall Systems	The Law Company	Utility Consultants, Inc.	Wildcat Construction Co., Inc.		

On the recommendation of Tammy Culley, on behalf of various departments, Greg Gann moved to accept the proposals from Hanney & Associates Architects, LK Architecture, Inc., Schaefer Architecture Inc. dba Schaefer Architecture, Spangenberg Phillips Tice, LLC dba Spangenberg Phillips Tice Architecture and SPT Architecture, and TESSERE, Inc. at the rates quoted above for a period of three (3) years with two (2) one (1) year options to renew. Philip Davolt seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A committee comprised of Lorien Arie Showalter - Budget; Sandy Anguelov, Paul Cavanaugh, and Andrew Runk - Project Services; and Lee Barrier - Purchasing evaluated the proposal responses based on the criteria set forth in the RFP. The committee unanimously agreed to accept the top five (5) submissions in order to expand the contract base and offer shoppers more choices for these services.

By adding more vendors to the On-Call list, this will offer shoppers alternatives should scheduling issues arise.

Services acquired under this contract will include cost estimating, preparation of schematic drawings, construction documents, bidding assistance, and other typical architectural and engineering services for various county projects.

Notes:

After conversations with both respondents, ASM Engineering Consultants, LLC and JBC Landscape Architects submissions were deemed incomplete as respondents stated that they misunderstood the scope of work and would not be able to perform the desired services.

This is a proposal not a bid. Proposals are scored based on the criteria set forth in the RFP. There were five (5) components to this RFP:

Component	Points
A. Ability to meet or exceed all Request for Proposal conditions and instructions as outlined herein.	20
B. Competence to perform the specified and mandatory services as reflected by technical training and education, experience in providing required services, and the qualifications and competence of persons who would be assigned to perform the services. Prior work experience, job sizes and history of proven performance	20
C. Capacity to perform the services in the required time as reflected by workload, availability of adequate personnel, equipment, and facilities. The ability to manage projects simultaneously and expeditiously, approach to problem/task resolution, methodology/data gathering techniques and procedures and teamwork	20
D. Past performance with respect to cost control, quality of work, value engineering and ability to meet deadlines. This shall be determined in part by a check of references for similar projects and/or services provided for governmental entities or organizations of similar size and scope.	20
E. Proposing the services described herein with the most advantageous and prudent methodology and costs to the county.	20
<b>Total Points</b>	<b>100</b>

### Questions and Answers

Philip Davolt: If a shopper wanted to use these services and this is approved, they can go out directly to them or do they still need to do a bid for these services?

Sandy Anguelov: Yes. They are for various departments to use.

Philip Davolt: I'm just curious if in six (6) months somebody wants to use these, do they still need to do the bid process at that time or can they forgo the bid process?

Sandy Anguelov: It all depends on what the service is that you're using them for. Project Services likes to be involved just because we have the most interaction with all these architectural firms. So your best bet would maybe to contact Project Services but you don't necessarily have to go out to bid if they're on-call.

Philip Davolt: That's what I was asking.

Sandy Anguelov: Okay.

Tania Cole: Sandy, I have a couple questions. I know that sometimes we will go out to bid on design services for our CIP projects. Can you kind of talk about some of the work that these architects do? That's one question. The second question is, can you kind of speak to how these firms, how you might spread the work around to some of these firms, how you may maybe choose which firm to do what work? Can we kind of talk about that a little bit?

Sandy Anguelov: Okay, so the first question, I already forgot it.

Tania Cole: We go out for bid on some of the design work for CIP projects?

Sandy Anguelov: Correct.

Tania Cole: So can you just kind of talk about when we're using these on-call firms, what work we're asking these firms to do?

Sandy Anguelov: Okay. Actually we don't know from a given day what type of work we have because we have things that come down the pipeline to us and we're notified we need to do this. So we do have some emergencies but typically we will use them for CIP projects, so we know in advance what that scope of work is, and it isn't necessarily picking and choosing as it is we look at their qualifications and their experience. If we worked with them on a certain type of building, we might choose them over another. The other thing is scheduling. If we've contacted people and they decide, we can't get to it until six (6) months and if that isn't in our timeline then we move on to the next one. Was the other question about bidding?

Tania Cole: Yeah, it was. It's more that I know on some occasions we roll out for a CIP project, put it out for design, and so it's a matter of who's on-call and then when do we go out for design or we would go out for bid on certain projects for architectural work.

Sandy Anguelov: Okay. We did have a budget cap the last cycle, which was, I think 2021, I'm not sure about that. You guys might need to clarify. This supersedes all of that, this contract. So we no longer have a cap. So I think it's the discretion of the department or whoever's using their services.

Joe Thomas: That's correct. It was split before based on project size and it has been decided that is no longer is necessary.

Tim Myers: Sandy, the main reason we're doing this is just to add additional vendors, correct? That way we don't have to go through the whole process every time if we have like a scheduling conflict with our current vendor?

Sandy Anguelov: Correct. We've got quite a few projects out there right now and availability is real important to us.

### 3. FORENSIC SOFTWARE PLATFORM -- SHERIFF'S OFFICE

#### FUNDING -- EMCU-SHERIFF

(Sole Source)

#25-2075 S/C #8000252558

	<b>Magnet Forensics, LLC</b>
Graykey License - Premier Bundle	<b>\$57,110.00</b>

On the recommendation of Tammy Culley, on behalf of the Sheriff's Office, Philip Davolt moved to **accept the quote from Magnet Forensics, LLC in the amount of \$57,110.00 for a period of one (1) year beginning August 15, 2025 and continuing through August 14, 2026.** Greg Gann seconded the motion. The motion passed 4 to 5 with Tim Myers abstaining from the vote.

This software is the only software currently on the market with the ability to unlock Apple and Android devices for forensic processing and investigations. Kansas Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) has used this software on hundreds of cases. The ability to access information that was previously unavailable is crucial to law enforcement investigations. Prior to receiving this software, it would cost \$2,400.00 per phone with no guarantee of the results.

Notes:

2023 - 2024 Spend: \$50,595.00

2024 - 2025 Spend: \$54,390.00

#### Questions and Answers

Tania Cole: Is this new software that we're engaging in? Was there no software used before for this type of work? As I'm trying to understand the last sentence where it says \$2,400.00 per phone with no guarantee of the results.

Joe Thomas: I think this has been used before. It's a continuation and maybe this was prior a couple years back where they had the \$2,400.00 per phone with some other system. I don't know what that system was. Colonel Allen is that correct?

Keith Allen: Yeah. We've had this for multiple years now. One thing to add is this is also an expense we bill the City of Wichita and they reimburse us for half of the expense. Perhaps there was a time where we didn't have this. I mean, it was several years ago, probably 10 plus I think. I would have to verify the current cost. I'm not sure that \$2,400.00 is the previous cost or current but this is the software utilized. There's two (2) different cell phone softwares. One specializes in IOS and one specializes in the Android, a bit of a crossover but they're both necessary for all of our criminal investigations. This is used through ICAC also by the Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office and the Wichita Police Department.

Philip Davolt: About how many times you use this in a year would you say?

Keith Allen: You know, I think it's referenced in there but it is hundreds. I could find an exact answer for you guys. I don't know that but I would say the same. It's used daily on pretty much every major investigation, especially obviously that Internet Crime Against Children (ICAC) uses it a lot. Almost every single major case in the City of Wichita or the Sheriff's Office it's utilized. It's a daily usage.

Kenly Zehring: Is it typical for them to only give a quote on a yearly basis?

Keith Allen: Yes. That's pretty standard for both Graykey and Cellebrite. I believe we have reached out in the past to try and get a longer contract because we know it is something we are going to do but they only offer annual is my understanding. Again, I could confirm that but that's the information I previously received.

#### 4. PRODUCTS AND SUPPLIES FOR CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES -- SHERIFF'S OFFICE

##### FUNDING -- DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

(Joint Government Purchase State of South Carolina NASPO Valuepoint Agreement #4400036123, State of Kansas Contract #57179)

#25-2071 Contract

	<b>Bob Barker Company, Inc.</b>
Products and Supplies for Correctional Facilities	<b>See Bob Barker's price list</b>

On the recommendation of Tammy Culley, on behalf of the Sheriff's Office, Greg Gann moved to **utilize the State of South Carolina NASPO Valuepoint Agreement #4400036123, State of Kansas Contract #57179 good through December 25, 2025 with four (4) one (1) year options to renew.** Kenly Zehring seconded the motion. The motion passed 4 to 5 with Tim Myers abstaining from the vote.

This contract is for inmate clothing, linens, and toiletries.

Bob Barker Company, Inc. has been a vendor for the county since 2014.

##### Notes:

Spend under the current contract:

2021 - \$138,802.76

2022 - \$59,074.18

2023 - \$90,969.24

2024 - \$104,152.08

##### Questions and Answers

Greg Gann: I look back over the past four (4) years and I see a considerable difference in spends under the contract; \$138,802.76 for 2021 drops to \$59,0074.18 then up to \$90,969.24 and then last year \$104,152.08. Is that normal for it to have that much fluctuation?

Joe Thomas: I would say depending on what's being used and how often something's being replaced. Its kind of hard to determine. It depends on how many different SKUs. There's probably hundreds of SKUs or units.

Tammy Culley: There's hundreds of different items. I think the original contract we had like 185 different items and it depends on how often, like soap, toothpaste, deodorant, kind of important things, you know, they come in case packs of 1,000 or 144 so it just depends on the usage and how many inmates you have.

Joe Thomas: It also depends on unit pricing.

Tammy Culley: There's some things included in here, like mattresses. You're not always going to have to replace those but those are a larger ticket item than just the daily routine.

Greg Gann: So a wide variety of consumables?

Tammy Culley: That's correct.

## 5. PRODUCTS AND SUPPLIES FOR CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES -- SHERIFF'S OFFICE

### FUNDING -- DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

(Joint Government Purchase County of Sacramento OMNIA Partners Contract WA00046785)

#25-2072 Contract

	<b>Victory Supply LLC</b>
Products and Supplies for Correctional Facilities	<b>See Victory Supply LLC's price list</b>

On the recommendation of Tammy Culley, on behalf of the Sheriff's Office, Philip Davolt moved to **utilize the County of Sacramento OMNIA Partners Contract WA00046785 good through April 3, 2026 with four (4) one (1) year options to renew.** Kenly Zehring seconded the motion. The motion passed 4 to 5 with Tim Myers abstaining from the vote.

This contract is for inmate clothing, linens, and toiletries.

Victory Supply LLC has been a vendor for the county since 2020.

Notes:

Spend under the current contract:

2021 - \$16,137.92

2022 - \$84,571.83

2023 - \$42,152.16

2024 - \$13,898.01

### **Questions and Answers**

Greg Gann: My previous question stands for this item also.

Tammy Culley: Yes, that's correct. I mean yes and obviously this contract you can see the spend has been less but with this contract, there'll be more products offered so it might make it a little more competitive.

Tania Cole: So this is same type of supplies just a different vendor?

Tammy Culley: Yes.



**6. MULTI-FUNCTION DEVICES AND RELATED SOFTWARE, SUPPLIES, SERVICES,  
AND CLOUD SOLUTIONS -- TREASURER'S OFFICE**

**FUNDING -- TREASURER'S OFFICE**

(Joint Government Purchase - Sourcewell Master Agreement #112124)

#25-2066 Contract

	<b>Access Systems</b>
Multi-Function Devices and Related Software, Supplies, Services, and Cloud Solutions	<b>See Lexmark International Inc.'s price list</b>

On the recommendation of Jaimee O'Laughlin, on behalf of the Treasurer's Office, Tim Myers moved to **establish a contract with Access Systems utilizing the Sourcewell Master Agreement #112124 good through January 10, 2029 with three (3) one (1) year options to renew.** Greg Gann seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

There are only two (2) printer models approved by the Department of Revenue for use by the Tag Offices. Access Systems is a value added reseller (VAR) for Lexmark and this contract will allow the department to purchase the required equipment or supplies necessary.

Notes:

The spend in 2024 for toner was \$31,479.00.

**Questions and Answers**

Philip Davolt: Just to confirm this requirement is from the state, right?

Jaimee O'Laughlin: Correct.

**7. CLASS 5 4WD STANDARD CAB LONG BED LUBE/SERVICE TRUCK -- FLEET MANAGEMENT****FUNDING -- 2026 FORD F550**

(Request sent to 99 vendors)

RFB #25-0053 S/C #8000252885

	Allen Samuels CDJR of Hutchinson dba Allen Samuels Chrysler Dodge Jeep Ram of Hutchinson
Description	Cost
Class 5 4WD Standard Cab Long Bed Lube/Service Truck	\$187,755.00
Make, Model & Year	2026 Ram 5500 Regular Cab Chassis 4x4
Order Cutoff Date	August 10, 2025
Delivery Date	90 days for truck to get here, 90 days for upfitter (180 days total)
	<b>Master Tech Truck &amp; Equipment</b>
Description	Cost
Class 5 4WD Standard Cab Long Bed Lube/Service Truck	\$171,480.00
Make, Model & Year	2026 Ford F550 XL 4x4 Reg Cab
Order Cutoff Date	August 21, 2025
Delivery Date	120 days after received purchase order
	One Nation Distribution LLC
Description	Cost
Class 5 4WD Standard Cab Long Bed Lube/Service Truck	\$209,495.00
Make, Model & Year	2024 Ford
Order Cutoff Date	90 Days
Delivery Date	21 Days
	<b>Parks Motors</b>
Description	Cost
Class 5 4WD Standard Cab Long Bed Lube/Service Truck	\$179,234.00
Make, Model & Year	2026 Ram 5500 Reg Cab & Chassis w/Upfit
Order Cutoff Date	n/a
Delivery Date	90 Days for Cab & Chassis & 2 Weeks Upfit
No Bid	Gladstone Dodge Jeep Chrysler

On the recommendation of Britt Rosencutter, on behalf of Fleet Management, Greg Gann moved to **accept the low bid from Master Tech Truck & Equipment in the amount of \$171,480.00**. Tim Myers seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

This truck is used by Fleet Management for job site service of heavy equipment and machinery. Without this service truck, Public Works is forced to trailer the equipment to the Fleet yard for service. This takes the equipment out of service for 1-2 days instead of half a day for onsite service.

The truck is equipped with everything needed for full mobile service.

**Notes:**

Fleet evaluates all vehicles and equipment up for replacement before requesting bids.

<u>Vehicle #</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Make &amp; Model</u>	<u>VIN #</u>	<u>Points</u>	<u>Mileage</u>
9020	1997	Chevrolet Silverado 3500	1GBKC34F1VJ109573	17.9	86,232 - \$40,711.96 has been spent over the life of the truck.

This is a replacement vehicle. Surplus will be sold via auction.

**Questions and Answers**

Tania Cole: Okay, help me understand this sentence. This takes the equipment out of service from one (1) to two (2) days instead of half a day for onsite service.

Beau Bergeron: When they have to trailer the equipment to us, they have to find time to get the trailer open, load it up, bring it to us. So that's about a day. Then we service it and they have to do the same thing to get it back into service. When we go service it onsite, we show up, depending on the machine might take three (3) hours to service it and then it's back into service.

Tania Cole: This is standard specs, nothing out of the ordinary?

Beau Bergeron: Yeah, it's basic truck specs. It's got a reel lube and reel box on it. We do a lot more onsite services than we used to. 28 years ago when this truck was put into service, we didn't do very many things and we didn't have as big of a fleet. We lack a lot of things on it so this will cover everything that we've been lacking and should be good for another 20 years.