ITEMS REQUIRING BOCC APPROVAL August 7, 2025

(4 Items)

1. CHANGE ORDER #1 - PROVIDER NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM -- DEPARTMENT OF AGING AND DISABILITIES

FUNDING -- ADMIN-FINANCE FY'24

(Contract Modification)

#24-2034 Contract

	CaseWorthy, Inc.	
Provider Network Management System	Contract extended through February 1, 2026	

On the recommendation of Joe Thomas, on behalf of the Department of Aging and Disabilities, Jennifer Blasi moved to accept the Change Order with CaseWorthy, Inc. (CaseWorthy) to extend the contract period through February 1, 2026. Anna Meyerhoff-Cole seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The Department of Aging and Disabilities oversees the service system for individuals with Intellectual/Developmental Disabilities (IDD) in Sedgwick County. The agency's mission is to assist people with IDD to receive quality services and achieve greater independence. There are approximately 2,700 individuals in Sedgwick County determined eligible for the IDD system and a total of 41 affiliated service providers.

The Department of Aging and Disabilities is not a direct provider of IDD services but rather is responsible for assessing system eligibility, managing a network of affiliated service providers, and conducting quality assurance functions. CaseWorthy proposed a solution that meets the needs of the Department of Aging and Disabilities for managing the provider network and will interface with CaseWorthy's MediSked Coordinate application which is used for the Department of Aging and Disabilities' electronic health records.

This extension is necessary due to delays in finalizing outstanding build requirements and integration between Coordinate and CaseWorthy. The remaining tasks include the completing of the CaseWorthy build out per the signed requirements, working through any necessary change requests, turning on the integration between Coordinate and CaseWorthy, testing, and approving the build for "go live".

This contract extension will not result in any additional costs and the original licensing fees will remain in effect. The total hours allocated in the contract will remain unchanged.

2. BRIDGE IMPROVEMENTS (B628-4-4625)) -- PUBLIC WORKS

FUNDING -- B520 MAJOR BRIDGE MAINTENANCE

(Request sent to 62 vendors)

RFB #25-0051 S/C #8000253110

Engineer's Estimate: \$82,037.50	Klaver Construction Co., Inc.	L & M Contractors, Inc.	
Bridge Rail Repair on MacArthur, W. of 343rd St (B628-4-4625)	\$84,405.00	\$79,462.50	
Bid Bond	Yes	Yes	
	Mies Construction, Inc.	PbX Corporation	
Bridge Rail Repair on MacArthur, W. of 343rd St (B628-4-4625)	\$65,800.00	\$93,002.40	
Bid Bond	Yes	Yes	
	PSE Contractors, LLC	Reece Construction Co., Inc.	
Bridge Rail Repair on MacArthur, W. of 343rd St (B628-4-4625)	\$83,988.00	\$60,224.35	
Bid Bond	Yes	Yes	

On the recommendation of Lee Barrier, on behalf of Public Works, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to accept the low bid from Reece Construction Co., Inc. in the amount of \$60,224.35. Jennifer Blasi seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The MacArthur Bridge Rail Repair project will repair approximately 75 feet of bridge rail over the North Fork Ninnescah River that was damaged in January 2025 by a tanker truck in icy conditions. Public Works has temporary measures in place but permanent repairs are necessary.

Reece Construction Co., Inc. is a trusted contractor who has successfully worked with Sedgwick County in the past.

3. ELECTRONIC MONITORING -- DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FUNDING -- DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

(Request sent to 36 vendors)

RFP #25-0037 Contract

	BI Incorporated dba B.I. Incorporated	Greenfeather Monitoring, LLC	Securus Monitoring, an Aventiv Company	Sentinel Offender Services, LLC
Description	Cost per Day	Cost per Day	Cost per Day	Cost per Day
1. SCRAM GPS	\$4.40	\$4.95	\$4.75	\$4.92
2. SCRAM CAM (Continuous Alcohol Monitoring) (Transdermal)	\$5.50	\$5.50	Not Offered	\$5.50
3. SCRAM CAM (Download every 3 days)	Not Offered	\$5.15	Not Offered	Not Offered
Remote Breath Alcohol	\$4.95	\$5.00	\$4.90	\$4.50
5. Victim Notification (Paired with SCRAM GPS)	Not Offered	\$1.50	Not Offered	Not Offered
6. SCRAM Touch Point	Not Required	\$2.50	Not Required	Not Required

On the recommendation of Lee Barrier, on behalf of the Department of Corrections, Tim Myers moved to accept the proposal from Greenfeather Monitoring, LLC at the rates listed above for a period of one (1) year with two (2) one (1) year options to renew. Brandi Baily seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

A committee comprised of Beth Hopper, Julee Meslin, and Tom Struble - Department of Corrections; Phuc Boi - COMCARE; and Lee Barrier -Purchasing evaluated the proposal responses based on criteria set forth in the RFP. The committee unanimously agreed to accept the proposal from Greenfeather Monitoring, LLC.

Sedgwick County Department of Corrections (SCDOC) requires a qualified firm to provide a comprehensive electronic monitoring program. Services will include Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment, alcohol monitoring equipment, and 24/7 monitoring. The firm will be responsible for enrollment, installation, troubleshooting, and coordination of scheduling restrictions with SCDOC. The services will be provided to both juvenile and adult clients under the supervision of Department of Corrections when referred by authorized personnel at the established rate and service level.

Greenfeather Monitoring, LLC held the previous contract for the Department of Corrections and the department has been extremely satisfied with the level of service provided for the past three (3) years.

This was a proposal not a bid. Proposals are scored based on criteria set forth in the RFP. There are five (5) components to this RFP:

Component		Points
A. Ability to meet requirements and objectives as outlined in proposal.		20
B. Management and Technical Capability		20
C. Past Performance		20
D. Location of vendor		20
E. Cost		20
	Total Points	100

Notes:

2022 Spend: \$ 77,394.00 2023 Spend: \$127,681.00 2024 Spend: \$129,715.00

Questions and Answers

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: How are these rates compared to the last contract?

Lee Barrier: There was a slight increase. I want to say about 3% maybe, just really slight.

Tania Cole: I don't know. I'm just curious as I'm reading through this description if someone can just explain each description? Some of it is a little bit self explanatory but I don't understand what SCRAM Touch Point is or Victim Notification. Could someone explain that?

Tom Struble: SCRAM is just a brand of monitoring. It's the company that produces the equipment. But as you look at like TAM that's Transdermal Alcohol Monitoring. So that's just a bracelet that's on the ankle that continuously monitors their clients intake of alcohol through perspiration of their skin. Touch Point is basically a cellular service. If you notice only one (1) vendor offers that and it wasn't necessarily something that was requested in the RFP. They just put it in there because it's something they offer. It's basically just the ability to monitor a client through their cell phone. It does digital recognition of the client. They can check in and check out. We don't necessarily use that but they just put it in there I suppose as an option if we would like to use it. Then I believe the last one was a Victim Notification. Once again, that was only offered by Greenfeather, I believe, and that is the ability for if a judge would like to they can give the victim of a crime the ability to be notified if that bracelet that the client is wearing comes in the area of them. They don't necessarily know where the perpetrator is but they know that they might be a good idea to exit that area. It's not used very often but it is a really good option if the judge chose to put the client on that.

Anna Meyerhoff-Cole: So SCRAM is the manufacturer so we went out looking specifically for SCRAM devices?

Tom Struble: No. SCRAM is just the manufacturer that Greenfeather uses. I think one of the other vendors perhaps uses SCRAM too. So some of the vendors have their own equipment. They are the actual manufacturers of the equipment and then they also utilize the equipment. Greenfeather just has a contract to use SCRAM bracelets, SCRAM equipment.

4. NETWORK SSA AGREEMENT -- DIVISION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FUNDING -- NETWORKING AND TELEC

(Single Source)

#25-2077 Contract

	C&C Group		
	Term	Annual Cost	Extended Cost
Network Services - Service Support Agreement Hardware Renewal	5 Years	\$40,000.00	\$200,000.00

On the recommendation of Lee Barrier, on behalf of the Division of Information Technology, Anna Meyerhoff-Cole moved to accept the quote from C&C Group at the rate listed above for a period of five (5) years beginning August 1, 2025 through July 31, 2030. Jennifer Blasi seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

This hardware renewal is critical to maintaining uninterrupted support and performance for the Sedgwick County network infrastructure. The renewal ensures continued maintenance and service coverage for core switching equipment, which is essential to daily operations across departments. Because the hardware is supported exclusively through authorized resellers, this five (5) year contract enables the county to maintain compliance and service continuity at a set price for the duration of the contract.

Questions and Answers

Brandi Baily: I guess the question is why is this a single source? If there's multiple like five (5) resellers? Not necessarily five (5) but it says authorized resellers.

James Arnce: The main reason is the vendor that is providing this, when they have an incumbent with a VAR, they provide very steep discounts for that specific incumbent VAR (Value Added Reseller). If we go to any of the other resellers, the price will be drastically higher no matter what we do. We've already tried down that route, so we're set with this one for the best price.

Tania Cole: James explain what core switching equipment is.

James Arnce: Core switching would be the machines that are on the wall or at your desk, they plug into the wall that actually connects to a switch at the back end. Those switches connect to another one that goes to a more centralized network that transfers data throughout the account. So that's what that is.

Brandi Baily: I did have a follow up question. So you said that we've gone down that route before that it's much higher. How long ago has that been? I think would it be beneficial to attempt to go down that route again?

James Arnce: We went down that route during our last TRB process to check with all the other vendors like we normally do to try and work towards a better cost. Every time we go with the vendors, they say they're not the incumbent and they do provide a price quote but it is drastically higher than the incumbent. We just did that I would say December.

Tania Cole: Purchasing is good with this?

Joe Thomas: Yes. We okayed the exception of solicitation.